

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the City of Sheffield held in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH, on Wednesday 4 October 2017, at 5.00 pm, pursuant to notice duly given and Summonses duly served.

PRESENT

THE LORD MAYOR (Councillor Anne Murphy)
THE DEPUTY LORD MAYOR (Councillor Magid Magid)

1	<i>Beauchief & Greenhill Ward</i> Andy Nash Bob Pullin Richard Shaw	10	<i>East Ecclesfield Ward</i> Andy Bainbridge Steve Wilson	19	<i>Nether Edge & Sharrow Ward</i> Mohammad Maroof Jim Steinke Alison Teal
2	<i>Beighton Ward</i> Chris Rosling-Josephs	11	<i>Ecclesall Ward</i> Roger Davison Shaffaq Mohammed Paul Scriven	20	<i>Park & Arbourthorne</i> Julie Dore Ben Miskell Jack Scott
3	<i>Birley Ward</i> Denise Fox Bryan Lodge Karen McGowan	12	<i>Firth Park Ward</i> Abdul Khayum Abtisam Mohamed	21	<i>Richmond Ward</i> Mike Drabble Dianne Hurst Peter Rippon
4	<i>Broomhill & Sharrow Vale Ward</i> Michelle Cook Kieran Harpham Magid Magid	13	<i>Fulwood Ward</i> Sue Alston Andrew Sangar Cliff Woodcraft	22	<i>Shiregreen & Brightside Ward</i> Dawn Dale Peter Price Garry Weatherall
5	<i>Burngreave Ward</i> Jackie Drayton Talib Hussain Mark Jones	14	<i>Gleadless Valley Ward</i> Lewis Dagnall Cate McDonald Chris Peace	23	<i>Southey Ward</i> Mike Chaplin Tony Damms Jayne Dunn
6	<i>City Ward</i> Douglas Johnson Robert Murphy Moya O'Rourke	15	<i>Graves Park Ward</i> Ian Auckland Sue Auckland Steve Ayriss	24	<i>Stannington Ward</i> David Baker Penny Baker Vickie Priestley
7	<i>Crookes & Crosspool Ward</i> Craig Gamble Pugh Adam Hanrahan Anne Murphy	16	<i>Hillsborough Ward</i> Bob Johnson George Lindars-Hammond Josie Paszek	25	<i>Stocksbridge & Upper Don Ward</i> Jack Clarkson Richard Crowther Keith Davis
8	<i>Darnall Ward</i> Mazher Iqbal Mary Lea Zahira Naz	17	<i>Manor Castle Ward</i> Lisa Banes Terry Fox Pat Midgley	26	<i>Walkley Ward</i> Olivia Blake Neale Gibson
9	<i>Dore & Totley Ward</i> Joe Otten Martin Smith	18	<i>Mosborough Ward</i> David Barker Tony Downing Gail Smith	27	<i>West Ecclesfield Ward</i> John Booker Adam Hurst Zoe Sykes
				28	<i>Woodhouse Ward</i> Mick Rooney Jackie Satur Paul Wood

1. FORMER COUNCILLOR AND MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT MR. WILLIAM MICHIE

- 1.1 The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) reported with sadness, the death, on 22nd September, of former Councillor and Member of Parliament, Mr. William (Bill) Michie, who had served as a Member of the Council from 1970 to 1983 and as Member of Parliament for the Sheffield Heeley Constituency from 1983 to 2001. Members of the Council observed a minute's silence in his memory, and Councillor Peter Price spoke to pay tribute to him.
- 1.2 The Lord Mayor also reported with sadness, the death, on 28th September, of Mrs. Lillian Munn, who had served as Lady Mayoress in the Municipal Year 1976/77.

2. RESOLUTION OF THANKS TO FORMER COUNCILLOR HELEN MIRFIN-BOUKOURIS

- 2.1 The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) proposed a motion of thanks to former Councillor Helen Mirfin-Boukouris who had resigned on 4th September 2017 in order to focus on her PhD and work at the University of Sheffield's management school, after serving as a member of the Council, representing the Beighton Ward, since June 2004.
- 2.2 It was **RESOLVED:** that this Council places on record its thanks and appreciation to former Councillor Helen Mirfin-Boukouris for her hard work and service to the City of Sheffield.

3. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 3.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Pauline Andrews, Ben Curran, Alan Law, Colin Ross and Ian Saunders.

4. ORDER IN WHICH NOTICES OF MOTION ARE LISTED ON THE COUNCIL AGENDA

- 4.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Peter Rippon, and formally seconded by Councillor Michelle Cook, that approval be given, in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10.2 (as revised on 6th September 2017), to the new formula, set out in the agenda for this meeting, for the order in which Notices of Motion shall be listed on the agenda for ordinary meetings of the Council for the remainder of the municipal year 2017/18, subject to the clarification that the formula will apply to the October and November Council meetings only, and is indicative in terms of the December, February and April meetings pending a future decision to be taken by the Council regarding changes to the operation of its meetings from December onwards.

- 4.2 Whereupon it was moved by Councillor Douglas Johnson, and formally seconded by Councillor Alison Teal, as an amendment, that the Motion be amended by the deletion of the words “as revised on 6th September 2017” as they have the effect of restricting opposition parties from submitting motions.
- 4.3 On being put to the vote, the amendment was negatived.
- 4.4 The original motion was then put to the vote and carried as follows:-

RESOLVED: That approval be given, in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10.2 (as revised on 6th September 2017), to the new formula, set out in the agenda for this meeting, for the order in which Notices of Motion shall be listed on the agenda for ordinary meetings of the Council for the remainder of the municipal year 2017/18, subject to the clarification that the formula will apply to the October and November Council meetings only, and is indicative in terms of the December, February and April meetings pending a future decision to be taken by the Council regarding changes to the operation of its meetings from December onwards.

5. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 5.1 Personal interests in Agenda Item 6 – Notice of Motion regarding “Police Cuts” (See Minute 8 below) were declared by (a) Councillor Garry Weatherall on the grounds that his son is a serving police officer and (b) Councillor Jack Clarkson on the grounds that he is a retired police officer.

6. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

6.1 Petitions

6.1.1 Petition Regarding Anti-Social Behaviour in the Firth Park Area

The Council received a petition containing 5 signatures, regarding anti-social behaviour in the Firth Park area.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Mike Sheldon. Mr Sheldon said that he had lived in the area for 35 years and he believed that it had become one of the worst living areas in the Country. He said that the value of his property had significantly reduced because of the anti-social behaviour and problems of overcrowded housing. People did not feel that it was safe to walk in Firth Park and used taxis to travel the relatively short distance to hospital appointments. There was evidence of rubbish dumped and which included used needles.

The police were aware of the issues and had been called to deal with incidents and whilst they dealt with a particular problem at the time, it was felt that generally they had too few resources. The Council was requested to help by dealing with landlords who were not acting responsibly. He also

referred to issues relating to tampering with gas meters and concerns relating to gas safety. He said that he had received help from Councillor Mark Jones, his local ward Councillor. He asked the Council to take action with regards to the problems which he had outlined.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jayne Dunn, Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community Safety. Councillor Dunn acknowledged that there were a number of issues raised by the petition. She said there was a lot of work being done which it would not be appropriate for her to talk about at this meeting. With regards to the gas meters, she said that she was to meet with the relevant government agency and this was something which she had attempted to do for the past four months. Community policing was being re-established so that basic policing work could take place.

She said that she would like to arrange a meeting with Mr Sheldon and other residents with regards to all of the issues which had been the subject of the petition. The Council did prosecute landlords providing there was evidence and it also required the Courts to support such action. She hoped that the Council could be given more powers and financial resources in relation to enforcement in respect of landlords. She said that during the summer, there had been a significant amount of work carried out in connection with the problems described in the petition and she hoped that big changes would be seen as a result.

6.1.2 Petition Requesting the Replacement of the Barriers at Victoria Quayside

The Council received an electronic petition containing 1011 signatures, requesting the replacement of the barriers at Victoria Quayside.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Hannah Padmore who made reference to the tragic loss of life of two young men at that location. She said that the precautions should be taken to make the area safer and that improvements were needed to the existing barriers at the Quayside

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jayne Dunn, Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community Safety. Councillor Dunn said that she wished to extend sympathies to the family and friends of the two young people that had lost their lives. The area of the Victoria Quayside was private land and she would write to the landowner with regards to the points made in the petition. She said that the petitioners had the Council's full support.

6.1.3 Petition Requesting the Immediate Cessation to the Felling of Healthy Street Trees and the Suspension of the Streets Ahead Contract with Amey on Health and Safety Grounds

The Council received a petition containing 11 signatures, requesting an immediate cessation to the felling of healthy street trees and the suspension the Streets Ahead contract with Amey on health and safety grounds.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Richard Davis. Mr Davis stated that the petitioners were requesting the Council to immediately suspend and either renegotiate or terminate the Private Finance Initiative contract with Amey. This had been the subject of an earlier petition in July 2017 and this petition was brought on the grounds of new evidence having been made available.

He said Amey had not disclosed a criminal conviction to the City Council as part of a pre-qualification process for the Streets Ahead contract and he believed that there would have been a breach of the Council's process for the submission of information as part of the bid process agreement.

He also referred to the issue of two Notices of contravention to Amey and Acorn Environmental by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) for breaches of health and safety law in relation to which the HSE would work to intervene.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Bryan Lodge, Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene. Councillor Lodge requested that the details were put in writing to him as the petition had raised several issues and he would make sure that a written response was made in response to the petition.

He said that he received an email from Mr Davis and said he could comment on the policy position but not with regard to detailed legal matters and he had suggested that Mr Davis put his concerns in writing. He was aware that similar allegations had been made at the injunction hearing in Court and that this had also been raised in the petition to Council in July.

6.1.4 Petition Requesting the Installation of CCTV on East Bank Road

The Council received an electronic petition containing 11 signatures, requesting the installation of CCTV on East Bank Road. There was no speaker to the petition.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jayne Dunn, Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community Safety.

6.2 Public Questions

6.2.1 Public Question Concerning Devolution

Nigel Slack asked whether, since the failure of the devolution deal on the 18 September there had since been any discussions between the South Yorkshire Councils about the future of the Sheffield City Region Combined Authority; what discussions had taken place between the government and Sheffield City Region Combined Authority over holding and paying for the mayoral election; and were any conversations planned between any of the

parties involved to try and bring this issue to a more stable and rational conclusion?

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, responded to the question. She said that, yes [there had been discussions between the South Yorkshire Councils about the future of the Sheffield City Region Combined Authority]. Yes, there was ongoing discussion [between the government and the Combined Authority]; and yes, there had been and were ongoing conversations [between the parties involved to try and bring this issue to a more stable and rational conclusion].

6.2.2 Public Question Concerning Carillion

Nigel Slack said that there had been reports in media business pages which indicated that the construction conglomerate Carillion had recently won part of a Framework agreement with Sheffield City Council. He asked the following questions:

1. Was this contract subject to Council scrutiny and if so when?
2. What are the 7 'lots' that this contract covers?
3. Mr Slack made reference to financial problems relating to the company and the possible sale of the company and asked whether this company was still a fit and proper contractor for this agreement?
4. Has the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene been, in any way, involved with this contract and do any of the 'lots' fall under that Portfolio?
5. As an employee of Carillion will the Cabinet Member not be subject to potential conflicts of interest?

Councillor Bryan Lodge stated that his employment related to the Northern Rail contract and specifically the delay repay service. He was not part of the other functions and contracts side of the company, Carillion. His employment was not connected to the decision making with regard to the contracts part of Carillion nor the contract to which Mr Slack had referred. There was not a conflict of interest relating to his employment and Cabinet portfolio.

He commented that Councillors came on to the Council and public life to do the right thing and it was unfortunate that sometimes people implied that those in public life were in it only for themselves.

6.2.3 Public Question Concerning Fracking

Nigel Slack stated that a company with an Ecclesfield site, FCC, had been given permission to treat Fracking waste within the city boundary. He said that the waste materials could include a broad range of poisonous compounds, including radioactive material. He said that the Council had

previously stated its opposition to Fracking on Council land.

Mr Slack asked the following questions:

1. Was the Council consulted on this permission by the Environment Agency, Ineos or FCC?
2. With the likely increase in heavy traffic to this site, can Council take any steps to mitigate the impact for the residents of Ecclesfield?
3. The site is within 100m of Blackburn Brook, what can Council do to demand extra safeguards for that watercourse?
4. This site is at high risk of surface water flooding and medium risk of river flooding, will Council consider challenging this permission on this basis, in view of the devastation that even a minor spill would cause if Fracking waste were to enter the watercourse?
5. Who owns the Atlas Business Park, where this site is located?
6. Does FCC have any contracts with the Council or its outsourced contractors?

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Sustainability responded to the questions. He said the Sheffield City Council was one of the first councils to express a view with regards to Fracking. He commented that, even if the process could be made 'safe' it would still create a carbon based fossil fuel.

Councillor Scott said that he would write to Mr Slack setting out the Council's position on this matter.

6.2.4 Public Question Concerning Streets Ahead

Nigel Slack said that on 26 September he had sent an email to the Leader of the Council, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene and the Chief Executive with concerns over an email sent out by a Director to two members of the Sheffield Tree Action Groups (STAG). His concern centred around decision making powers over what was and was not a breach of the recent injunction and the assertion that a failure to condemn actions by other people was in itself a breach of the same injunction.

He said he had asked two questions as follows, to which he had yet to receive a response:

On what statement by the Judge or other legal precedence does the Director base their decision and does the 'legal department' agree with this position?

Can you [the recipients of Mr Slack's email] confirm whether you were aware of or had approved this email before it went out and whether the threats and the deadline are still to be carried through?

Councillor Bryan Lodge, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene responded that the officers were acting within the guidelines with regard to the injunction. He said that he believed that the Director's email to which Mr Slack referred was clear and the Council had explained the meaning of the injunction and was asking the joint Chairs of STAG to advise people that there was an injunction in place and not to breach the injunction.

6.2.5 Public Question Concerning Myanmar

Shahid Ali referred to the petition which had been submitted to the meeting of Council in September 2017 concerning events in Myanmar and requesting that the Freedom of the City granted to Aung San Suu Kyi be withdrawn. He asked what had been done to progress this matter.

In response, Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, said that since the last meeting of Council, a cross party group had discussed whether the Council would wish to withdraw the Honorary Freedom of the City granted to Aung San Suu Kyi and was in the process of bringing the matter back to a meeting of Council, which it was expected would be at the next meeting, in November.

6.2.6 Public Question Concerning Adverse Childhood Experiences

Gareth Slater referred to a motion regarding the impact of Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) on the life prospects of children.

He said that he was a volunteer for a group currently producing the Sheffield Mental Health guide and was looking to help people live with the aftermath of ACEs. He said that he had an ACE score of 7, and even 28 years later had to explain to a new boss what that meant and the impact of the illness caused by it. It was therefore important to ensure more voices of survivors were heard and there are a number of issues holding back survivors coming forward and reducing the fear of reprisal would help children come forward.

He referred to the problems children encountered in dealing with adult situations which they might not understand and the ease with which they might be manipulated by adults.

He asked whether the Council supported "Sammy's Law" raised by Sammy Woodhouse who survived abuse in Rotherham, that any crimes committed under the influence of abuse would not be counted against the individual.

Councillor Jackie Drayton, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families responded and thanked Mr Slater for sharing his experiences. She said that the Annual report of the Director of Public Health, which had been submitted to Cabinet in September, included a chapter concerning Adverse Childhood Experiences and there was a recommendation and priorities for action in the report. The recommendation concerned a request for further research into the long term return on investment of tackling

Adverse Childhood Experiences. It was also important to continue to support adults who had experiences in the past and which led to continuing issues in adulthood.

Councillor Drayton said that she would write to Mr Slater and provide a link to the Director of Public Health Report.

6.2.7 Public Question Concerning Standards in Public Life

Justin Buxton asked a question concerning adherence to the seven principles of public life by Members and Council Officers and as to the potential sanctions if these principles were not followed.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, stated that there were policies and procedures in place with regard to a Members' Code of Conduct and councillors were aware of these when they made a declaration of acceptance of office. For councillors, there was a standards process which dealt with complaints and an Independent Person was appointed as part of the process of considering matters relating to complaints and the behaviour of councillors.

There was a similar process for officers in terms of employee conduct. There was a procedure to ensure that complaints were properly considered and investigated. Appropriate and relevant action was taken depending upon the nature of the complaint and the outcome of the process.

Councillor Dore said that, where someone believed there to have been a breach of the relevant code of conduct, the issue should be taken through the appropriate complaints procedure.

6.2.8 Public Question Concerning Actions of Council Officers

Justin Buxton asked, if a Council Officer wrote to a citizen, claiming that they had been made aware that a law had been broken and had failed to provide evidence of the allegation, would it be acceptable or be considered as harassment and an abuse of power?

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council responded that, if an officer responded to a query which stated that someone was believed to have behaved in a certain manner, then she would expect that assertion to be based on evidence. She said that if Mr Buxton was aware of an issue or particular case, he should write to her and she would follow up the matter.

6.2.9 Public Question Concerning Awards

R Johnson asked if the Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene would join him in congratulating Councillors Alison Teal and Magid Magid for being nominated for the Local Government Information Unit (LGIU) Awards for 'Community Champion' and 'Young Councillor of the Year' respectively.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, responded that she believed that any councillor that was recognised for their work for the City, citizens or society in general should be congratulated. Sometimes the job of a local councillor was difficult and their efforts were not always recognised and she would therefore congratulate all of them.

Councillor Bryan Lodge, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene said that he agreed with the comments made by the Leader of the Council. He said that councillors all did what they thought was best for the City and gave time and commitment and he would applaud people for putting themselves forward as local councillors.

6.2.10 Public Question Concerning Member Conduct

R Johnson commented on what he said was the brusque treatment of members of the public at the last meeting of full Council and a style of chairing which he said that he believed was likely to deter citizens from participating. He invited the Lord Mayor to respond.

On the advice of the Chief Executive, the question was noted.

6.2.11 Public Questions Concerning Streets Ahead

Dave Dillner asked why engineering solutions specified by the Head of Highway Maintenance at the second Tree Advisory Forum and Barrister Richard Honey in his answer to Mr Justice Gilbert were not being used on Kenwood Road on the tree at the main entrance to the Kenwood Hall Hotel.

Helen McIlroy asked how many kilometres or miles of pavement and carriageway were still awaiting resurfacing work on roads with no trees. She asked why there was a delay in completing the works.

Justin Buxton asked whether the five year tree management strategy also formed an integral part of the Streets Ahead contract and, if so, which criteria applied to the contract. He asked whether in those cases where the Council accepted the advice of the Independent Tree Panel, the trees concerned were removed from the contract and was the Council liable for future pruning of the tree and routine maintenance?

Shelley Cockayne referred to comments by the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene to the effect that the Council would not wish to take legal action. She commented that she believed that this appeared to be disingenuous in the light of the fact that the media was aware that the Council did not have to take legal action. She asked whether there was concern as to how this matter reflected on the relevant political party.

Secondly, Shelley Cockayne asked whether there were controls on councillors to prevent them speaking out in relation to street trees. Thirdly, she asked a question with regard to an invitation to participate in mediation

and finding a way forward on issues relating to street trees and with regard to participation in consultation.

Declan Walsh stated that as a resident, he had noticed that resurfacing work had been halted in the past few weeks and he asked why this was so.

Councillor Bryan Lodge, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene, responded to the questions. He said that the Independent Tree Panel letter on the Council's website agreed with the decision to remove and replace the tree referred to on Kenwood Road. He said that none of the 14 engineering solutions were considered appropriate in that case. The Kerb was absent and there was a buttress root in the road.

Councillor Lodge said that he would provide written responses to the questions asked by Helen McIlroy and Justin Buxton.

With regards to the question asked by Shelley Cockayne, Councillor Lodge said that the Council did not wish to see people going through legal action in the courts and it supported people's right to peaceful protest. However, the Council could not support action which would prevent work from going ahead. Nonetheless, individuals would come to a decision as to their own actions. He said that the Council was the highways authority and it also had to take account of delays to the programme of work. If people were continuing to trespass in safety zones there was a process in place to respond to such action.

Councillor Lodge said that there were differences of opinion in relation to issues within the political group which formed the Administration of the Council. Nevertheless, the Council policy regarding the Streets Ahead programme had the backing of that political group.

He said that he would be pleased to arrange a further meeting with representatives of the Sheffield Tree Action Groups and this could be done through the Leader of the Council's office.

Councillor Lodge said that with regard to the progress of the resurfacing works to the highway, the relaying of the highway had been carried out by Aggregate Industries and there had been a pause in work. Tarmac was now continuing that carriageway resurfacing work. Updates would be provided to people when works were to take place in their area. Work was ongoing and would be accelerated to put in place replacement lighting, resurfacing etc so as to deliver what was right for the City.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, added that she had never refused to meet with representatives of the Sheffield Tree Action Groups and would be pleased to meet with them.

7. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "SOUTH YORKSHIRE DEVOLUTION DEAL" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR SHAFFAQ MOHAMMED AND TO BE

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MARTIN SMITH

7.1 It was moved by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, and seconded by Councillor Martin Smith, that this Council:-

- (a) notes that a strong successful economy is vital for future opportunities in business for entrepreneurs and the people of Sheffield, with adult skills and transport schemes so needed at its core;
- (b) believes the Sheffield City Region Devolution Deal is a stepping stone to supporting a more locally driven economic policy;
- (c) is concerned that the recent breakdown in trust within South Yorkshire potentially puts at risk jobs and future investment in our local area;
- (d) notes with disappointment that other devolution deals started after the Sheffield City Region deal, like Tees Valley (October 2015) and West England (March 2016), are much more advanced than the Sheffield City Region deal;
- (e) notes that despite a number of years working on the deal there is still no agreement on funding for the HS2 Station remodelling and Supertram replacements;
- (f) notes that the Devolution deal also had figures of up to £5m per annum for running the new devolved Authority out of the £30m per annum deal;
- (g) is concerned that we could end up electing a regional Mayor with very limited powers at a cost of up to £1m;
- (h) suggests that to keep the prized devolution deal on the table, local politicians and Sheffield City Council now needs to focus on how to go forward and not blame other Councils for the impasse that we find ourselves in;
- (i) requests the Administration to work together with South Yorkshire partners to find a way forward for the Sheffield deal that accommodates all South Yorkshire partners; and
- (j) requests that the Leader of Sheffield City Council reports back every quarter to full Council on the progress made regarding the developments in keeping the South Yorkshire Devolution deal on the table.

7.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Robert Murphy, and seconded by the Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid), as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by:-

- 1. the deletion of paragraphs (b), (d), (e), (h), (i) and (j) and the

relettering of paragraphs (c), (f) and (g) as new paragraphs (b) to (d); and

2. the addition of a new paragraphs (e) to (l) as follows:-

- (e) notes the results of the 2012 referendum where Sheffield people voted overwhelmingly against an elected mayor for Sheffield;
- (f) notes the decision of the Special Council meeting of Friday 18th March 2016, supported by the Administration and the main opposition group, to endorse the proposed Sheffield City Region Devolution Agreement and delegating authority to the Chief Executive to conclude the agreement;
- (g) notes that despite admitting that 'the City Region consultation was also imperfect' the proposal was voted through;
- (h) notes that after judicial review the High Court found the Sheffield City Region consultation on whether to include the Chesterfield area was unlawful;
- (i) notes the failure of the Sheffield City Region devolution deal after the withdrawal of all but two members of the City Region and believes that this failure has been caused by poor leadership across the region;
- (j) believes this Council should stop following this Government's agenda on devolution and listen instead to the views of the people of Sheffield;
- (k) believes Sheffield should join the 'Coalition of the Willing' 17 of the 20 Yorkshire Councils and pursue closer links and devolution for Yorkshire; and
- (l) believes that a final decision on devolution for Yorkshire should not be made without a Yorkshire-wide referendum.

7.3 It was then moved by Councillor Terry Fox, and seconded by Councillor Jack Scott, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of all the words after the words "That this Council" and the addition of the following words:-

- (a) notes that since 2010 investment in regional economic growth has been decimated under both the Conservative/Liberal Democrat Coalition and Conservative Government;
- (b) believes that it is important that all Elected Members do everything possible to secure investment in Sheffield and that the South Yorkshire Devolution Deal was the responsible thing to do, to bring investment into the region, as has been seen in Greater Manchester, Merseyside, West Midlands and Tees Valley;

- (c) notes the recent decision of McLaren and Boeing to come to South Yorkshire and believes this further demonstrates the importance of the devolution deal as an additional source of resources and investment;
- (d) believes that this demonstrates that despite all the difficulties that have occurred, the Combined Authority has made a difference and working together across South Yorkshire it has supported the development of the region's economy with every part of the region receiving additional investment;
- (e) reaffirms the benefit of the South Yorkshire Deal being an economic deal and not one that takes over public services and implementing the Government's austerity agenda;
- (f) notes that, following the recent Combined Authority meeting, consultation will not now take place on the new powers secured as part of the deal, and although Sheffield and Rotherham both supported proceeding with the consultation, accepts that all local authorities have the right to make their own democratic decisions;
- (g) notes the letter from the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Rt. Hon. Sajid Javid MP, to the 'Coalition of the Willing', stating that he will not consider any proposals for a further devolution deal involving South Yorkshire Councils, and has confirmed that the scheduled 2018 mayoral election, signed off by all constituent members of Sheffield City Region Combined Authority, will go ahead;
- (h) notes that arrangements for regional economic development have changed significantly over recent years, noting that in only seven years since 2010 we have had Regional Development Agencies, then Local Enterprise Partnerships, then Combined Authorities and now Mayoral Combined Authorities;
- (i) therefore believes there is no reason why the South Yorkshire Deal would prohibit South Yorkshire authorities benefiting from further opportunities that come forward in the future and for example, supports the proposals outlined by the Labour Party to transform industrial policy through setting up a national investment bank and regional development banks, to help unlock £250 billion of investment and lending to address funding gaps in the private sector, revive local economies and incentivise economic activity;
- (j) believes therefore that whilst doing a South Yorkshire Deal does not prohibit Sheffield and South Yorkshire benefitting should a better opportunity come along in the future, it is, however, abundantly clear that there is no current prospect of a Yorkshire Deal to be implemented at the same pace as the South Yorkshire deal;

- (k) notes that this has been confirmed by the Secretary of State and that some of the 'coalition of the willing' are actually walking away from, instead of coming towards, a 'One Yorkshire' deal and that, in addition, there is no evidence that such a deal would be better for Sheffield and South Yorkshire than the current deal; and
- (l) therefore believes that the prospect of a better deal down the road, which has not come forward to date, should not prevent us from accessing investment now and will continue to do everything possible to secure the investment on the South Yorkshire footprint as a mayor will be elected in May, and will continue to work with other South Yorkshire authorities and the Government to attempt to take this forward.

7.4 Following debate on the matter under consideration, and a right of reply from Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, the amendment moved by Councillor Robert Murphy was put to the vote and negatived.

7.4.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (6) - Councillors Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Alison Teal, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker.

Against the amendment (68) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Adam Hanrahan, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Gail Smith, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Richard Crowther, Olivia Blake, Neale

Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained from voting on the amendment (1) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy)

7.5 The amendment moved by Councillor Terry Fox was then put to the vote and was carried.

7.6 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes that since 2010 investment in regional economic growth has been decimated under both the Conservative/Liberal Democrat Coalition and Conservative Government;
- (b) believes that it is important that all Elected Members do everything possible to secure investment in Sheffield and that the South Yorkshire Devolution Deal was the responsible thing to do, to bring investment into the region, as has been seen in Greater Manchester, Merseyside, West Midlands and Tees Valley;
- (c) notes the recent decision of McLaren and Boeing to come to South Yorkshire and believes this further demonstrates the importance of the devolution deal as an additional source of resources and investment;
- (d) believes that this demonstrates that despite all the difficulties that have occurred, the Combined Authority has made a difference and working together across South Yorkshire it has supported the development of the region's economy with every part of the region receiving additional investment;
- (e) reaffirms the benefit of the South Yorkshire Deal being an economic deal and not one that takes over public services and implementing the Government's austerity agenda;
- (f) notes that, following the recent Combined Authority meeting, consultation will not now take place on the new powers secured as part of the deal, and although Sheffield and Rotherham both supported proceeding with the consultation, accepts that all local authorities have the right to make their own democratic decisions;
- (g) notes the letter from the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Rt. Hon. Sajid Javid MP, to the 'Coalition of the Willing', stating that he will not consider any proposals for a further devolution deal involving South Yorkshire Councils, and has

confirmed that the scheduled 2018 mayoral election, signed off by all constituent members of Sheffield City Region Combined Authority, will go ahead;

- (h) notes that arrangements for regional economic development have changed significantly over recent years, noting that in only seven years since 2010 we have had Regional Development Agencies, then Local Enterprise Partnerships, then Combined Authorities and now Mayoral Combined Authorities;
- (i) therefore believes there is no reason why the South Yorkshire Deal would prohibit South Yorkshire authorities benefiting from further opportunities that come forward in the future and for example, supports the proposals outlined by the Labour Party to transform industrial policy through setting up a national investment bank and regional development banks, to help unlock £250 billion of investment and lending to address funding gaps in the private sector, revive local economies and incentivise economic activity;
- (j) believes therefore that whilst doing a South Yorkshire Deal does not prohibit Sheffield and South Yorkshire benefitting should a better opportunity come along in the future, it is, however, abundantly clear that there is no current prospect of a Yorkshire Deal to be implemented at the same pace as the South Yorkshire deal;
- (k) notes that this has been confirmed by the Secretary of State and that some of the 'coalition of the willing' are actually walking away from, instead of coming towards, a 'One Yorkshire' deal and that, in addition, there is no evidence that such a deal would be better for Sheffield and South Yorkshire than the current deal; and
- (l) therefore believes that the prospect of a better deal down the road, which has not come forward to date, should not prevent us from accessing investment now and will continue to do everything possible to secure the investment on the South Yorkshire footprint as a mayor will be elected in May, and will continue to work with other South Yorkshire authorities and the Government to attempt to take this forward.

7.6.1 (NOTE: Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley voted for paragraphs (b) to (h) and (j) and (k) of the Substantive Motion, voted against paragraphs (a) and (i) of the Motion, and abstained from voting on paragraph (l) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

8. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "POLICE CUTS" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR BEN MISKELL AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR JAYNE DUNN

8.1 It was moved by Councillor Ben Miskell, and seconded by Councillor Jayne Dunn, that this Council:-

- (a) notes the increasing pressures facing South Yorkshire Police (SYP) highlighted by recent information obtained by Louise Haigh MP which revealed SYP received 851,401 calls last year and already this year, as of September 2017, the Force has received 510,147 calls; overall since 2010 the number of calls in both emergency and non-emergency cases to police have increased year on year;
- (b) notes that official figures show that since 2010, and the forming of the Conservative-Liberal Democrat coalition government, SYP have 458 fewer police officers, with 2,974 employed in 2010 compared to 2,516 today, despite the fact that the Force now deals with an average of 53,359 non-emergency calls a month, up from 30,694 in 2010;
- (c) supports the warnings of the Labour Party that, nationally, police forces are reaching a breaking point, and completely condemns the Liberal Democrats for their complicity, whilst part of the Coalition Government, in the wide ranging cuts experienced by forces up and down the country and here in Sheffield;
- (d) believes that the Government should rethink their strategy for policing and adopt Labour's 2017 manifesto commitment; pledging to put 10,000 additional police on to the streets of England and Wales, to be paid for by reversing planned cuts to capital gains tax;
- (e) highlights that the seven year pay cap for police officers has seen morale collapse and that officers are £6,000 per year worse off in real terms, with wages failing to keep pace with inflation, and believes that lifting the pay cap will help to reward officers fairly, increase morale and officer retention rates and, ultimately, lead to a better standard of policing throughout the country;
- (f) derides the meagre one-off 1% lump sum pay rise being given by the Rt. Hon. Theresa May, MP's government to the police for the next year; noting that this one-off rise makes police pay still far below inflation which stands at 2.9% and is well short of the 5% pay increase being asked for by unions to help mitigate the extensive period of real term pay cuts already undertaken;
- (g) supports unions and others who have called the Government's offer 'pathetic' and believes that it is a damning indictment of the Conservative Party that they make tax cuts for the very richest whilst failing to give public servants a fair pay;

- (h) believes that in such austere times it is vitally important that police forces seek new innovations and praise should be given to SYP, The Sheffield Community Safety Partnership and the other SY community partnerships, for funding a new off-road Bike Unit to tackle the issue of off road biking in Sheffield;
- (i) notes that whilst the Council has no direct powers over policing, Councillors within the Administration have been working with local communities to forward their concerns, and that off road biking has been a prominent issue of late and that improved police efforts to deal with this blight are greatly welcome;
- (j) welcomes the SYP's new neighbourhood policing model, with its key emphasis on tackling crime and antisocial behaviour, and focus on problem solving and crime prevention through enhancing local intelligence gathering; it is hoped that police officers will work collaboratively with partner agencies to provide a bespoke service that best meets the needs of each community, with an increased focus on utilising early partnership based intervention;
- (k) believes that SYP's new neighbourhood policing model could be a big step forward for local policing but that, without proper government funding, policing in South Yorkshire will remain stretched; and
- (l) gives assurances that Councillors will continue to work closely in the communities they serve to make sure the police are responding appropriately and sufficiently to local issues.

8.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Joe Otten, and seconded by Councillor Ian Auckland, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by:-

1. the deletion of paragraphs (a) to (k) and the re-lettering of paragraph (l) as a new paragraph (j); and
2. the addition of new paragraphs (a) to (i) as follows:-
 - (a) commends the officers of the South Yorkshire Police for their service on the front line of the fight against crime and terrorism, often placing themselves in harm's way to protect us;
 - (b) recognises the challenges caused by ongoing budgetary and pay restraint, believes this has gone too far, and fears that unless Brexit is prevented, never mind a hard or a 'no deal' Brexit, there will be yet less money available to the Treasury for public services, including police, in the future; nonetheless welcomes Liberal Democrat proposals for £300m extra police funding, meaning £6,810,000 extra for South Yorkshire;
 - (c) notes that 999 calls to South Yorkshire Police fell in 2012 and 2013

on the previous years, and did not exceed 2011 levels until 2016;

- (d) notes that non-emergency calls to South Yorkshire Police fell in 2012 and 2016 on the previous year, but showed a substantial discontinuous increase in 2014 for reasons that are not entirely clear, but in a manner suggestive of an operational or recording change or a change in public awareness of the service;
- (e) notes that South Yorkshire Police also faces cost pressures from a number of legacy issues including Hillsborough and CSE, and that Police and Crime Commissioner Billings spent over £500,000 in legal fees and other expenses on a failed attempt to dismiss Chief Constable David Crompton, a decision described by the High Court as “irrational, perverse, unreasonable, misconceived and wholly disproportionate”;
- (f) believes that the Labour Members of the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Panel, at its meeting on 7th July 2017, effectively closed ranks in not allowing a question to be put to Dr Billings, viz why his legal case against Mr Crompton did not address the issue of the conduct of police counsel at the Hillsborough Inquest, this being a principal grievance of the Hillsborough families;
- (g) notes that the most recent (2016) Peel assessment of South Yorkshire Police indicates that the Force 'requires improvement' in all three areas assessed, a decline from 2015 and 2014;
- (h) believes that the decision by Dr Billings and Chief Constable Crompton to integrate neighbourhood and response teams represented in practice an abolition of neighbourhood policing, that this was a false economy and has increased demand on response teams and led to a loss of intelligence;
- (i) welcomes the reversal of this decision under Chief Constable Watson, and calls on all Councillors and relevant community groups to engage constructively with the new neighbourhood policing model; and

8.3 Following debate on the matter under consideration, and a right of reply from Councillor Ben Miskell, the amendment was put to the vote and negated.

8.4 The original Motion was then put to the vote and carried as follows:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes the increasing pressures facing South Yorkshire Police (SYP) highlighted by recent information obtained by Louise Haigh MP which revealed SYP received 851,401 calls last year and already this year, as of September 2017, the Force has received 510,147 calls; overall

- since 2010 the number of calls in both emergency and non-emergency cases to police have increased year on year;
- (b) notes that official figures show that since 2010, and the forming of the Conservative-Liberal Democrat coalition government, SYP have 458 fewer police officers, with 2,974 employed in 2010 compared to 2,516 today, despite the fact that the Force now deals with an average of 53,359 non-emergency calls a month, up from 30,694 in 2010;
 - (c) supports the warnings of the Labour Party that, nationally, police forces are reaching a breaking point, and completely condemns the Liberal Democrats for their complicity, whilst part of the Coalition Government, in the wide ranging cuts experienced by forces up and down the country and here in Sheffield;
 - (d) believes that the Government should rethink their strategy for policing and adopt Labour's 2017 manifesto commitment; pledging to put 10,000 additional police on to the streets of England and Wales, to be paid for by reversing planned cuts to capital gains tax;
 - (e) highlights that the seven year pay cap for police officers has seen morale collapse and that officers are £6,000 per year worse off in real terms, with wages failing to keep pace with inflation, and believes that lifting the pay cap will help to reward officers fairly, increase morale and officer retention rates and, ultimately, lead to a better standard of policing throughout the country;
 - (f) derides the meagre one-off 1% lump sum pay rise being given by the Rt. Hon. Theresa May, MP's government to the police for the next year; noting that this one-off rise makes police pay still far below inflation which stands at 2.9% and is well short of the 5% pay increase being asked for by unions to help mitigate the extensive period of real term pay cuts already undertaken;
 - (g) supports unions and others who have called the Government's offer 'pathetic' and believes that it is a damning indictment of the Conservative Party that they make tax cuts for the very richest whilst failing to give public servants a fair pay;
 - (h) believes that in such austere times it is vitally important that police forces seek new innovations and praise should be given to SYP, The Sheffield Community Safety Partnership and the other SY community partnerships, for funding a new off-road Bike Unit to tackle the issue of off road biking in Sheffield;
 - (i) notes that whilst the Council has no direct powers over policing, Councillors within the Administration have been working with local communities to forward their concerns, and that off road biking has been a prominent issue of late and that improved police efforts to deal with this blight are greatly welcome;

- (j) welcomes the SYP's new neighbourhood policing model, with its key emphasis on tackling crime and antisocial behaviour, and focus on problem solving and crime prevention through enhancing local intelligence gathering; it is hoped that police officers will work collaboratively with partner agencies to provide a bespoke service that best meets the needs of each community, with an increased focus on utilising early partnership based intervention;
- (k) believes that SYP's new neighbourhood policing model could be a big step forward for local policing but that, without proper government funding, policing in South Yorkshire will remain stretched; and
- (l) gives assurances that Councillors will continue to work closely in the communities they serve to make sure the police are responding appropriately and sufficiently to local issues.

8.4.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley voted for paragraphs (a) and (e) to (l) of the Motion, and voted against paragraphs (b) to (d) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded;

2. Councillors Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (j) to (l) of the Motion, and abstained from voting on paragraphs (a) to (i) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and

3. Councillors Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker voted for paragraphs (a), (b) and (e) to (l) of the Motion, and voted against paragraphs (c) and (d) of the Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

9. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "THE IMPACT OF AUSTERITY ON LIFE EXPECTANCY"- GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR ADAM HURST AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAWN DALE

9.1 It was moved by Councillor Adam Hurst, and seconded by Councillor Dawn Dale, that this Council:-

- (a) notes that recent data looking into the health of the UK population shows that under its two main indicators, life expectancy and healthy life expectancy, health in the UK has got worse since the formation of the Coalition Government in 2010;
- (b) further notes that improvements to the average life expectancy for both women and men in the UK has been slowing down in the UK over the last few years; here in Sheffield there has been very little

improvement in women's life expectancy over the last 10 to 15 years, and for men we have seen an actual decrease in average life expectancy from 78.8 years in 2012-2014 to 78.7 years for the most recent period of 2013-2015;

- (c) agrees with the assessment of Sheffield City Council's Director of Public Health that austerity is the biggest factor in accounting for these worrying trends;
- (d) notes that not only are there worrying trends as to the length of life expectancy but also for the quality and health of this life; latest figures for Sheffield show that average healthy life expectancy for women decreased sharply from 61.5 years in 2009-11 to 59.9 years in 2013-15, and men's healthy life expectancy decreased from 59.3 years to 59 years;
- (e) supports the Administration's work, currently underway, in updating the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, which will take a much more in-depth look at health and wellbeing in the city; this updating has included, for example, examining our progress across all the 159 indicators in the national Public Figures Health Outcomes Framework;
- (f) notes the growing body of evidence showing the profoundly damaging impact that adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) can have on a child's future outcomes across many areas including health and wellbeing, and these effects can last a lifetime; types of ACEs include child abuse (which includes emotional, physical or sexual abuse), neglect (both physical and emotional) and household challenges such as growing up in a household where there is substance misuse, mental illness, domestic violence, parent separation/divorce or where a member of the household is sent to prison;
- (g) notes that evidence shows there is a strong graded relationship between the number and category of childhood exposures and the risk of developing emotional and physical health problems in later life, with children who experience ACEs being more likely to become parents who raise their children in family environments where these risk factors are more common, thereby perpetuating the cycle of disadvantage and poor health;
- (h) supports, therefore, a course of preventative action to reduce the impact on ACEs, including:-
 - (i) Sheffield City Council and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) requesting that Public Health England co-ordinate further research on identifying and describing the long term return on investment of tackling ACEs and effective primary and secondary prevention models;

- (ii) prioritising increasing public awareness of ACEs and their lifelong consequences in childhood, and gain political and organisational commitment for a coherent programme of work to prevent ACEs;
 - (iii) identifying what can be done at individual, family and community level to put in place effective interventions in the pre-natal period and first 3 years after birth for the most disadvantaged children and families;
 - (iv) exploring how we can incorporate our response to ACEs into our Early Years' delivery model, its pathways and services; and
 - (v) equipping Early Years' practitioners with a full understanding of ACEs, the importance of promoting bonding and attachment for good parent-child relationships and secure emotional attachment, and also promoting positive maternal, family and emotional health and resilience;
- (i) believes that under successive Conservative and Conservative-Liberal Democrat governments, children from poorer backgrounds have been disproportionately affected by austerity measures; and
 - (j) notes that in 2016 the United Nations condemned Conservative government policies for failing to ensure children's rights to adequate health, living standards, housing and education.

9.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Steve Ayriss, and seconded by Councillor Sue Alston, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by:-

1. the deletion of paragraph (c) and the addition of a new paragraph (c) as follows:-
 - (c) also notes that according to the Office for National Statistics some of the factors that have historically driven improvement, such as a reduction in smoking and circulatory disease, may have largely been realised;
2. the deletion of paragraph (i) and the addition of a new paragraph (i) as follows:-
 - (i) believes that changes to life expectancy and healthy life expectancy are the result of long term changes, and supports efforts at a national and local level to improve the health of the population; and
3. the deletion of paragraph (a) and the re-lettering of paragraphs (b) to (j) as new paragraphs (a) to (i).

- 9.2.1 (NOTE: With the agreement of the Council and at the request of the mover of the amendment (Councillor Steve Ayris), the amendment as circulated at the meeting was altered by the substitution of the words “the Office for National Statistics” for the words “Public Health (England)”.)
- 9.3 It was then moved by Councillor Douglas Johnson, and seconded by Councillor Alison Teal, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the addition of a new paragraph (k) as follows:-
- (k) but believes that this Labour-controlled Council contributed to children’s disadvantage by closing Surestart centres and reducing funding to community nurseries in the most disadvantaged areas of Sheffield;
- 9.4 Following debate on the matter under consideration, and a right of reply from Councillor Adam Hurst, the altered amendment moved by Councillor Steve Ayris was put to the vote and negatived.
- 9.5 The amendment moved by Councillor Douglas Johnson was then put to the vote and was also negatived.
- 9.6 The original Motion was then put to the vote and carried as follows:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes that recent data looking into the health of the UK population shows that under its two main indicators, life expectancy and healthy life expectancy, health in the UK has got worse since the formation of the Coalition Government in 2010;
- (b) further notes that improvements to the average life expectancy for both women and men in the UK has been slowing down in the UK over the last few years; here in Sheffield there has been very little improvement in women’s life expectancy over the last 10 to 15 years, and for men we have seen an actual decrease in average life expectancy from 78.8 years in 2012-2014 to 78.7 years for the most recent period of 2013-2015;
- (c) agrees with the assessment of Sheffield City Council’s Director of Public Health that austerity is the biggest factor in accounting for these worrying trends;
- (d) notes that not only are there worrying trends as to the length of life expectancy but also for the quality and health of this life; latest figures for Sheffield show that average healthy life expectancy for women decreased sharply from 61.5 years in 2009-11 to 59.9 years in 2013-15, and men’s healthy life expectancy decreased from 59.3 years to 59 years;

- (e) supports the Administration's work, currently underway, in updating the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, which will take a much more in-depth look at health and wellbeing in the city; this updating has included, for example, examining our progress across all the 159 indicators in the national Public Figures Health Outcomes Framework;
- (f) notes the growing body of evidence showing the profoundly damaging impact that adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) can have on a child's future outcomes across many areas including health and wellbeing, and these effects can last a lifetime; types of ACEs include child abuse (which includes emotional, physical or sexual abuse), neglect (both physical and emotional) and household challenges such as growing up in a household where there is substance misuse, mental illness, domestic violence, parent separation/divorce or where a member of the household is sent to prison;
- (g) notes that evidence shows there is a strong graded relationship between the number and category of childhood exposures and the risk of developing emotional and physical health problems in later life, with children who experience ACEs being more likely to become parents who raise their children in family environments where these risk factors are more common, thereby perpetuating the cycle of disadvantage and poor health;
- (h) supports, therefore, a course of preventative action to reduce the impact on ACEs, including:-
 - (i) Sheffield City Council and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) requesting that Public Health England co-ordinate further research on identifying and describing the long term return on investment of tackling ACEs and effective primary and secondary prevention models;
 - (ii) prioritising increasing public awareness of ACEs and their lifelong consequences in childhood, and gain political and organisational commitment for a coherent programme of work to prevent ACEs;
 - (iii) identifying what can be done at individual, family and community level to put in place effective interventions in the pre-natal period and first 3 years after birth for the most disadvantaged children and families;
 - (iv) exploring how we can incorporate our response to ACEs into our Early Years' delivery model, its pathways and services; and
 - (v) equipping Early Years' practitioners with a full understanding of

ACEs, the importance of promoting bonding and attachment for good parent-child relationships and secure emotional attachment, and also promoting positive maternal, family and emotional health and resilience;

- (i) believes that under successive Conservative and Conservative-Liberal Democrat governments, children from poorer backgrounds have been disproportionately affected by austerity measures; and
- (j) notes that in 2016 the United Nations condemned Conservative government policies for failing to ensure children's rights to adequate health, living standards, housing and education.

9.6.1 The votes on the above Motion were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For paragraphs (a), (c) and (i) (52) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against paragraphs (a), (c) and (i) (19) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley.

Abstained from voting on paragraphs (a), (c) and (i) - Nil.

(0)

For paragraphs (b), (d) to (h) and (j) (71) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Adam Hanrahan, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Gail Smith, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against paragraphs (b), (d) to (h) and (j) (0) - Nil.

Abstained from voting on paragraphs (b), (d) to (h) and (j) (0) - Nil.

10. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "YORKSHIRE DEVOLUTION" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR ROBERT MURPHY AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MAGID MAGID

10.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Robert Murphy, and formally seconded by Councillor Douglas Johnson, that this Council:-

- (a) notes the results of the 2012 referendum where Sheffield people voted overwhelmingly against an elected mayor for Sheffield;
- (b) notes the decision of the Special Council meeting of Friday 18th

March 2016, supported by the Administration and the main opposition group, to endorse the proposed Sheffield City Region Devolution Agreement and delegating authority to the Chief Executive to conclude the agreement;

- (c) notes that despite admitting that 'the City Region consultation was also imperfect' the proposal was voted through;
- (d) notes that after judicial review the High Court found the Sheffield City Region consultation on whether to include the Chesterfield area was unlawful;
- (e) notes the failure of the Sheffield City Region devolution deal after the withdrawal of all but two members of the City Region and believes that this failure has been caused by poor leadership across the region;
- (f) believes this Council should stop following this Government's agenda on devolution and listen instead to the views of the people of Sheffield;
- (g) believes Sheffield should join the 'Coalition of the Willing' 17 of the 20 Yorkshire Councils and pursue closer links and devolution for Yorkshire; and
- (h) believes that a final decision on devolution for Yorkshire should not be made without a Yorkshire-wide referendum.

10.2 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Lisa Banes, and formally seconded by Councillor Mohammad Maroof, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of all the words after the words "That this Council" and the addition of the following words:-

- (a) notes that since 2010 investment in regional economic growth has been decimated under both the Conservative/Liberal Democrat Coalition and Conservative Government;
- (b) believes that it is important that all Elected Members do everything possible to secure investment in Sheffield and that the South Yorkshire Devolution Deal was the responsible thing to do, to bring investment into the region, as has been seen in Greater Manchester, Merseyside, West Midlands and Tees Valley;
- (c) notes the recent decision of McLaren and Boeing to come to South Yorkshire and believes this further demonstrates the importance of the devolution deal as an additional source of resources and investment;
- (d) believes that this demonstrates that despite all the difficulties that have occurred, the Combined Authority has made a difference and

working together across South Yorkshire it has supported the development of the region's economy with every part of the region receiving additional investment;

- (e) reaffirms the benefit of the South Yorkshire Deal being an economic deal and not one that takes over public services and implementing the Government's austerity agenda;
- (f) notes that, following the recent Combined Authority meeting, consultation will not now take place on the new powers secured as part of the deal, and although Sheffield and Rotherham both supported proceeding with the consultation, accepts that all local authorities have the right to make their own democratic decisions;
- (g) notes the letter from the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Rt. Hon. Sajid Javid MP, to the 'Coalition of the Willing', stating that he will not consider any proposals for a further devolution deal involving South Yorkshire Councils, and has confirmed that the scheduled 2018 mayoral election, signed off by all constituent members of Sheffield City Region Combined Authority, will go ahead;
- (h) notes that arrangements for regional economic development have changed significantly over recent years, noting that in only seven years since 2010 we have had Regional Development Agencies, then Local Enterprise Partnerships, then Combined Authorities and now Mayoral Combined Authorities;
- (i) therefore believes there is no reason why the South Yorkshire Deal would prohibit South Yorkshire authorities benefiting from further opportunities that come forward in the future and for example, supports the proposals outlined by the Labour Party to transform industrial policy through setting up a national investment bank and regional development banks, to help unlock £250 billion of investment and lending to address funding gaps in the private sector, revive local economies and incentivise economic activity;
- (j) believes therefore that whilst doing a South Yorkshire Deal does not prohibit Sheffield and South Yorkshire benefitting should a better opportunity come along in the future, it is, however, abundantly clear that there is no current prospect of a Yorkshire Deal to be implemented at the same pace as the South Yorkshire deal;
- (k) notes that this has been confirmed by the Secretary of State and that some of the 'coalition of the willing' are actually walking away from, instead of coming towards, a 'One Yorkshire' deal and that, in addition, there is no evidence that such a deal would be better for Sheffield and South Yorkshire than the current deal; and
- (l) therefore believes that the prospect of a better deal down the road,

which has not come forward to date, should not prevent us from accessing investment now and will continue to do everything possible to secure the investment on the South Yorkshire footprint as a mayor will be elected in May, and will continue to work with other South Yorkshire authorities and the Government to attempt to take this forward.

10.3 It was then formally moved by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, and formally seconded by Councillor Martin Smith, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of all the words after the words "That this Council" and the addition of the following words:-

- (a) notes that a strong successful economy is vital for future opportunities in business for entrepreneurs and the people of Sheffield, with adult skills and transport schemes so needed at its core;
- (b) believes the Sheffield City Region Devolution Deal is a stepping stone to supporting a more locally driven economic policy;
- (c) is concerned that the recent breakdown in trust within South Yorkshire potentially puts at risk jobs and future investment in our local area;
- (d) notes with disappointment that other devolution deals started after the Sheffield City Region deal, like Tees Valley (October 2015) and West England (March 2016), are much more advanced than the Sheffield City Region deal;
- (e) notes that despite a number of years working on the deal there is still no agreement on funding for the HS2 Station remodelling and Supertram replacements;
- (f) notes that the Devolution deal also had figures of up to £5m per annum for running the new devolved Authority out of the £30m per annum deal;
- (g) is concerned that we could end up electing a regional Mayor with very limited powers at a cost of up to £1m;
- (h) suggests that to keep the prized devolution deal on the table, local politicians and Sheffield City Council now needs to focus on how to go forward and not blame other Councils for the impasse that we find ourselves in;
- (i) requests the Administration to work together with South Yorkshire partners to find a way forward for the Sheffield deal that accommodates all South Yorkshire partners; and
- (j) requests that the Leader of Sheffield City Council reports back every

quarter to full Council on the progress made regarding the developments in keeping the South Yorkshire Devolution deal on the table.

10.4 After a contribution from one Member and Councillor Robert Murphy declining his right of reply, the amendment moved by Councillor Lisa Banes was put to the vote and carried.

10.4.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (46) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Richard Crowther, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against the amendment (25) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis and John Booker.

Abstained from voting on the amendment (0) - Nil.

10.5 The amendment moved by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed was then put to the vote and was negatived.

10.5.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

- For the amendment (19) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley.
- Against the amendment (52) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.
- Abstained from voting on the amendment (0) - Nil.

10.6 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes that since 2010 investment in regional economic growth has been decimated under both the Conservative/Liberal Democrat Coalition and Conservative Government;
- (b) believes that it is important that all Elected Members do everything possible to secure investment in Sheffield and that the South Yorkshire Devolution Deal was the responsible thing to do, to bring investment into the region, as has been seen in Greater Manchester, Merseyside, West Midlands and Tees Valley;

- (c) notes the recent decision of McLaren and Boeing to come to South Yorkshire and believes this further demonstrates the importance of the devolution deal as an additional source of resources and investment;
- (d) believes that this demonstrates that despite all the difficulties that have occurred, the Combined Authority has made a difference and working together across South Yorkshire it has supported the development of the region's economy with every part of the region receiving additional investment;
- (e) reaffirms the benefit of the South Yorkshire Deal being an economic deal and not one that takes over public services and implementing the Government's austerity agenda;
- (f) notes that, following the recent Combined Authority meeting, consultation will not now take place on the new powers secured as part of the deal, and although Sheffield and Rotherham both supported proceeding with the consultation, accepts that all local authorities have the right to make their own democratic decisions;
- (g) notes the letter from the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Rt. Hon. Sajid Javid MP, to the 'Coalition of the Willing', stating that he will not consider any proposals for a further devolution deal involving South Yorkshire Councils, and has confirmed that the scheduled 2018 mayoral election, signed off by all constituent members of Sheffield City Region Combined Authority, will go ahead;
- (h) notes that arrangements for regional economic development have changed significantly over recent years, noting that in only seven years since 2010 we have had Regional Development Agencies, then Local Enterprise Partnerships, then Combined Authorities and now Mayoral Combined Authorities;
- (i) therefore believes there is no reason why the South Yorkshire Deal would prohibit South Yorkshire authorities benefiting from further opportunities that come forward in the future and for example, supports the proposals outlined by the Labour Party to transform industrial policy through setting up a national investment bank and regional development banks, to help unlock £250 billion of investment and lending to address funding gaps in the private sector, revive local economies and incentivise economic activity;
- (j) believes therefore that whilst doing a South Yorkshire Deal does not prohibit Sheffield and South Yorkshire benefitting should a better opportunity come along in the future, it is, however, abundantly clear that there is no current prospect of a Yorkshire Deal to be implemented at the same pace as the South Yorkshire deal;

- (k) notes that this has been confirmed by the Secretary of State and that some of the 'coalition of the willing' are actually walking away from, instead of coming towards, a 'One Yorkshire' deal and that, in addition, there is no evidence that such a deal would be better for Sheffield and South Yorkshire than the current deal; and
- (l) therefore believes that the prospect of a better deal down the road, which has not come forward to date, should not prevent us from accessing investment now and will continue to do everything possible to secure the investment on the South Yorkshire footprint as a mayor will be elected in May, and will continue to work with other South Yorkshire authorities and the Government to attempt to take this forward.

10.6.1 The votes on the Substantive Motion were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For paragraphs (a) and (i) of the Substantive Motion (48) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against paragraphs (a) and (i) of the Substantive Motion (20) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and John Booker.

Abstained from voting on paragraphs (a) and (i) - Councillors Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy and Alison Teal.

(i) of the Substantive Motion (3)

For paragraphs (b) to (h) and (j) and (k) of the Substantive Motion (67)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Adam Hanrahan, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against paragraphs (b) to (h) and (j) and (k) of the Substantive Motion (1)

- Councillor John Booker.

Abstained from voting on paragraphs (b) to (h) and (j) and (k) of the Substantive Motion (3)

- Councillors Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy and Alison Teal.

For paragraph (l) of the Substantive Motion (48)

- The Lord Mayor (Councillor Anne Murphy) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Kieran Harpham, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Moya O'Rourke, Craig Gamble Pugh, Mazher Iqbal, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Steve Wilson, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Pat Midgley, David

Barker, Tony Downing, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Tony Damms, Jayne Dunn, Jack Clarkson, Richard Crowther, Keith Davis, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Zoe Sykes, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against paragraph (I) of the Substantive Motion (1) - Councillor John Booker.

Abstained from voting on paragraph (I) of the Substantive Motion (22) - Councillors Andy Nash, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Adam Hanrahan, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker and Vickie Priestley.

11. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

11.1 Urgent Business

11.1.1 There were no questions relating to urgent business under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6(ii).

11.2 Supplementary Questions

11.2.1 A schedule of questions to Cabinet Members, submitted in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 16, and which contained written answers, was circulated.

11.2.2 Supplementary questions (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.4) were not able to be asked before the meeting terminated (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 5.5, as revised on 6th September 2017) after three hours duration.

11.3 South Yorkshire Joint Authorities

11.3.1 Questions relating to the discharge of the functions of the South Yorkshire Joint Authorities for Fire and Rescue and Pensions (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6i), were not able to be asked before the meeting terminated (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 5.5, as revised on 6th September 2017) after three hours duration.

12. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING

- 12.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Michelle Cook, that the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 6th September 2017 be approved as a true and accurate record.

13. REPRESENTATION, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES

- 13.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Michelle Cook, that Councillor Jim Steinke be appointed to fill a vacancy as a Substitute Member of the Planning and Highways Committee.