



## Report to Healthier Communities & Adult Social Care Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee 5<sup>th</sup> December 2017

**Subject:** Call in of decision on the “Sheffield Accountable Care Partnership”

**Author of Report:** Emily Standbrook-Shaw, Policy & Improvement Officer  
0114 2735065, [emily.standbrook-shaw@sheffield.gov.uk](mailto:emily.standbrook-shaw@sheffield.gov.uk)

**Type of item:** The report author should tick the appropriate box

Reviewing of existing policy	
Informing the development of new policy	
Statutory consultation	
Performance / budget monitoring report	
Cabinet request for scrutiny	
Full Council request for scrutiny	
Call-in of Cabinet Member decision	X
Briefing paper for the Scrutiny Committee	
Other	

### 1.0 Background

1.1 The Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care took the following decision on the 10<sup>th</sup> November 2017:

*That the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care:-*

*(i) notes the establishment of the South Yorkshire and Bassetlaw Accountable Care System;*

*(ii) notes the development of the Sheffield Place Based Plan;*

*(iii) endorses the establishment of the shadow Sheffield Accountable Care Partnership Board subject to the following principles:*

- *That the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care should co-chair the board*
- *That a formal relationship should be created between the Health and Wellbeing Board and the ACP Board to ensure appropriate oversight of its work*

- *That the ACP Board is provided with appropriate officer support from across its membership to allow it to make rapid progress*
- *That other health and social care transformation programmes should be absorbed into the work of the ACP to avoid the potential for duplication, overlap and wasted resource.*
- *That the ACP Board should focus on the wider transformational change required within the health and social care system, in line with the Sheffield Place Based Plan, and should commission activity in line with this;*

*(iv) continues to progress the Accountable Care Partnership through arrangements and agreements consistent with the principles above; and*

*(v) notes that a further executive report will be presented to formally establish the Accountable Care Partnership Board following its 'shadow' period.*

- 1.2 As per Part 4, section 16 of Sheffield City Council's Constitution, this decision has been called in, preventing implementation of the decision until it has been considered by this Scrutiny Committee. The Call-in notice is attached to this report as appendix 1, and states that the reason for the call-in is:  
*"because formal scrutiny arrangements for this highly contentious issue have been agreed only for the Health and Wellbeing Board but not for the Healthier Communities and Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee, which is the only cross-party scrutiny available to the Local Authority"*
- 1.3 The original report of the Director of Policy, Performance and Communications and Director of Public Health is attached as appendix 2, and the decision record is attached at appendix 3.

## **2.0 The Scrutiny Committee is being asked to:**

- 2.1 As per the Scrutiny Procedure rules, scrutinise the decision and take one of the following courses of action:
- (a) refer the decision back to the decision making body or individual for reconsideration in the light of recommendations from the Committee;
  - (b) request that the decision be deferred until the Scrutiny Committee has considered relevant issues and made recommendations to the Executive;
  - (c) take no action in relation to the called-in decision but consider whether issues arising from the call-in need to be fed back to the decision maker or added to the work programme of an existing Scrutiny Committee;

- (d) if, but only if (having taken the advice of the Monitoring Officer and/or the Chief Finance Officer), the Committee determines that the decision is wholly or partly outside the Budget and Policy Framework, refer the matter, with any recommendations, to the Council after following the procedures in the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules

(If a Scrutiny Committee decides on (a), (b) or (d) as its course of action, there is a continuing bar on implementing the decision).

- 2.2 The Scrutiny Procedure rules state that if a decision is referred back, it is referred back to the individual or body that made the decision. In this case the decision maker is the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care.

---

### **Background Papers**

- Appendix 1 - Call in notice dated 14 November 2017.
- Appendix 2 - Report of Director of Policy, Performance and Communications, and Director of Public Health to the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care, dated 20 September 2017.
- Appendix 3 – Printed decision record.

**Category of Report:** OPEN

---



**SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL****CALL-IN PROCESS FOR EXECUTIVE DECISIONS**

I ... *Councillor Douglas Johnson*..... (Name of Member in Block Capitals) under the provision of Standing Order A35, wish to call-in Item No. .... relating to ... *Sheffield Accountable Care Partnership* of the meeting of ... *Individual Cabinet Member decision* (meeting title) on ... *10 November 2017* (date) for consideration by the ... *Healthier Communities and Adult Social Care Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee*.


*The relevant Scrutiny Committee will be indicated on the Checklist within the report relating to this matter.*

**Reason for Call-In**

*because formal scrutiny arrangements for this highly contentious issue have been agreed only for the Health and Wellbeing Board but not for the Healthier Communities & Adult Social Care Scrutiny Committee, which is the only cross-party scrutiny available to the local authority.*

Signed  Date *14 Nov 17*

I have obtained the following signatures of the other Members who wish to call-in this item:-

Name (in Block Capitals)	Signature
1. <u>MAGID MAGID</u>	
2. <u>SUE ANSTON</u>	
3. <u>STEVE AYRIS</u>	
4. <u>LEWIS DAGNALL</u>	
5. <u>ADAM HORST</u>	

(NOTE: Standing Order A35(1) requires five Members, including two from the appropriate Scrutiny Committee to 'call-in' an Executive decision for scrutiny. This can be done **up to 4 working days after the decision publication**.

*The five signatures required for the call-in process must be submitted by the deadline date, but need not all be on one form.*

This page is intentionally left blank