

Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee

Tuesday 14 January 2020 at 4.30 pm

To be held at the Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH

The Press and Public are Welcome to Attend

Membership

Councillors Denise Fox (Chair), Ian Auckland (Deputy Chair), Neale Gibson, Dianne Hurst, Alan Hooper, Abdul Khayum, Bryan Lodge, Mohammed Mahroof, Barbara Masters, Ben Miskell, Moya O'Rourke, Sioned-Mair Richards, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Martin Smith and Paul Turpin

Substitute Members

In accordance with the Constitution, Substitute Members may be provided for the above Committee Members as and when required.

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE MEETING

The Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Committee exercises an overview and scrutiny function in respect of the planning, development and monitoring of service performance and other issues in respect of the area of Council activity relating to planning and economic development, wider environmental issues, culture, leisure, skills and training, and the quality of life in the City.

A copy of the agenda and reports is available on the Council's website at www.sheffield.gov.uk. You can also see the reports to be discussed at the meeting if you call at the First Point Reception, Town Hall, Pinstone Street entrance. The Reception is open between 9.00 am and 5.00 pm, Monday to Thursday and between 9.00 am and 4.45 pm. on Friday. You may not be allowed to see some reports because they contain confidential information. These items are usually marked * on the agenda.

Members of the public have the right to ask questions or submit petitions to Scrutiny Committee meetings and recording is allowed under the direction of the Chair. Please see the website or contact Democratic Services for further information regarding public questions and petitions and details of the Council's protocol on audio/visual recording and photography at council meetings.

Scrutiny Committee meetings are normally open to the public but sometimes the Committee may have to discuss an item in private. If this happens, you will be asked to leave. Any private items are normally left until last. If you would like to attend the meeting please report to the First Point Reception desk where you will be directed to the meeting room.

If you require any further information about this Scrutiny Committee, please contact Deborah Glen, Policy and Improvement Officer on 0114 27 35065 or [email deborah.glen@sheffield.gov.uk](mailto:deborah.glen@sheffield.gov.uk)

FACILITIES

There are public toilets available, with wheelchair access, on the ground floor of the Town Hall. Induction loop facilities are available in meeting rooms.

Access for people with mobility difficulties can be obtained through the ramp on the side to the main Town Hall entrance.

**ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING SCRUTINY AND POLICY
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE AGENDA
14 JANUARY 2020**

Order of Business

- 1. Welcome and Housekeeping Arrangements**
- 2. Apologies for Absence**
- 3. Exclusion of Public and Press**
To identify items where resolutions may be moved to exclude the press and public
- 4. Declarations of Interest** (Pages 1 - 4)
Members to declare any interests they have in the business to be considered at the meeting
- 5. Minutes of the Previous Meeting** (Pages 5 - 12)
To approve the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 12th November, 2019
- 6. Public Questions and Petitions**
To receive any questions or petitions from members of the public
- 7. City Centre Growth and Development**
Presentation from Edward Highfield (Director of City Growth) and Tammy Whitaker (Head of Regeneration and Property Services) (to follow).
- 8. Work Programme** (Pages 13 - 18)
Report of the Policy and Improvement Officer.
- 9. Date of Next Meeting**
The next meeting of the Committee will be held on Wednesday, 17th March, 2020, at 4.30 pm, in the Town Hall

This page is intentionally left blank

ADVICE TO MEMBERS ON DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

If you are present at a meeting of the Council, of its executive or any committee of the executive, or of any committee, sub-committee, joint committee, or joint sub-committee of the authority, and you have a **Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)** relating to any business that will be considered at the meeting, you must not:

- participate in any discussion of the business at the meeting, or if you become aware of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interest during the meeting, participate further in any discussion of the business, or
- participate in any vote or further vote taken on the matter at the meeting.

These prohibitions apply to any form of participation, including speaking as a member of the public.

You **must**:

- leave the room (in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct)
- make a verbal declaration of the existence and nature of any DPI at any meeting at which you are present at which an item of business which affects or relates to the subject matter of that interest is under consideration, at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent.
- declare it to the meeting and notify the Council's Monitoring Officer within 28 days, if the DPI is not already registered.

If you have any of the following pecuniary interests, they are your **disclosable pecuniary interests** under the new national rules. You have a pecuniary interest if you, or your spouse or civil partner, have a pecuniary interest.

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain, which you, or your spouse or civil partner undertakes.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from your council or authority) made or provided within the relevant period* in respect of any expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

*The relevant period is the 12 months ending on the day when you tell the Monitoring Officer about your disclosable pecuniary interests.

- Any contract which is made between you, or your spouse or your civil partner (or a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest) and your council or authority –
 - under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and
 - which has not been fully discharged.

- Any beneficial interest in land which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, have and which is within the area of your council or authority.
- Any licence (alone or jointly with others) which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, holds to occupy land in the area of your council or authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) –
 - the landlord is your council or authority; and
 - the tenant is a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest which you, or your spouse or your civil partner has in securities of a body where -
 - (a) that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of your council or authority; and
 - (b) either -
 - the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or
 - if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

If you attend a meeting at which any item of business is to be considered and you are aware that you have a **personal interest** in the matter which does not amount to a DPI, you must make verbal declaration of the existence and nature of that interest at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent. You should leave the room if your continued presence is incompatible with the 7 Principles of Public Life (selflessness; integrity; objectivity; accountability; openness; honesty; and leadership).

You have a personal interest where –

- a decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial standing (including interests in land and easements over land) of you or a member of your family or a person or an organisation with whom you have a close association to a greater extent than it would affect the majority of the Council Tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the ward or electoral area for which you have been elected or otherwise of the Authority's administrative area, or
- it relates to or is likely to affect any of the interests that are defined as DPIs but are in respect of a member of your family (other than a partner) or a person with whom you have a close association.

Guidance on declarations of interest, incorporating regulations published by the Government in relation to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests, has been circulated to you previously.

You should identify any potential interest you may have relating to business to be considered at the meeting. This will help you and anyone that you ask for advice to fully consider all the circumstances before deciding what action you should take.

In certain circumstances the Council may grant a **dispensation** to permit a Member to take part in the business of the Authority even if the member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest relating to that business.

To obtain a dispensation, you must write to the Monitoring Officer at least 48 hours before the meeting in question, explaining why a dispensation is sought and desirable, and specifying the period of time for which it is sought. The Monitoring Officer may consult with the Independent Person or the Council's Audit and Standards Committee in relation to a request for dispensation.

Further advice can be obtained from Gillian Duckworth, Director of Legal and Governance on 0114 2734018 or email gillian.duckworth@sheffield.gov.uk.

This page is intentionally left blank

Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development
Committee

Meeting held 12 November 2019

PRESENT: Councillors Denise Fox (Chair), Ian Auckland (Deputy Chair), Dianne Hurst, Alan Hooper, Abdul Khayum, Bryan Lodge, Mohammed Mahroof, Ben Miskell, Moya O'Rourke, Sioned-Mair Richards, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Martin Smith, Paul Turpin and Colin Ross (Substitute Member)

.....

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Neale Gibson and Barbara Masters, with Councillor Colin Ross attending as substitute for Councillor Masters.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

2.1 No items were identified where resolutions may be moved to exclude the public and press.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3.1 In relation to Agenda Item 7 (Heart of the City 2 Update) (Item 6 of these minutes), Councillor Ben Miskell declared a personal interest as Cabinet Advisor for Business and Investment.

4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

4.1 The minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 22nd October 2019, were approved as a correct record and, arising therefrom, further to comments raised by Councillor Ian Auckland, in relation to the Sheffield City Trust Update, the Policy and Improvement Officer (Alice Nicholson) stated that she would contact Ryan Keyworth (Director of Finance and Commercial Services) to find out if there was any further update, following the review due to be completed by the end of October 2019, and whether there had been any major deviations to the proposed recovery plan, and arrange for a briefing paper thereon to be submitted to a future meeting of the Committee.

5. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS

5.1 There were no questions raised or petitions submitted by members of the public.

6. HEART OF THE CITY 2 UPDATE

6.1 The Committee received a presentation from Nalin Seneviratne (Director of City Centre Development), providing an update on Heart of the City 2. Mr Seneviratne reported on the progress with regard to the development of each block of the

scheme, the heritage position with regard to Block H, together with an update on the leasing and marketing arrangements. He concluded by referring to the current financial position, highlighting the approvals and expenditure with regard to each of the blocks.

6.2 Members of the Committee raised questions, and the following responses were provided:-

- There had been recent changes in terms of retail rents across the UK, with the rents now being based on the overall square footage of the premises, rather than the traditional shop front rental method (Zone A). Zone A retail rents in Sheffield had reduced over the last few years, from an average of £270 to £165 per square foot. Several retailers were now wanting to enter into total turnover cost deals in connection with their rent, which the Authority was seeing more of, with resultant risk being shared between landlord and tenant. The Authority was now also undertaking more detailed assessments of prospective retailers wanting turnover rents, including investigations into their business operations and online operations, and would only offer deals to those companies whose business models were considered to be sufficiently robust.
- The Authority held a risk register in connection with the scheme, which was regularly monitored and updated. The current risk to the retail sector, nationally, had been well documented, and by using the risk register, the Authority was constantly monitoring the market. The key mitigation measure was that the development was being progressed on a block by block basis and, if any risk was identified, and that could not be mitigated or accepted, in terms of any of the blocks, development could be stopped.
- Whilst it was acknowledged that shop units were being left vacant in parts of the City Centre following the relocation of some retailers, some of these retailers were simply relocating elsewhere in the City Centre, and not leaving the City. It was accepted that there were current challenges with Fargate, which were due partly to the constraints in terms of access to, and the nature of, the buildings. Fargate was currently going through a period of transformation, and it was hoped that future development plans would be aided by a successful bid from the Future High Street Fund. There were also plans for investment in Orchard Square, which would help to enhance the overall City Centre development plans. There had never been any plan, as part of Heart of the City 2, to take any retailers off Fargate. Current footfall levels at Meadowhall showed that there was still life in the retail sector, and highlighted the fact that location and offer were key elements.
- Whilst it was accepted that there was also an element of risk in terms of demand, in the office sector, the Authority was confident that the proposed office accommodation being let as part of the scheme would be successful. Block C on Pinstone Street was being developed on a speculative basis. However, companies were already making enquiries to take up that office space. The Authority was finding that companies were looking to provide

better office accommodation for their staff, both in terms of improving staff wellbeing and accommodating modern methods of working, and that several companies had expressed a wish to either locate, or relocate, to the City Centre.

- Whilst not being able to comment in any detail on the current position with regard to the Local Plan, Mr Seneviratne had been consulted on the City Centre element of the Local Plan, as well as on the City Centre Vision. It was not envisaged that the recently announced delay regarding the Local Plan would have any adverse effects on Heart of the City 2.
- Whilst it was acknowledged that the total committed cost of the scheme - £469 million, represented both a vast sum and a potential development risk, it was stressed that this sum did not represent normal capital programme expenditure but instead, involved the development of investment assets, with the creation of income-generating buildings. In terms of Block D, for example, HSBC were paying the Council rent, and the option was always there for the Authority to sell the building and obtain a capital receipt. As the scheme was being developed on a phased block by block basis, construction of the blocks, save for Block C, would only commence when the Authority had received assurances in terms of pre-lets, and any risks were reviewed on a block by block basis. As part of the risk review process, the financial standing of occupiers was being scrutinised. The issue of risk was taken very seriously, and taken fully into account, and the market was being constantly assessed so as to mitigate any such risk to the Authority.
- It was believed that the accommodation to be provided at West Bar was a different offer to that at Heart of the City 2, with differences on space, rental values and location being factors of choice.
- It was not the intention, as part of the scheme, to move any retailers out of their existing premises in the City Centre, but more about creating opportunities for those retailers to move to more fit for purpose accommodation. There had been an increase in expressions of interest from companies and retailers from other areas of the country, including London, partly due to the lower rents in Sheffield. Some companies or retailers were looking to relocate due to expansion or because their leases were coming to an end. Officers were currently supporting a company to look at how the former HSBC office accommodation at Silver Street Head could be repurposed. There was still a demand for smaller office accommodation, which had previously comprised, in some cases, converted residential accommodation, from which expanding companies were now looking for new opportunities with the options for the old office space converting back to residential accommodation.
- The marketing in respect of the hotels as part of the scheme had been targeted towards the lifestyle/boutique/4-star plus chains, and a number of big hotel chains had expressed an interest. It was proposed that the hotel in Block G was more a budget style. The intention was to retain the freehold of

the whole site, thereby retaining an element of control through the leases.

- The Authority had worked very closely with Arup, an engineering consultancy, in connection with the environmental credentials of the scheme. There was also a general sentiment shared by a number of businesses and their staff in terms of being environmentally-friendly. One example of this was that, as part of the development, HSBC were connected to the district heating scheme, with other occupiers of the development expressing an interest to do the same.
- There was the belief that once one or two prestigious retailers opened premises in the City it would give confidence to others to do the same. Interest had been received from other major retailers in respect of the other retail units in Block D, on Cambridge Street and Wellington Street.
- The Authority was currently in dialogue with the owners of the buildings south of Charles Street, on Pinstone Street and Union Street, and it was hoped that new development plans for this area would be submitted in the near future.
- The development of 3 St Paul's Place, which had been constructed as part of the original Heart of the City Project, had been the major factor in terms of driving up office occupation in this central part of the City Centre. As with the first phase of the Project, it was important that the Authority continued to have confidence in the future development of the City Centre, whilst also being mindful in terms of managing the risk.
- It was very difficult to predict if there would be any likely adverse effects on the development following Brexit and the upcoming General Election. Both these factors were on the risk register and, by progressing the development on a block by block basis, this gave the Authority the opportunity to halt the development of any Blocks if necessary.

6.3 RESOLVED: That the Committee:-

- (a) notes the information reported as part of the presentation, and the responses to the questions raised;
- (b) thanks Nalin Seneviratne for attending the meeting and responding to the questions raised; and
- (c) welcomes the progress being made in connection with Heart of the City 2.

7. DIVISION STREET TEMPORARY CLOSURE

7.1 The Committee received a paper from CycleSheffield on an assessment of the trial pedestrianisation of Division Street and Devonshire Street, on Saturday, 19th and Sunday, 20th October 2019. Dexter Johnstone and Andrew Rodgers (CycleSheffield) attended the meeting.

7.2 Dexter Johnstone referred to the paper, which set out information on the background to the trial, the objectives of the trial, observations noted throughout the weekend, including human, motor traffic and environmental issues, feedback following the trial and recommendations in terms of possible pedestrianisation of this and other streets in the City in the future. The Committee also viewed a short video of the proceedings of the weekend.

7.3 Members of the Committee raised questions, and the following responses were provided:-

- Similar pedestrianisation trials had been undertaken in other areas of the country, such as Waltham Forest, and had proved very successful in terms of the shared use of space. It was hoped that, if further trials could be held in Sheffield, or if some streets or parts of such streets, could become permanently pedestrianised, there would be a need for the implementation of soft landscaping. It was suggested that further trials be undertaken on other suitable streets in the City during the day so as not to disrupt commuters.
- The event had proved very successful, particularly based on the positive feedback received. Based on its success, CycleSheffield would like to see more pedestrianisation on the streets in the City.
- Suggestions had been made in terms of the possible pedestrianisation of parts of Ecclesall Road and South Road, but there were complications with these areas on the basis that they carry arterial traffic. These are two areas which could possibly be explored, and CycleSheffield would be happy to support any development in terms of the future pedestrianisation of these roads.
- CycleSheffield were very mindful of the risks of allowing cyclists to use the pedestrianised streets, but considered that any risk to pedestrians was very low.

7.4 RESOLVED: That the Committee:-

- (a) notes the contents of the paper now circulated, together with the information now reported and the responses to the questions raised;
- (b) thanks Dexter Johnstone and Andrew Rodgers for attending the meeting, and responding to the questions raised; and
- (c) notes the success of the trial pedestrianisation of Division Street and Devonshire Street, and welcomes the involvement of CycleSheffield in any further proposed pedestrianisation of streets in the City.

8. TRANSFORMING CITIES FUND - ENGAGEMENT AND DELIVERY CHALLENGES

8.1 The Committee received a report of the Director of City Growth, containing a

summary of the current position with regard to the Government's Transforming Cities Fund (TCF).

8.2 Tom Finnegan-Smith (Head of Strategic Transport and Infrastructure) introduced the report, which set out information on the Sheffield-related component of the bid, details of how the proposal priorities had been determined, and set out a suggested way forward for Members, stakeholders and the wider City, to engage with, and make the most of, this opportunity. Appended to the report was (a) the Transforming Cities Prospectus: Global Innovation Corridor – June 2018, produced by the Sheffield City Region (SCR), who were responsible for the submission of the bid and (b) a briefing paper on Transforming Cities Tranche 2, as at October 2019.

8.3 Members of the Committee raised questions, and the following responses were provided:-

- The bid was based on low, medium and high-scale projects, with some being much more difficult to implement. The priorities for Sheffield comprised projects relating to sustainable travel schemes from areas outside the City Centre, into the City Centre. Work was currently being undertaken to scope out the different projects and try and find out which communities would make best use of such infrastructure.
- It was very difficult at this stage to make any predictions in terms of the level of funding Sheffield was expected to receive. SCR had been in close discussion with the Department of Transport, and as part of such discussions, had sought advice on the range of funding being requested. Whilst it could not be confirmed, it was expected that Sheffield's share of the £215 million funding, which was currently the subject of the SCR bid to the Department of Transport, would be between £50 million and £85 million. Confirmation of this was expected from the Government by March 2020. Officers were not sure whether Sheffield's share would be at the low or high end, but were concentrating on putting forward an effective, strategic business case.
- Whilst the split between SCR's proposed projects represented 50% active travel-related and 50% public transport-related, Sheffield's split was 60% to 40%, which was based on projects identified through early feasibility work. Such projects, would be developed further over time.
- Whilst it would be ideal if support for all the proposed schemes was unanimous, including Member support, it was accepted that more detail on each of the proposed schemes may be needed. It was important, therefore, that the specific design standards in respect of the schemes were met, and hopefully also met the proposals in the Council's Transport Strategy.
- The reference to the cycle routes being prioritised based on likely uptake of cycling by "ordinary people" was based on the desire to attract more people who didn't usually cycle, in an attempt to reduce car usage.
- The timescale for completion of the schemes, as set by the Government, was

2023, which represented a very short timescale, particularly with regard to those major schemes for which Sheffield was seeking investment for. Such timescales therefore precluded any significant tram or train expansion plans. Whilst such plans were included in the Council's Transport Strategy, they represented significant financial investment. The Authority had commenced discussions with SCR on the proposed expansion of the tram and/or train network in the region, which would hopefully result in a report on such proposals being submitted to SCR in the near future.

- It was accepted that there were problems with current bus services in the City, and concerns thereon had been forwarded to SCR, who were currently undertaking a review of bus services in the region. In addition to the concerns raised with regard to the current state of bus services in the City, Councillor Bob Johnson (Cabinet Member for Transport and Development), at a recent Council meeting, had requested a review of such services. Bus services represented a key element of the Council's Transport Strategy, and it was deemed essential that the usage of buses and other forms of public transport, were increased. The vast majority of the current bus network was commercial, thereby limiting what influence the Council had. It was accepted that the public needed to have confidence in their bus services.
- Whilst one of the proposed schemes related to the improvement and provision of more sustainable travel options to Doncaster/Sheffield Airport, it was not envisaged that such proposals would result in an increase in the number of flights. The aim of the bid was to provide such sustainable travel options in order to reduce car usage.

8.4 RESOLVED: That the Committee:-

- (a) notes the contents of the report now submitted, together with the comments now made and the responses to the questions raised;
- (b) thanks Tom Finnegan-Smith for attending the meeting and responding to the questions raised; and
- (c) welcomes the bid being made to the Department of Transport, as well as the work being undertaken by officers, in liaison with the Sheffield City Region in connection with the bid.

9. WORK PROGRAMME 2019/20

- 9.1 The Committee received a report of the Policy and Improvement Officer (Alice Nicholson) containing the Work Programme for 2019/20.
- 9.2 Ms Nicholson reported that (a) City Centre Growth and Development had now been confirmed as an item on the agenda for the meeting to be held on 14th January 2020, (b) she would be contacting Ryan Keyworth (Director of Finance and Commercial Services) in connection with the Sheffield City Trust, in order to find out whether an update would be provided at a future meeting, (c) the Committee needed to consider what action it should take in with regard to the

review of bus services in the City, and (d) consideration would be given to including the issue of flood defences on the agenda for the meeting to be held on 14th January 2020.

9.3 RESOLVED: That the Committee notes the information now reported, and approves the Work Programme for 2019/20.

10. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

10.1 It was noted that the next meeting of the Committee would be held on Tuesday, 14th January 2020, at 4.30 pm, in the Town Hall.



Report to Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny & Policy Development Committee Tuesday 14th January 2020

Report of: Policy and Improvement Officer

Subject: Work Programme 2019/20: Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny & Policy Development Committee

Author of Report: Alice Nicholson, Policy and Improvement Officer
alice.nicholson@sheffield.gov.uk
 0114 273 5065

The Work Programme is attached at Appendix 1 for the Committee's consideration and discussion. It aims to focus on a small number of issues, in depth. This means the Committee will need to prioritise issues to be included on formal meeting agendas. Where an issue is not appropriate for inclusion on a meeting agenda, but there is significant interest from Members, the Committee can choose to request a written briefing paper.

The Work Programme will remain a live document and will be brought to each Committee meeting. Please note there is only one more scheduled meeting this municipal year, it is Tuesday 17th March 2020.

Type of item: The report author should tick the appropriate box

Reviewing of existing policy	
Informing the development of new policy	
Statutory consultation	
Performance / budget monitoring report	
Cabinet request for scrutiny	
Full Council request for scrutiny	
Community Assembly request for scrutiny	
Call-in of Cabinet decision	
Briefing paper for the Scrutiny Committee	
Other	X

The Scrutiny Committee is being asked to:

- Consider and comment on the committee's draft work programme
- Identify, prioritise and agree topics for inclusion in the work programme

Background Papers: [Sheffield Council Constitution](#)

Category of Report: OPEN

Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee

DRAFT WORK PROGRAMME 2019/20

Last updated: 2nd January 2020

Please note: the work programme is a live document and so is subject to change.

E&EWB			
Topic	Reasons for selecting topic	Lead Officer/s	Agenda Item/ Briefing paper
Tuesday 23rd July 2019 4.30-6.30			
<i>Air Quality Consultation</i>	<i>Issue carried forward from last year, Committee requested input into the consultation process and the outline business case</i>	<i>Laurie Brennan, Head of Policy and Partnerships Tom Finnegan-Smith, Head of Strategic Transport and Infrastructure</i>	Agenda Item
<i>Bus Services Review</i>	<i>Current Review being led by Clive Betts and supported by Sheffield City Region</i>	<i>Jo Kaczmarek, Sheffield City Region</i>	Agenda Item
<i>Draft Committee work programme 2019/20</i>	<i>consideration of a draft work programme for Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee 2018-19, including dates of meetings for year</i>	<i>Policy and Improvement Officer</i>	

Tuesday 3rd September 2019 4.30-6.30			
<i>Visit to Veolia Energy Recovery Facility</i>	<i>Agreed at meeting held on 23rd July 2019</i>		Visit
<i>Recycling progress report</i>	<i>Agreed at meeting held on 23rd July 2019</i>	<i>Gillian Charters</i>	Agenda Item
<i>Work programme 2019/20</i>		<i>Policy and Improvement Officer</i>	Standing Item
Tuesday 22nd October 2019 4.30-6.30			
<i>Update of Brexit impact on Sheffield</i>	<i>Continuing the Committee's look at the potential impact on Sheffield of Brexit</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Finance, Resources and Governance; Eugene Walker; Richard Wright (SCCI); Maddie Desforges (Sheffield VAS)</i>	Standing Item
<i>Sheffield City Trust (SCT, this includes SIV)</i>	<i>Cash flow loan to SCT (SIV) - An update on why the need for the loan, implications for our city leisure offer</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Finance, Resources and Governance and Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure; Ryan Keyworth and Tammy Barrass</i>	
<i>Work programme 2019/20</i>		<i>Policy and Improvement Officer</i>	Standing Item
Tuesday 12th November 2019 4.30-6.30			
<i>Heart of the City 2 Update</i>	<i>Continuing consideration of/watching brief on city centre growth and development</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Business and Investment, Nalin Seneviratne</i>	
<i>Transforming Cities Fund (Sheffield bid update)</i>	<i>Consideration of how Transport Strategy will be delivered; and what we are doing for Active Travel in the city</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, Tom Finnegan-Smith</i>	

<i>Division Street temporary closure</i>	<i>Update for the Committee on this recent activity</i>	<i>Cycle Sheffield</i>	
<i>Work programme 2019/20</i>		<i>Policy and Improvement Officer</i>	Standing Item
Tuesday 14th January 2020			
City Centre Regeneration Activity	City Centre vision, plans, projects, schemes not part of Heart of the City e.g. West Bar - the Committee received an update on HOC2 and want to hear about city centre development not part of HOC2	Cabinet Member for Business and Investment, Edward Highfield, Tammy Whitaker	Agenda Item
Work programme 2019/20		Policy and Improvement Officer	Agenda Item
Tuesday 17th March 2020			
Annual update on Streets Ahead Contract	Committee requested this be brought to the committee annually following the Post Core Investment review of the contract in 2018/19	Cabinet Member for Environment, Streetscene and Climate Change - Mark Jones; officers	Agenda Item
<i>TBC - Clean Air Zone Update - post consultation; link with Climate Change update</i>	<i>Watching Brief and Follow up of consideration of this by the Committee previously</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Transport and Development – Bob Johnson; Tom Finnegan-Smith</i>	Agenda Item
<i>TBC - Monitoring and Evaluation of all transport infrastructure schemes</i>	<i>Requested by Committee following the call in of the Sheffield Inner Ring Road and Junctions Scheme during 2018/19; how do we prioritise and determine value for money and air quality impact</i>	<i>Cabinet Member for Transport and Development – Bob Johnson; Tom Finnegan-Smith</i>	Agenda Item
Scrutiny Annual Report 2018/19 Draft Content & Work Programme 2019/20	This report provides the Committee with a summary of its activities over the municipal year for inclusion in the Scrutiny Annual Report 2018-19; and a list of topics which it is recommended be put forward for consideration as part of the 2019-20 Work Programme for this committee.	Policy and Improvement Officer	Agenda Item

Priority Items to be scheduled in this municipal year target meeting 17.03.2020

Sheffield International Venues (SIV)	Follow up to the item of 22nd October and recommendations of the Committee - see minutes of 22.10.2019	Cabinet Member for Finance, Resources and Governance and Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure; Ryan Keyworth and Tammy Barass	
Flood defence update	A follow up to previous consideration by the Committee of the Protecting Sheffield from Flooding scheme, and in light of recent heavy rain - How programme of work going and how completed works made an impact following the heavy rain	Cabinet Member, Strategic Partnership - Environment Agency	
Bus Service changes, Sheffield Bus Partnership and SCR Bus Review	Requested to be on the work programme by Committee in 2018/19. Requested reps from bus operators. To be further determined by item on 23rd July 2019	Cabinet Member for Transport and Development; Sheffield City Region (Mayor or Mayor's office); SYPTE; Tom Finnegan-Smith; Bus Operators	TBC when
Transport Strategy – SCR Active Travel Project; and role of cycling	AN update on SCR Active Travel Project and progress report on role of cycling as requested by Committee in 2018/19	Cabinet Member for Transport and Development; SCR - Dame Sarah Storey, Pete Zanzottera (SCR Active Travel project director); Cycle Sheffield	TBC when

Remainder items to be scheduled - possibly municipal year 2020/2021

Climate Change / Climate Emergency - an SCC update	SCC declaration of Climate Emergency, working towards Zero Carbon in Sheffield	Cabinet Member for Environment, Streetscene and Climate Change	
Employability/Inclusive and Sustainable Economy	Look at Case Studies e.g. Boeing/Maclaren – job numbers, universities for progression; Pretty Little Things – positive employment practices; Meadowhall – local jobs	Mazher & Abtisam; EHN – protected characteristics participation/represented; Yvonne Asquith	January 2019?

Sheffield City Region Mayoral Combined Authority & LEP	Invitation to Mayor to attend a meeting to discuss priorities, particularly transport (<i>report back on the SCR bus survey and review?</i>)		
Sheffield Plan	Update on Local Development Plan timeline; and involvement of scrutiny - Work Programme 2020/21 - LDS as guide to when	Cabinet Member for Transport and Development	
Local Development Scheme (LDS)	Revised LDS for the local development plan approved as an ICM 23.10.2019	Cabinet Member for Transport and Development	
University role in the economy - University of Sheffield and Sheffield Hallam University	Sheffield as a university city brings added value to the economy - what are the impacts; as a city is there more we need to do?		