

Scheme name & summary description		Value £'000
A	<b>Economic growth</b>	
	New additions	
	None	
	Variations and reasons for change	
Page 207	<p><b>Tinsley Art project / M1 Gateway</b></p> <p><b>Scheme description</b></p> <p>The project was originally approved in 2013 to create a major piece of art on the site of the demolished Tinsley Cooling Towers, designed in conjunction with public consultation.</p> <p><b>What has changed?</b></p> <p>The project has experienced delays as a result of an unsuccessful funding application resulting in a funding gap; and a consequential need to revise the scope of the project and identify further funds. As a result, £204k funding will be moved into the next financial year.</p> <p><b>Variation type: -</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[slippage]</li> </ul>	204
	<p><b>Funding</b></p>	Third party contributions
	<p><b>Procurement</b></p>	n/a
	<p><b>Grey to Green Phase 2 (Part One)</b></p> <p><b>Scheme description</b></p> <p>This project is part of the Castlegate Kick Start Programme.</p> <p>The area has been in decline for many years due to the loss of a distinctive economic role, yet it remains a main gateway into the city centre and forms the setting of, or route to, most central hotels. The principal aim of the project is assist with the regeneration of the area by making Castlegate and Exchange Street a location for start-ups and new investment (particularly in cutting-edge technology and creative businesses), as well as attracting new forms of city centre living. It will also contribute to a rediscovery of the historic and landscape heritage of the quarter. The main objectives are:-</p>	4,362

- To create a distinctive and attractive setting for investment and economic regeneration
- To improve connectivity for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport
- To reconnect the riverside hotel and business district as well as Victoria Quays to the core city centre
- To promote reduced flood risk and a climate resilient district through increased surface water storage
- To establish a place for people , outdoor events and vitality
- To provide a setting to 'kick start' the regeneration of Castle House (former Co-op), the Old Castle Market site and other council owned sites on Exchange St and Broad St West

**What has changed?**

Following initial feasibility, the total cost of the proposed project (including the current project spend) is £5,083k. Approval is being sought to add the full project funding and progress the procurement.

The planned outputs are as listed:-

- Pedestrianise Castlegate and narrow redundant carriage ways on Exchange Street/Place to create a setting for development plots, public event space, Sustainable Urban Drainage and meadow planting areas to transform the public realm and improve the environment.
- Redirect bus routes from Castlegate via Exchange Place and Blonk Street, including a new bus gate at Blonk Bridge.
- Extend green and open space corridors with pedestrian and cycle priority to create a gateway to the city centre, particularly for the adjoining hotel cluster and riverside business district.
- Create potential development sites from highway land at Exchange Place/Wharf St

The full project funding is as follows:-

	£k	
SCRIF	3,320	** refer to the Grants for Acceptance section
Section 106	1,243	(Of which £325k previously approved for detailed design)
Growth Investment Fund	340	(£396k originally approved £56k applied to feasibility for Castle Hill Project)
Early Measures Fund	80	
Castle House Development	50	
Canal & River Trust	50	
	5,083	

**Variation type: -**

- [budget increase]
- [procurement strategy]

<b>Funding</b>	See table above
<b>Procurement</b>	Construction contractor by competition via the YORcivil2 framework

		Public art commissions via closed competitive tender. Archaeology watching brief to be administered by SYAS.	
	<b>Procurement</b>	Construction contractor by competition via the YORcivil2 framework Public art commissions via closed competitive tender. Archaeology watching brief to be administered by SYAS.	
<b>Page 209</b>	<b>Digital Incubator</b> <b>Scheme description</b> This project is to establish a new facility providing work space, business incubation and other services for entrepreneurs and small and medium sized businesses (SMEs) based in the Sheffield City Region whose ambitions and business models rely on digital technologies and their applications. Sheffield City Council is acting at the Accountable Body for a grant of £3.5m. <b>What has changed?</b> £50k of the approved funding is to be transferred to the Grey 2 Green Phase 2 project, which will deliver works to the frontage of Castle House. <b>Variation type: -</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[budget decrease]</li> </ul>		(50)
	<b>Funding</b>	-50k Department of Culture, Media & Sports	
	<b>Procurement</b>	N/A	
<b>B</b>	<b>Transport</b>		
	New additions		
	<b>Wellington Street Car park</b> <b>Why do we need the project?</b> Sheffield City Centre has 21 car parks that are managed by Parking Services and offers around 724 spaces. Most of the car parks are located on areas of land designated for redevelopment that is intended to support the City's regeneration and economic growth.		27.2

**How are we going to achieve it?**

The Council owns an area of tarmac land at the corner of Wellington Street and Fitzwilliam Street and on 21st of September 2017 the Cabinet Member for Finance approved the temporary use of the land as pay and display car park. The new car park will create 15 parking spaces in total (18 off-street spaces but with a loss of 3 on-street parking spaces in creating the new car park) and will provide some mitigation for the impact on traffic management by providing some off street parking in an area of high demand.

The cost of creating the car park is £27.2k and it is currently expected to be retained for 5 years. The project will be funded by prudential borrowing with an expected payback period of 3 years. Over the full 5 year period, it is estimated the amount of surplus income generated will be £41k.

**What are the benefits?**

The creation of the new car part will help sustain parking income.

**When will the project be completed?**

By end of March 2019

Funding Source	Prudential Borrowing	Amount	27.2	Status	Approved
----------------	----------------------	--------	------	--------	----------

<b>Procurement</b>	Groundworks via closed competitive tender using Sheffield contractors. Miscellaneous sundry works undertaken in-house.				
--------------------	---	--	--	--	--

Variations and reasons for change

**Little Don Link**

**Scheme description**

The Council has a corporate objective of increasing active travel as part of its overall transport strategy designed to improve travel choice and tackle congestion. This project was originally approved with an to objective to reduce traffic congestion and improve air quality through Stocksbridge and Deepcar by providing a new cycle route along the Little Don River and connect Stocksbridge and Deepcar to Barnsley, Northern Sheffield and the Peak District.

The project is now in phase 2 of the proposed works to link North Sheffield through to the northern Peak District at Langsett, connecting Deepcar into Fox Valley at its western end and Wharncliffe Woods at its Eastern End.

**What has changed?**

The scheme was initially approved to be funded from Sustainable Transport Exemplar Programme (STEP) which ended on 31 March 2018. Due to programme delays, it was not possible to deliver the full scheme within the STEP funding deadlines.

In order to progress the works to completion and take into account increased construction costs relating to the issue of the delay, £130k Local

	Transport Funding is to be added to the budget. <b>Variation type: -</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[budget increase]</li> </ul>		
	<b>Funding</b>	Local Transport Plan £130k	
<b>C</b>	<b>Quality of life</b>		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations and reasons for change		
	None		
<b>Page 211</b>	<b>Green and open spaces</b>		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations and reasons for change		
	<b>Norfolk Park CCTV &amp; Paths (Phase 2)</b> <b>Scheme description</b> Recent investment has refurbished Norfolk Heritage Park Playgrounds and is creating a new link from the new housing site down into Jervis Lum. The site is now much busier as a result and having invested £275K in the new play areas we would like to ensure the park and playground remains safe and secure.  <b>What has changed?</b>  Additions to the scheme to use some of the contingency: CCTV - The current CCTV system does not have good surveillance of the refurbished woodland play area due to tree cover and position and quality of cameras. The project will upgrade the system to allow better surveillance of this area to reduce likelihood of vandalism and antisocial behaviour.  Path Works - The project will also deliver a new stretch of tarmac footpath which will improve accessibility by linking the existing footpath at the		18/19: +20 19/20: <u>-2</u> Total 18

Page 212	<p>Beeches Bank end of Jervis Lum to the main path around Norfolk Park.</p> <p>Additions to the scheme to requested by Service: Barrier Gate - The current access gate into the site remains open throughout the day for the numerous vehicles which need to access the Centre in the Park. However, this also means unauthorised vehicles are entering a busy park. The project will add a power barrier which can be managed by the staff at the Centre in the Park to allow better control of vehicles entering the site.</p> <p><b>Variation type:</b> - Change of Scope, Budget Increase, and Reprofile</p> <p>Previous Years Spend £60K                  2018/19 currently £226K + £18K + £2K from 19/20 = £246K                  2019/20 currently £8K + £-2K = £6K                  TOTAL currently £294K + £18K = £312K</p>		
	<b>Funding</b>	£9K additional S106 (1363) approved + £9K Revenue Contribution to Capital for the Barrier Gate, and £9K existing Contingency	
	<b>Procurement</b>	<p>CCTV upgrade via the corporate security contract.</p> <p>Path works via the non-highways measured term contract.</p> <p>Barrier gate via closed competitive tender using Sheffield contractors.</p>	
	<p><b>Rethinking Parson Cross Phase 2</b></p> <p><b>Scheme description</b></p> <p>Parson Cross District Park is an area of Council owned green space in Parson Cross that currently suffers from a lack of visibility, is much underused and has poor connections with the surrounding neighbourhood. In addition, it is in an area of health inequality.</p> <p><b>What has changed?</b></p> <p>SCC Parks has developed a masterplan for the Park and Phase 1 delivered playground improvements and a new footpath link. Final costs for a North South footpath link (with tree works) and a new gate to improve access to the SuDS are now known and have been put forward and approved as Phase 2. These works are expected to be delivered before the end of 2018/19.</p> <p><b>Variation type:</b> - Budget Increase</p> <p>Previous Years Spend £66K                  2018/19 currently £1K + £55K Phase 2 = £122K                  TOTAL currently £67K + £55K Phase 2 = £122K</p>		55
	<b>Funding</b>	£25K S106 and £30K Public Health	

	<b>Procurement</b>	<p>Footpath works via the non-highways measured term contract.</p> <p>Tree Works by closed competitive tender using Sheffield contractors.</p> <p>Gate supply and install by closed competitive tender using Sheffield contractors.</p>	
<b>E</b>	<b>Housing growth</b>		
	New additions		
Page 213	<b>Housing Growth Programme (HGP) Site Feasibility 2018</b>		74
	<p><b>Why do we need the project?</b></p> <p>There is a shortfall of affordable housing in Sheffield and an emerging aspiration to deliver 3,000 council homes in the next 10 years. Within the HRA Business Plan, there is approval to deliver an additional 1,600 Council homes, of which 350 have already been added to the stock. This proposal seeks to maximise the use of Council land for new council housing, by taking a logical and sequential approach to understanding the development opportunity and constraints of 10 sites, the findings of which will enable the development of an affordable housing programme and enable the development of market facing tender documents for cost and time efficiencies</p> <p>In February 2015, the Council approved the revised Housing Growth Business Plan, including a mixed programme of new build to renew the Council’s housing stock. This project will examine the viability of this across 10 identified Sheffield sites. If not addressed now, SCC will not deliver the housing units to meet our commitments regarding increased capacity within the city.</p> <p><b>How are we going to achieve it?</b></p> <p>CDS to carry out staged feasibility studies on the 10 sites to establish suitability and viability for future investment. Feasibility studies are to be based on identified housing needs for each of the HMAs (Housing Market Areas) which will be provided by the Strategic Housing Service Manager. OBCs will be then be brought forward for each site or clusters of sites based upon the findings.</p> <p><b>What are the benefits?</b></p> <p>The overall objective is to identify sites for direct delivery (design and construction) by SCC, as part of the Stock Increase Programme.</p> <p>Benefits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Greater understanding of costs and implications for developing SCC owned sites through – technical review, commission surveys, concept designs and updates cost estimates</li> <li>• Develop recommendations for a viable housing delivery strategy for implementation through individual or combined site development (separate business cases anticipated)</li> <li>• Facilitate the direct development of housing sites which will generate additional income to SCC via council tax receipts</li> </ul> <p>Outputs:</p> <p>Feasibility assessment will consist of:</p>		

Executive Summary Report, 10 Site Specific Reports, Concept Master Plan for each Site, Update of Master Programme Data <b>When will the project be completed?</b> Completion of Feasibility Report in November 18. Completion of development of sites will be reported in the OBCs.							
<b>Funding Source</b>	HRA Stock Increase Programme	<b>Amount</b>	£74,000	<b>Status</b>	Funding held specifically for Stock Increase (Q0087)	<b>Approved</b>	Yes
<b>Procurement</b>		Feasibility work undertaken in-house by the Capital Delivery Service. Surveys via closed competitive tender.					
Variations and reasons for change							
Page 214	<b>New Build Phase 2 - Weaklands</b> <b>Scheme description</b> To deliver approximately 38nr new build affordable Council homes that will diversify the existing housing stock and build on the 51nr properties delivered in New Council Housing Phase 1 and property numbers acquired as part of the Council Housing Acquisitions Project.						18/19: +83 19/20: +1,079 20/21: <u>-1,910</u> Total: -748
	<b>What has changed?</b> The scheme reverted to Initial Business Case status and was approved in August to use the existing plans produced for the first scheme, and consider changes/savings that can be achieved without the requirement to resubmit a planning application. At that time the existing budget was slipped into 2019/20 apart from the amount needed for the IBC fees of £18K, and £2K spend that had already taken place in 2018/19.  As a result of this initial work it's been discovered that the section of land to the east of Weakland Way on site A is currently under lease to the Home Group. Negotiations to buy back the lease have commenced but may not be complete or to agreeable terms by the construction start date. This will affect the delivery of 2nr units on Site A, reducing the overall delivery to 36 units.  Estimated costs to deliver these 36 units are now known and the budget for delivering those can be correctly profiled.						
<b>Variation type: - Reprofile</b> Previous Years Spend = £244K 2018/19            currently £20K +    £83K = estimated spend    £103K 2019/20            currently £4,249K + £1,079K = estimated spend £5,328K 2020/21            currently <u>£1,922K</u> - £1,910K = estimated spend <u>£12K</u>							



	<p>TOTAL currently £6,435K - £748K = estimate spend £5,687K</p> <p>£748K will be moved back to Q0087 for re-allocation.</p>		
	<b>Funding</b>	HRA and 1-4-1 Receipts -£748K	
	<b>Procurement</b>	Design and build contractor by competition via the YORbuild2 framework.	
Page 215	<p><b>Stock Increase Programme</b></p> <p><b>Scheme description</b></p> <p>The HRA block allocation for the Stock Increase Programme</p> <p><b>What has changed?</b></p> <p>£74K HRA only drawdown to 97558 HGP Site Feasibility 2018, see above.</p> <p>£748K moved back from 97553 New Build Phase 2 - Weaklands which has more allocation in the BU than needed for the current planned project, see above.</p> <p>Net £674K</p> <p><b>Variation type:</b> - Budget Increase</p> <p>2020/21 currently £11,681K + £674K = £12,355K</p> <p>TOTAL currently £77,265K + £674K = £77,939K</p>		20/21: +674
	<b>Funding</b>	HRA +£450K (70% of £748K - £74K), 1-4-1 Receipts +£224K (30% of £748K)	
	<b>Procurement</b>		
<b>F</b>	<b>Housing investment</b>		
	New additions		
	None		
	Variations and reasons for change		

	None							
<b>G</b>	<b>People – capital and growth</b>							
	New additions							
Page 216	<b>Astrea – Sports Pitch – Feasibility &amp; Design</b> <b>Why do we need the project?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Astrea Academy is a new through Primary and Secondary School on the site of the old Pye Bank Primary school. Issues regarding the identification of a site for the provision of outdoor sports provision have now been resolved. The near-by Stanley Fields site has been identified as the preferred site for these facilities to be provided and feasibility and design work will now commence.</li> </ul> <b>How are we going to achieve it?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In-house design and project team to produce design package, planning application, tender package and contract for construction work.</li> </ul> <b>What are the benefits?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Astrea Academy will have access to improved sports facilities</li> <li>The local community will have access to improved sports facilities</li> <li>The appearance of the site at Stanley Fields will be improved</li> </ul> <b>When will the project be completed?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>30/08/2019</li> </ul>							54
	<b>Funding Source</b>	People –CYP Basic Need Allocation	<b>Amount</b>	£54,430	<b>Status</b>	Provision for the total project cost of up to £1m made from the People – CYP Basic Need Allocation	<b>Approved</b>	Yes
	<b>Procurement</b>		In-house design via the Capital Delivery Service. Surveys via closed competitive tender.					
	Variations and reasons for change							
	<b>Early Years 30hr – St Thomas School</b> <b>Scheme description</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development of additional Early Years 30 Hour child care places for working families in the Chancet Wood / Meadowhead area. Part of a</li> </ul>							-37

	<p>£1.2m small grants scheme pass-porting money from DfE Early Years Capital funding to projects.</p> <p><b>What has changed?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project completed for £185k, but school informed by the DfE (Department for Education) that they are allowed to claim VAT back from HMRC, being an Academy.</li> </ul> <p><b>Variation type: -</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>[Budget decrease]: as the council is no longer required to fund the school for the £37k of VAT that can be recovered by them from HMRC as now an Academy.</li> </ul>	
Page 217	<p><b>Funding</b></p>	<p>The DFE has clawed back this amount of grant from the council and the school has repaid the council this same amount from that which they were originally funded.</p>
	<p><b>Procurement</b></p>	<p>N/A: no change: Provider responsible for own procurement.</p>
	<p><b>Early Years 30hr – Twinkle Nursery</b></p> <p><b>Scheme description</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development of additional Early Years 30 Hour child care places for working families in the Chancet Wood / Meadowhead area. Part of a £1.2m small grants scheme pass-porting money from DfE Early Years Capital funding to projects.</li> </ul> <p><b>What has changed?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project not proceeding: Provider (Nursery) decision.</li> <li>The DfE has allowed £7.4k to be kept towards administration and management costs already incurred by the Council</li> </ul> <p><b>Variation type: -</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Budget decrease: of £29.9k from original allocation of £37.3k</li> <li>Scope has changed as the project will no longer go ahead, following a decision by Nursery.</li> </ul>	<p>-30</p>
<p>H</p>	<p><b>Essential compliance and maintenance</b></p>	

	New additions		
	None		
	Variations and reasons for change (please specify all that apply: budget increase / budget reduction / reprofiling / scope change / procurement)		
Page 218	<b>Corporate Buildings Essential Replacement Programme – Condition Surveys 17-19</b> <b>Scheme description</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The operational estate suffers from an acute backlog maintenance liability, with various common building elements now at the point of failure. Data on the SCC estate at present is not extensive or of a high quality. A substantial amount of work is needed to update the level of information required to meet the aim of implementing a Property and Asset Management Strategy (PAMS) to manage the SCC estate.</li> </ul> <b>What has changed?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract extended to future years, following completion of initial test sites having to be undertaken first.</li> </ul> <b>Variation type: -</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slippage of £440k from 18/19, with £220k being slipped into each of years 19/20 and 20/21 to match contractor’s estimated cash flow on extension of contract.</li> </ul>		18/19: - 440 19/20: + 220 20/21: + 220
	<b>Funding</b>	N/A: no change to existing funding (Capital receipts)	
	<b>Procurement</b>	N/A: no change to procurement route	
	<b>Asbestos Removal Framework</b> <b>Scheme description</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>T&amp;FM and Capital Delivery Service commission a large number of maintenance and refurbishment projects across the SCC estate. Such projects, in older buildings, have a risk of asbestos and under The Control of Asbestos Regulations (CAR) 2012 Act and responsibility for management of asbestos is placed on SCC as duty holder. It is therefore necessary to commission a Refurbishment &amp; Demolition (R&amp;D) survey prior to the commencement of any construction work. If asbestos is identified, it is necessary to manage or remove it.</li> <li>A consultant was procured in 2016; the contract ended in March 2018.</li> </ul> <b>What has changed?</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The original contractor’s contract was not extended due to delivery issues. Future asbestos works are now to be dealt with on a revised Asbestos Term Contract, following the appointment of two new suppliers.</li> </ul>		

	<p><b>Variation type: -</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Budget decrease: transfer of remaining £66.2k budget on this project to a separately managed budget, the Asbestos Term Contract 18-20 project, to cover pressures on future asbestos works going forward.</li> </ul>			
	<b>Funding</b>	No change: both projects funded from Capital receipts		
	<b>Procurement</b>	N/A: no change to procurement route (Full competitive tender process with an OJEU compliant advert and PQQ plus NEC Professional Services Contract with SCC Amendments). Existing contract not extended due to delivery issues.		
Page 219	<p><b>Asbestos Term Contract 18-20</b></p>			+ 66
	<p><b>Scheme description</b></p>			18/19: - 36
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>T&amp;FM and Capital Delivery Service commission a large number of maintenance and refurbishment projects across the SCC estate. Such projects, in older buildings, have a risk of asbestos and under The Control of Asbestos Regulations (CAR) 2012 Act and responsibility for management of asbestos is placed on SCC as duty holder. It is therefore necessary to commission a Refurbishment &amp; Demolition (R&amp;D) survey prior to the commencement of any construction work. If asbestos is identified, it is necessary to manage or remove it;</li> <li>An original consultant was procured in 2016. The contract was not extended in March 2018 due to delivery issues. Two new Consultants have been awarded contracts to undertake these works, which will continue to March 2020 (followed by an option to extend for a further 2 years (1 + 1) to March 2022).</li> </ul>			19/20: + 36
	<p><b>What has changed?</b></p>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future asbestos works now dealt with on BU 93528 Asbestos Term Contract 18-20, following the subsequent movement of the budget and novation of contract to two new suppliers.</li> </ul>				
<p><b>Variation type: -</b></p>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Budget increase: transfer of remaining £66.2k budget on BU 90086 Asbestos Removal Framework to the new Asbestos Term Contract 18-20 project going forward on BU 93528, to cover pressures on future asbestos works.</li> <li>Slippage: £36k to be slipped from expected spend in 18/19 to spend in 19/20, immediately following £66k of funding has being re-assigned to this project.</li> </ul>				
	<b>Funding</b>	No change: both projects funded from Capital receipts		
	<b>Procurement</b>	No change to existing procurement route (Full competitive tender process with an OJEU compliant advert and PQQ plus NEC Professional Services Contract with SCC Amendments); but new Contract Award made to cover works to be managed under a distinct Business Unit going forward (BU 93528).		
I	<b>Heart of the City II</b>			

---

	New additions	
	None	
	Variations and reasons for change	
	None	