

SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL South Community Assembly Report

Report of:	Parks and Countryside Projects Team Manager	
Date:	5 th July 2012	
Subject: Allocation of Community Assembly	Open Space Section 106 Funds in the South Area	
Author of Report: and Countryside	James Barnes: Projects Team Manager, Parks	
Agreements in the Sout	ed from developers for open space Section 106 th Community Assembly area. Members' agreement is the funds to proposed sites where funding has been	
possible so that design	endations: funds need to be allocated to projects as soon as and procurement work can commence. Developers may nent if they can demonstrate that there are no plans to	
	the Community Assembly allocate the open space s funds to proposed sites where funding has been	
Background Papers:	None	
Category of Report:	OPEN	

Statutory and Council Policy Checklist

Financial Implications				
YES Cleared by:				
Legal Implications				
YES Cleared by: Julian Ward				
Equality of Opportunity Implications				
YES (South Community Plan EIA) Cleared by: Phil Read				
Tackling Health Inequalities Implications				
NO				
Human rights Implications				
NO:				
Environmental and Sustainability implications				
YES				
Economic impact				
NO				
Community safety implications				
YES				
Human resources implications				
YES				
Property implications				
YES				
Area(s) affected				
South Assembly				
Relevant Cabinet Portfolio Leader				
Relevant Scrutiny Committee if decision called in				
Is the item a matter which is reserved for approval by the City Council? NO				
Press release				
NO				

OPEN SPACE SECTION 106 AGREEMENTS

1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 Money has been received from developers for open space Section 106 Agreements in the South Community Assembly area. Members' agreement is now sought to allocate the funds to proposed sites where funding has been received.

2.0 WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR SHEFFIELD PEOPLE

2.1 There will be further investment in improving local open spaces in the South Community Assembly area.

3.0 OUTCOME AND SUSTAINABILITY

- 3.1 Improved open spaces contribute to a number of Sheffield City Council's 'City of Opportunity' Corporate Plan priorities including:
 - Improving parks and open spaces
 - Increasing participation in sport and physical activity
 - Reducing anti social behaviour and crime
 - Improving children's health

4.0 FULL PROPOSAL

4.1.1 Allocation of agreements to project sites

Money has been received from developers for open space Section 106 Agreements in the South Community Assembly area. Members' agreement is now sought to allocate the funds to proposed sites where funding has been received.

Development Site	Project Site	Value
35-47 Coniston Road	Tree planting in Broadfield Park	£2,785
Beauchief Hall	Chancet Wood	£6,290

	Playground	
122-126 Psalter Lane	Chelsea Park	£5,490
131 Psalter Lane		£11,450
105 Cowlishaw Road		£4,345

4.3 Financial Implications

Monies have been received for the agreements discussed in this report. Once project sites have been agreed, it will be necessary for approval for capital spend to be gained under the council's capital approval procedure.

4.4 Legal Implications

Section 106 Agreements are legally binding agreements between developers and the City Council made using powers under the Town and Country Planning Act. It important that all aspects of agreements are adhered to since failure to do so may result in challenge by the developers and potential claw back of funds. Developers frequently contact the Planning Service to check if their funds have been spent and what they have been used for.

4.5 Equality of Opportunity Implications

Higher quality open spaces will result from using section 106 funds. This will bring a general benefit to most members of the community. Wherever possible, open space design attempts to improve safety and to improve accessibility for physically disabled people.

4.6 Human Resource Implications

Staff salaries in the Environmental Planning Design Team are partly funded by the fee element of Section 106 design work. Allocating funds to external organisations reduces the viability of the team.

4.7 Environmental and Sustainability Implications

Where open space projects are designed in house, care is always taken to use sustainably sourced materials and to

provide solutions with low maintenance requirements. The two external organisations that may benefit from these funds are also known to adopt this approach.

4.8 Mitigation of risk

For in projects designed in house, a business plan and risk register is always prepared, the work is subject to the requirements of the corporate project management process, corporate procurement process and health and safety regulations. Where external organisations receive funds, the Environmental Planning Team manager works with them to ensure that the funds are used correctly and that the work is carried out in accordance with requirements.

5.0 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

5.1 There are various open space sites in the vicinity of some of the S106 agreements, the sites suggested are those which are thought to be priority sites within the South Community Assembly area.

6.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Section 106 agreement funds need to be allocated to projects as soon as possible so that design and procurement work can commence. Although funds from the agreements which are the subject of this report have no specified clawback date, developers may make a case for repayment if they can demonstrate that there are no plans to spend the money.

7.0 REASONS FOR EXEMPTION (if a Closed report)

7.1 This report is not presented as an exempt item

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 It is recommended that the Community Assembly allocate the open space Section 106 agreements funds to proposed sites where funding has been received.

This page is intentionally left blank