

APPENDIX D FULL LIST OF RESPONSES

Citizen space survey responses		
Street	Do you support the introduction of a controlled parking scheme in Park Hill?	Please use the space below for any further comments you may have or to add your postal address or telephone number if you wish to be updated by post or telephone. - Further comments
Norfolk Park	No/object	I agree with a parking scheme, but not the proposed parking scheme.
Norfolk Park avenue	No/object	I believe every household should have a free parking scheme, which permits for extra vehicles being charged at a higher rate.
		Remove on street all day pay and display option
Ingram Road	No/object	As the proposed parking scheme also includes pay and display options, I do not believe that this will solve the minor problems that some streets around here have with comutors parking in our area. I believe the bigger issue is the lack of parking for teachers & 6th Formers at All Saints school and the volume of houses of multiple occupation in our area, some of which have five cars for one normal sized family house. I believe they will be HUGE problems if some streets opt in to this scheme and some streets out because this will then push the problems to other streets. It should be a all or nothing situation.
Norfolk park Avenue	No/object	
City road	No/object	My address is 195 City Road. The council needs to consider how this will impact low income families, if I could not use a car I would, but with 2 children who are autistic and unsafe on public transport I have no other option but to drive to ensure their safety. Commuters affect norfolk park and Granville road for sure, but further up city road from Duke Street onwards it isn't a commuter issue.
Norfolk road	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	I object to these plans and believe they are being bought in by to serve no purpose than to disrupt and bring in a revenue stream for the council.
Norfolk road	No/object	I am against this scheme, having previously lived in sharrow where this scheme was implemented, it did not resolve any parking problems instead it just cost more and more in permits and vouchers with a year on year increase in these costs.
Hyde Park terrace	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	I object to these proposals as a resident in the area.
Granville Road, South Yorkshire, S	No/object	I am a resident who does not support this scheme. I have never had any problem parking/finding a space and I cannot afford a parking permit for 2 cars just to park outside my house
Castlecroft Drive	No/object	I support some aspects of the scheme. However, I believe the parking should be restricted to residents only. This will be the only way to remove commuter parking. I also believe residents should not have to buy a permit for the first vehicle. If they need an additional permit that could come with a charge. Pay and display will not reduce the commuter parking or make the roads safer. Castlecroft croft drive should double yellow lines.
Granville road	No/object	This seems to be easier a money making plan by the council. Or racism towards the taxi drivers that live locally. Itis definitely not for the benefit of the area or the residents. It also seems to be very undemocratic. For example- sinead keeps telling us it was suggested by residents for residents but refuses to give firther information. The postcard and the survey suggest different tomes- one of them has to be inaccurate. Furthermore, it will make the green space of Norfolk Park less accessible.
Glencoe Road	No/object	Some people can't afford this extra cost but really need their vehicles so I completely object to paying also having to ask visitors to pay means I may not get to see my family often
Glencoe Road	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	We DO NOT need parking control we have never had an issue and have been living in the same house for over 43 years.
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	Parking permits are totally useless and does not help with parking in the community at all. I am totally against this idea and would make me leave the area
Norfolk Road	No/object	I am against the proposal. The postcard we received states mon-fri 8am - 6:30pm but on this survey it is 7 days a week 8-8:30.
S2	No/object	Which one is it then? Totally ridiculous to be giving residents false information
Norfolk Road	No/object	I never had any issues for parking I live on Seabrook Road s2
Granville road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	My mum is elderly and i pop in to check on her daily and help with any tasks that need doing.
Park hill	No/object	
Ingram Road, S2	No/object	
City road	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	
Ingram road	No/object	I wouldn't have a choice regarding parking however with the current cost of living crisis it is another cost we potentially cannot afford.
Norfolk road	No/object	

Ingram road	No/object	Outrageous that it's even considered to make residents pay to park in front of their own homes. We are taxed through the teeth at every opportunity already so additional costs are unreasonable.
Granville Road	No/object	
City roac	No/object	
CITY ROAD Sheffield S2 1GE	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	I don't object altogether, I understand the issues that people have parking near their homes in certain roads, and appreciate that I am very lucky to not have problems - but I have concerns that it will impact the local community in a very negative way.
Ingram Road	No/object	We would have no choice but to park on this road as we live here. We do not want to see parking zone markers on our street or parking ticket meters as this is supposed to be a Heritage area and it would go against all the reasons that we moved here. We have very elderly relatives who need to visit un-announced occasionally and we wouldn't be able to help them in an emergency if we have to mess about with parking issues for them. Also we have contractors often to maintain our houses and this matter would deter them from coming.
S2 2SD	No/object	Residents should be free for 1st car
Park Grange Croft	No/object	With the exception of South Street Kitchen in the Park Hill flats complex I'm not aware of any other businesses likely to be affected by a current lack of parking. The roads next to my home are not overly congested, they are not bus routes and traffic is not impeded by the current parking arrangement. I have no trouble finding a parking space and my friends/ family have no trouble parking. I strongly object to the introduction of paid parking/ a paid residents permit scheme. The council state that the proposed parking zone is intended to help local residents and businesses. As a local resident I don't find it helpful to have to pay for a parking permit. This is a blatant cash grab from a council looking to exploit local residents and businesses by making us pay for something that we currently do not need to pay for.
Granville road	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	I would reluctantly continue to park on Tylney Road if the controlled parking scheme was introduced because I have to park outside my own house, but I would only be hindered by the scheme - there would be absolutely no benefit to me as a resident if the scheme was introduced.
Granville road	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	This is ludicrous you are going to charge me to park outside my house. Parking is not an issue here i dont understand why this is being introduced. Where will i park i cant afford a permit will the council pay for my permit. We have disabled people in my house who need a car so now we have to pay to park this necessity right outside my house
Granville Road	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	I live in this street it's a quiet residential street, most of the day it's empty. Introducing a parking scheme is just ridiculous and bring another expense at a time when family's are already struggling. The proposed zone is too big we don't get commuters all the way up here
Ingram Road	No/object	Q.27 is a misleading question, I have a car at this residence so I would have no choice to park here and pay for the scheme. I do not want to have to pay or have difficulties for vistors or workman to park here.
Holdings Road	No/object	i work for sheffield city council as an inspector, i would be unable to do my job if i'm not able to park, as my employer does not supply parking permits. i have to park here during the day as i'm partially working from home as well. Also my mum who lives on this road receives carers 3 times a day, how is that going to work?
Norfolk Park Ave (access Donningt	No/object	
Barnes court	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	There is not a parking problem on the street we don't need this
Granville road	No/object	No not see any issues in the present parking and unsure to why this needs to be controlled. With the standard of living increasing adding additional unnecessary costs can be avoided. I have never had an issue parking my car or my house household has had no issues. So I don't this this measure needs to be placed.
Tylney Road	No/object	I would still park here as I live here. I object to being charged to park outside my own house as the prices you have suggested are too high and I would struggle to afford it as would many other residents in the area. Why charge the people who live here? Charge the commuters who park here during the day while working in town.
Norfolk Park	No/object	I don't own a car. But we have visitors every now and then driving from Leeds, Newcastle etc. It is a massive inconvenience for family and friends who can't afford to pay to park outside our home. This will isolate us even further.
Granville Road	No/object	
Beighton	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	
Essex road s2 2rg	No/object	I would have no option but to pay which I think is disgusting, residents should get free permits if we live here why should we be charged for other people parking here who don't live here ?
Donnington road	No/object	
Tylney road	No/object	I chose to live here (I rent in a househare) as there was not permitted parking, I do not agree with needing permits to park outside your property where you live. I also want to put across the difficulties people who have 6month contracts will have gaining permits.
Birley Spa	No/object	Additionally to my earlier comment Parking on farm Road is handy for excursions into the city centre on days I'm not working, having to pay to do so will be not just for me but for alot of people a further deterrent to shopping in the city centre. I don't know of any other council in the country that is actively seeking to drive people from its heart and damage businesses within it.

Brackenfield Grove	No/object	Give permits to people working in the vicinity so they don't have to pay to go to work at unsociable times when public transport is not operating.
Granville Road	No/object	Do not make families struggle further than they already do!
Granville Road	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	It is ridiculous this is being proposed at a time where cost of living is through the roof , a few busy body's who get annoyed at the fact some one parks near their house is going to cause suffering and disruption to others. I have an autistic daughter and have no choice but to have a car. To have to pay to keep that car outside my own house I am livid at the prospect. I fully object to the proposal and am so unhappy that there are residents proposing such a thing. There is absolutely no issue with parking as it is.
North anston	No/object	
Woodlands	No/object	
Donnington Rd, Park	No/object	
Arbourthorne	No/object	As we work at Sheffield station we have no option but to park on Farm rd so I feel it is unfair to charge us just for doing our jobs.
St Aidan's Rise	No/object	Farm rd has no residents so it's not as if we're restricting local use of the rd. just another scheme to make cash for the council at its citizens expense I would be unable to drive to work and park my car nearby causing stress, exhaustion and the possible news to alternative employment.
Tylney Road	No/object	I think this initiative is a ridiculous money making scheme. The suggested zone to make permit only spans so far from the city centre I cannot see how the Council can justify this is due to commuters. Whilst I don't dispute this is a problem closer to town it's certainly not an issue as you move further out of the city centre. There are lots of elderly residents nearby have you considered the impact this will have on them having visitors/carers? And on a personal level I don't see why I should have to pay to park outside my own house -or why my friends and family should have to pay to visit me in a residential area?!
Tylney Road	No/object	I hope this plan is thrown out due to strong objection. I'll be taking this to the local media online to see if we can get enough support to demonstrate Sheffield City Councils money making scheme
Tylney Road	No/object	I would probably park in the nearest non pay road. If everyone else did this it would cause chaos. Has this been thought through? If so, I want to see the options appraisals, cost benefit analyses and opportunity cost calculations?
Norfolk park avenue	No/object	
Bernard Street, Park House Room	No/object	Where I park (Old street) the problems I see are related to time restrictions where the parking spaces are meaning I can't park in the parking space because there is a time limit.
Norfolk park avenue	No/object	
Glencoe road	No/object	Why not just make parking within the city more accessible and/ or CHEAPER to stop people parking in residential areas??? You're now penalising residents making them pay for parking? Why not stop the problem at the source rather than trying to get more money out of us as residents?? Absolutely ludicrous
Ingram road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	There is no need for parking scheme on the road as it is used only by the residents. If the scheme will go further I believe that the permit cost for a resident is too much for the year. I would like to be updated if this will go further.
Granville road	No/object	This is very shocking that we need to pay for parking outside our homes. The area which you have selected contributes to where residence live and need to park their cars outside their homes.
Seabrook Road	No/object	When people visit me they don't have any problems parking but I don't want to have to pay for visitor permits or anything like that. I'm a disabled person so my income is already limited and I'd have to become more isolated as I wouldn't be able to afford the permit to allow friends/family to help me out.
Chesterfield	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	I live on norfolk road
Holdings Road	No/object	I will continue to park outside my home but will NOT pay to do so.
STAFFORD ROAD	No/object	consider it a right and essential to park outside our own front door . My daughter aged 18 is learning to drive and is doing so for safety sake due to the high level of risk and violence against girls and women so she can be safe going around and able to easily access our home at night time and the Council despite asserting their commitment to supporting the safety of girls and women are putting in their pathway restrictions and obstacles to safety by this proposal . In respect of Disabled people i our household having access to accessible transport is essential too and charging to park outside one's own home and being picked up and dropped off is restrictive to as per this proposal . No regard is being given to our older residents or families with child re n either in what is being proposed and their access and struggles financially bringing up a family and costs therein that this proposals brings added costs to. In addition I would assert that we have had no problems on our road regarding parking but have had problems with people, crashing and including children being almost killed on the junction of Fitzwalter Road /Stafford Road and we as residents requested of the Council intervention then , all to no avail. We were told in effect that nothing would or could be done regarding this serious traffic and pedestrian risk until in effect someone gets killed and now we are having thrust at us traffic measures to generate income for the Council instead. Thus demonstrating where the Council's priorities lie in this instance . I am a lone parent on a low income and any parking charge is far too prohibitive to households like mine . In addition I question the fairness of this proposed imposition , there are numerous areas across the City where non-residents park outside residents property and I note that these areas are not being spotted for a comparable imposition. If such an imposition should be getting imposed or enforced then it should be city wide for the sake of fairness . When affluent areas and not multicultural mixed economy areas that contain low income people in these areas are having thrust at them a charge to park their vehicles outside their homes then I would welcome reviewing your proposal not until then the proposal smacks of Council profiteering . This area does not have the luxury of space to park off road either and what could happen might be that people start tearing up their green space in order to try to develop a parking space on their frontage if they have re luxury of space to do this to avoid an on-street parking charge . Front space is not a luxury for our home and on top of this such actions of tarmac on front gardens will destroy the green environment and wildlife environment and indeed constructing a parking space on one's own land in front of one's own house may not even be permitted for all we know , given the heritage status of our area too. I consider the proposal as it's premised and posed to be a breach of residents rights and access entitlements that others Citywide are not being subjected to . It is devoid of any due regard to the Equality Act 2010 and considerations and mitigations therein and having spoken with other vulnerable protected equalities characteristic residents in the area , I will with them in a class action case , contest any moves to being this proposal to fruition for a the
Glencoe road	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	The new introduction of a parking scheme in Park Hill is an absolute disgrace and i wish for this to be overturned. I cannot comprehend the decision to make local residents pay to park their own cars outside a house that they pay to live in. At a time of financial instability and uncertainty and with the cost of living soaring in every aspect, the decision to put added pressure on to the residents of park hill is truly abhorrent. What have we done to deserve this? Council tax and road tax should cover this bill and an added extra cost should not be involved in this scheme. There is simply no justification for this scheme.

Norfolk park	No/object	Completely disagree with the idea!
Derby	No/object	I visit my elderly parents regularly in the park hill area and I have never had issues with parking.
Manor oaks gardens	No/object	I am so angry right now! I pay my rent and council tax to be charged to park on my property is a absolute joke
Donnington Road	No/object	
city road, sheffield S2 5hf	No/object	Absolutely unnecessary
S2 area	No/object	what sort of question was 27
St Aidans way	No/object	
Donnington Rd	No/object	The residents want something done about the dangerous speeding on the road. This is just insulting.
S2	No/object	
First Avenue	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Norfolk park ave	No/object	I do not agree that residents have to pay for a parking permit. There is no problem with parking on Norfolk Park Ave/Donnington Road and this will be financially damaging having to buy a permit. Also it will mean that family members will no longer be able to visit as my parents are unable to walk further distances that would be necessary if the parking zone is in place. I fully object to this scheme.
S2 1gb	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	
Ridgehill Avenue S12	No/object	
Park Grange Croft	No/object	We're in one of the most deprived areas, you can't keep squeezing the poor for more and more money. It's literally not a, problem, back off.
Manor park	No/object	
Norfolk road	No/object	
Intake	No/object	
Tydney Road, S2	No/object	Question 27 is incredibly unfair to ask of residents of the area. Of course we will have to park here even if paid permits are introduced. This does not however mean that we would be happy with such an outcome.
Duke Street	No/object	I would rather pay for the private car park than give my money to Sheffield City council.
Norfolk road	No/object	
St Aidans Road, S2 2NG	No/object	
S2	No/object	It's unfair to make residents pay. If you introduce parking permits then it should be free to people who can prove they live there
Handsworth	No/object	
Broomhill	No/object	
Hackenthorpe	No/object	
Manor Top	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Donnington Road	No/object	
Park grange drive	No/object	
Hillsborough	No/object	Why does this council hate working people so much?! Not everyone can get the bus or tram to work
St Aidans Road	No/object	
Granville rd	No/object	
Hawley Street	No/object	I find controlled parking to be more of an issue than free parking. Although controlled zones seem to be disguised as aiding residents, this does the exact opposite. As someone who lives in the inner city centre zone and pays £260 a year for a parking permit, I have seen few positives to not only the cost but the controlled parking itself. Visitors are still able to park in these areas, but for a cost, making no difference to any issues with residents struggling to park. This continues to be an issue regardless, except now we are paying to have the same struggle. If a controlled zone is introduced, permit fees need to be reduced and it is a necessity that there be at least 50% of the parking spaces reserved for permit holders. This is rarely the case in areas where both permits and ticketed parking is at a high cost, purely so the council can make as much money as possible through something they advertise as an aid to the public.
Whitwell	No/object	
Ridgehill Ave	No/object	
Waterfall Close	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	
Hackenthorpe	No/object	
Farndale Road	No/object	
Sothall	No/object	If I couldn't park for free on Norfolk Park Road, I would work from home every day. Consequently, I wouldn't be visiting the City Centre at all therefore I wouldn't making purchases from the businesses there and the City Centre would miss out on mine, and many others, business.
ST. AIDANS ROAD,	No/object	
Darnall	No/object	
Arbourthorne	No/object	

		I would like more information about what the proposed parking scheme will look like, its street furniture and road markings. I do not object to the whole scheme I understand there are issues around the Parkhill, Norfolk Road area as well as Blagden Street, Samson Street and Coates Street, which is mainly due to a local body repair shop parking many vehicles within a TRO, this issue has been reported and no enforcement has ever been effective. Recent parking issues on Manor Oaks Road have come from the development of Parkhill with work vans and trades peoples vehicles. I currently live in a quiet cul-de-sac where all residents have off street parking and usually park the occasional work vehicle on the road. The street is block paved and open plan, with children playing safely on the street. Q, Will the street be plastered with road marking and unsightly ticket machines, and how will the implementation of this scheme change the feel of the area from a quiet family cul-de-sac to a city Centre street?
manor oaks drive	No/object	
Mosborough	No/object	
Norfolk Lodge, Park Grange Road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	If restrictions are brought in I think one vehicle per family should be free for residents, and time limited free parking for others to allow for visitors, carers etc.
granville road sheffield s2 2rq	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	The case for the scheme needs to be made far clearer. Why is more information not provided? I do not want to end up paying to park outside my own house! How will it operate?
High Storrs	No/object	You've already demolished Sheffield city centre. No one wants to go there because all the shops have disappeared. If you do this it'll be another nail in the coffin. Sheffield will become derelict. You complained about Meadowhall when it was being built that everyone will go there, well you're not helping this now. Parking there is free which is why everyone goes there. You do this and you'll stop the few that are still going to the centre to try and keep it alive. Can no one see this in the council? Are you all just wanting to get as much money as you can regardless of whatever damage you do in the process?
Erskine Road	No/object	As an idea. If the problem is that commuters are using the residential streets to park in, introduce a combination of residents parking and 4 hour maximum parking. Plenty for those who are using the leisure facilities, but would stop people parking all day.
Granville Road	No/object	I am against this fully.
S10 5dd	No/object	Please think of the vulnerable children and adults who need visits because they are not medically stable enough to visit clinics or at risk of deterioration without specialist input
Glencoe Road	No/object	Already pay council tax and road tax. It seems unfair to have to pay more when no space is guaranteed. The proposals are unworkable, there would be too many exemptions to consider.
Granville road	No/object	I object to this proposal as it puts more of a financial burden upon people that are already struggling financially. I also do not see an issue with parking and have never had an issue with parking on Granville road and i have been living here for 25years. Therefore i do not think there is a need for this proposal to be put in place.
Norfolk park road	No/object	
Cadeby Road, Sprotbrough, Sprotbrough	No/object	
Doncaster	No/object	Council is blood sucking ass holes who want money at any cost
Woodhouse	No/object	
Greenhill	No/object	
Rotherham	No/object	
Manor Lane	No/object	
S2	No/object	
Rydal road	No/object	It is unfair for residents to have to pay for yearly parking permits in this residential area
Middlewood	No/object	
RICHMOND	No/object	
High green	No/object	
Norfolk park	No/object	This is just creating issues unnecessarily, the people that park in this area do so mainly because there is nowhere affordable to park for work. If it was to become so that there was no free parking I'm sure people would start to look for employment elsewhere
Seabrook Road	No/object	
Thorpe hesley	No/object	I believe that putting restriction on how long people can park there would be the best option in a uni student I don't have a lot of money it just makes it more difficult to access or requires me spending money that I don't have.
Manor Oaks Court	No/object	I have no option but to park outside my home, it's like a sloped drive would I have to pay to park on that on front of my property?
Sheffield	No/object	This should not be happening
Manor park	No/object	Charging people to park is not the answer more affordable city centre parking is the solution! You are penalising home owners by charging them to purchase a permit which I may ad does not guarantee you a space outside your home just in the generic area ! Instead of building more office space simply make it More affordable to park in the city centre! Bus services are too expensive and in reliable!
St aidans	No/object	I am absolutely disgusted at these proposed plans. NO ONE should have to pay to park outside their own home, nor should their visitors pay to see them. I'm in complete disbelief that anyone would think this is OK!
Durlstone Drive	No/object	
Norton Lees	No/object	
Donnington Road	No/object	I live here and don't want to be charged to park on my street or have to secure a permit. Donnington Road should be considered a different area from Park Hill where the parking requirements and space is different.
Gleadless	No/object	There is already restrictions for parking.
Holdings Road	No/object	I have no choice but to park on my street as I am a resident within the proposed zone.
S32	No/object	At present, where I park, there is not an issue for residents. I park around the college and the only issue I see is that that council are wanting to make more money from commuters
Wincobank	No/object	
Broomhill	No/object	
Queen Street	No/object	I use Norfolk Road to avoid driving into the already congested town centre. I've never had difficulty getting a space & think the measures will impact on traffic within the city

Ingram Road	No/object	At the end of Norfolk Road there is some disused ground on Claywood Drive that has been empty for many years and would make an ideal car park for workers to park and walk into the city centre using a permit scheme for weekly/monthly/yearly options. Just think you are penalising local residents and stopping them having family and friends to visit. Also should make payment later then 6.30pm to stop Sheffield Unitec and visiting fans parking there.
Tylney Road	No/object	I don't understand why a parking scheme has been suggested.
Donnington Road	No/object	
Handsworth	No/object	There should be an exemption for delivery drivers
Glencoe Road	No/object	The question above is pointless, as where else can residents park except at home?
Sheffield	No/object	
Eckington	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	
Manor Oaks	No/object	I do not park in Park Hill. It is disingenuous to class the whole area from Norfolk Park to Manor Oaks to Park Hill. In our particular area, parking issues could be fixed by painting double yellow lines.
Wincobank	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Sothall	No/object	Having worked and parked on the industrial part of Fitzwalter Road and travelling along the surrounding roads during the day for over 12yrs I don't particularly think there is such an issue that justifies parking restrictions. All of my colleagues commute from some distance and public transport links are not an easy option, due to hours of work, so it's my feeling any restrictions would just move any existing problems (if they do indeed exist) just a little further along to the edge of the proposed boundary. Please think carefully about this and may I suggest that surveys are done on each road and at various times of the day to get a true picture. If it's truly a case of easing congestion then surely the first option should be No Parking restrictions at the pinch points? Creating difficulty for employees to commute and park nearby to their industrial places of work could possibly force business owners to look to relocate away from the area. Can Sheffield Council really sustain the possible loss of income from those businesses, especially when the City Centre has so many empty shops/buildings?
Norfolk park	No/object	Unfair to make redirects pay to park where they live
Granville Road	No/object	
OUTRAM ROAD	No/object	
Ingram road	No/object	This is a crazy idea and should never be given the go ahead! If it is I will be parking and will not be paying!!!!
Tylney Road	No/object	I would only pay because I have no choice with living in this area. The scheme should not go ahead, it's only an added bill on top of everything else that's rising, and will not benefit residents parking outside their homes. There are no issues with commuters on my street. There is no difference in the amount of cars parked on it on a weekday, a weekday at 9pm or the weekend.
Holdings Road	No/object	WE WOULD HAVE TO PAY TO PARK IN PARK HILL AS WE LIVE HERE. WHY SUCH A STUPID QUESTION!!!!!!!!!!!!
Manor Oaks Drive	No/object	
Greenhill	No/object	
Kimberworth	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	We have to park here we live here.
Meersbrook	No/object	
Skye edge road	No/object	
Greenhill	No/object	Worst cost of living crisis for years and this is how our incompetent council responds. There's a time and a place for imposing measures like this. Residents will have to pay for permits in an area where many will be struggling to heat homes let alone purchase permits and faff about to accommodate visitors. Pathetic idea in such a vulnerable area.
Rotherham	No/object	
Seabrook Road, Sheffield, UK	No/object	I don't want to pay parking fees to park my vehicle outside my property
Park Hill flats	No/object	Norwich, south street, park hill, I strongly object to this scheme. I would have to get rid of my car as I cannot afford the ridiculous scheme.
Glencoe Place	No/object	I have answered yes to Q27 because I would have no choice, this is where I live. I have to have access to a car for my job, due to out of hours on call requirements of my role. I would not be able to give up my car, nor would I want to.
Birley Carr	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	
City road	No/object	
Lodge Moor	No/object	
Hangingwater Road	No/object	Parking would be unfeasible, but I'm not sure what else I would be able to do. Perhaps give up my car. The parking scheme can't lighten any financial burden before assumptions are made, I heavily rely on my car for buying what food I can afford, accessing work and studies (which I often need to do late at night in the library due to other commitments- as a woman, I don't particularly like the sound of walking from collegiate campus to hangingwater road in the middle of the night), and being able to see my family as they are unable to make the trip to me due to other commitments. So no, I can't give up my car to help my finances, but I digress- although I shouldn't have to explain myself, I feel like it is necessary in order to be taken seriously. I look forward to hearing further about this, and hope for news that the council has reflected in the way that this would only negatively affect the communities which it promises to serve.
City road	No/object	If residents want to park in front of their houses, they should pay for that
Springfield Road S7 2GG	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	I live here and need my car. I think it's a complete rip off asking us to pay to park on our own street. We have no issues with parking our cars on the road and it's just money grabbing for parking where you can get it. Seriously oppose this and will continue to do so. If it ain't broke don't fix it. Not broken to us. Our address is
Donnington Road	No/object	I object to paying to park outside my own house when parking isn't and never had been an issue. The cost of living is escalating, the cost of fuel is increasing massively and families do not have extra cash to throw around. Now Sheffield council want residents with no parking issues at all to start paying for the privilege to park outside their homes - disgusting
Granville road	No/object	I pay council tax, Road tax etc. I don't see why I or my family when they come to visit me should pay for parking. This is totally unfair. I clearly object and so do all my neighbours

		I am wholly against this proposal. I could understand if there was a problem with parking but there isn't and I feel this is just another way for the council to get more money from us.
Seabrook Road	No/object	I cannot afford it!
Granville Road	No/object	If we need to purchase a permit, can it be one that is not tethered to a vehicle registration number so that it can be given to friends and family when they visit?
City road	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	The cost of living is already rising without adding unnecessary costs for parking permits! If this scheme was to make sure local residents are able to park outside their own houses the permits should be free.
St Aidans	No/object	Bus network is poor
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Norfolk Park	No/object	The consultation does not allow for all members of the local area to easily assess plans or be involved
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Base Green	No/object	
STAFFORD ROAD, S2	No/object	The survey questions are irrelevant to my address. Park Hill is much smaller than the area highlighted in your proposal, and so the questions are not aligned. There is no problem parking in Norfolk Park or the surrounding areas, nor Park Hill itself to my knowledge. As stated, this scheme is entirely unnecessary and is further proof that Sheffield City Council is focused on finding issues that don't exist and destroying the road network rather than fixing it and making it more attractive to residents and visitors. Why not focus on improving the traffic flow in the centre and REDUCING parking fees rather than expanding controlled measures?
Manor oaks road	No/object	Have no choice but to park there because I live there - at least give us free permits
Essex Road	No/object	This is not solving your problem at all, if some residents have problems with parking and the council want to help they should target commuters in some other way. This proposal is making residents pay for commuters parking.
Granville road	No/object	Absolutely disgusting making money off the working class who just about have enough to pay bills. This is completely unnecessary and a money making scheme for the council!!!!!! S22rr
Bramley Park Close	No/object	One of the few places you can park and walk into town or the station with sufficient clearways and you lot want to cock it up and get Joe public paying through the nose. Maybe some meters and residents only parking areas but the council are trying to create a problem that doesn't exist in the area. Overstay 9.30 to 4.30 on Duke Street and you get a ticket. Duke Street never overfull with cars in last 11 years.
holdings road	No/object	with the potential loss of friends and family visits i do not want this proposed permit to take place and with the stress already from cost of living rises its a charge i could do without having to worry about
rotherham	No/object	
Woodseats	No/object	I believe parking for residents should be free.
Granville Road	No/object	I don't want to have to pay to park on the road where I live. I appreciate that there are certain roads where it is difficult during the day but the scheme is far too wide reaching and will mean some people will struggle to afford to park. Although there are 3 vehicles registered to my house often there are only one or two parked at one time. The parking issues are not just during the weekdays but also in evenings when there is an event at Bramhall Lane. There are people who park on the pavements and no tickets are given out. I am not convinced that the scheme will be policed to ensure compliance. I also think it could potentially create less parking available which would increase the problem. I should be grateful if this ridiculous idea could be stopped as it is to satisfy a small number of people who are very vocal about their issues. It is a real case of a sledgehammer to crack a nut. My objections are based on cost, inconvenience, lack of ongoing support, silly times (too early and too late) as something in the middle section of the day would prevent all day parking, too widespread, less potential spaces to park and the cost if permits is high. They should be free but I would still object to the scheme if it was free
Rotherham	No/object	
Norton Park View	No/object	There is insufficient parking on All Saints School Site to accommodate parking for all All Saints and Seven Hills Staff.
Hillsbrough	No/object	Controlled parking schemes are a way of taking money from residents so they can park their cars in front of their own houses. These schemes always seem to be implemented in areas where the local population are least able to afford the prices. If the controlled parking was brought in without a fee for local residents I would support it.
Manor oaks drive	No/object	
Handsworth	No/object	
Normanton Spring Road	No/object	Why can't we have a reliable car park with a membership scheme for commuters
Lowedges	No/object	Putting these restrictions in place will limit people going to businesses in the area, such as the shops and pub on Duke Street. And there is already not enough parking at the doctors surgery so where will patients park if there are parking restrictions? People will not visit the area and businesses will suffer with the restrictions in place. If there was more affordable parking in the city, or even some free zones, there would be less trouble out of the city. These restrictions will just push more traffic, congestion and parking problems into other areas just outside the city where there is free parking but which are themselves already busy.
Hyde Park Walk	No/object	Again I don't park in Park Hill. I object to this scheme in my streets but if Park Hill want it then so be it. This is not consultation this questionnaire has completely failed to do what it needs.
WOOD ROAD	No/object	We already have to pay for car parking on site. If the car park is full, the overflow is on a poorly lit road and feels insecure. I choose to park on Norfolk Park Rd as it is nearer to work and better lit (I work at All Saints). There is already plenty of parking on this road and no residents. It seems nonsensical to extend the parking zone to this road.
Nether edge	No/object	The reason I park there is the proximity to the train station. I often need to get the early train to London so using public transport to get to the station would take much longer meaning a very early start and late return home. I am happy to pay a reasonable amount to park but the train station car park is often full and extremely expensive.
Granville Road	No/object	It is absolutely stupid to do this I don't agree with this at all
City Road	No/object	I don't think it's fair that I as a resident that I have to pay extra to park at my own house, and that my visitors have to pay too. I am a single mom and carer who desperately needs help from my family and friends and this will dramatically affect my family's life. these parking permits should be free to households who live in and on the main streets of the zones. I have given you my address for updates, thank you.
Norfolk park	No/object	I strongly disagree with the controlled parking as there will be a cost related to this for residents. I do not wish to pay for a permit for each vehicle I own.
Granville Road	No/object	

Granville Road	No/object	I disagree with this permit altogether
Donnington road	No/object	I do not want this scheme in my area.
Manor Park	No/object	
City Road	No/object	<p>I urge you to remove the no parking zone between set hours on City Road.</p> <p>If widespread introduction of affordable on street charging points was incorporated in the plan, it would be very worthwhile. I live in a terrace with no drive, so have no prospect of buying a plug-in car.</p> <p>I do not believe that City Road needs measures just because Park Hill flats/ Granville Road get busy.</p> <p>I think well controlled parking restrictions in the area, especially on South Street Park would be good at reducing the crime (drugs) that appears to go on.</p> <p>I resent that home owners need to pay for a 1st car. We did not ask for this, and the parking is of little benefit to myself. It is just an additional charge forced on those already disadvantaged by having no drive.</p>
Granville Road	No/object	
Arbourthorne rd	No/object	
Bradway	No/object	<p>I park in the Park Hill area for school. These restrictions would stop me from being able to park anywhere near school.</p> <p>I don't park on a residential street but people at my school will struggle greatly without parking opportunities. We don't have access to onsite parking. I am typically parked in the area 8am-5pm on weekdays.</p> <p>People parking here is also because of the total lack of affordable parking in the city centre. Introducing more restrictions and costs will only increase the problem.</p>
Granville road	No/object	I would like to add further I have lived on Granville road my entire 29 years of life, and I have never had any issues with parking since I have been driving. I think it is unfair that you are enforcing a permit only area on a road outside my own home, I should not have to pay to park outside my own home which my family own and all pay road tax. Please reconsider your enforcement
Seabrook Road	No/object	<p>Extremely annoyed that you're proposing a new scheme impose a fun new cost on residents while we're already dealing with upcoming huge bill increases, and rising fuel costs. I barely drive to start with, but I need my car to occasionally visit family.</p> <p>I really do not have any trouble with parking in the area and this seems like a terrible way to deal with the areas that do. If you're not giving residents free permits then this is a bad idea.</p>
Huddersfield	No/object	I only occasionally park near park hill flats. But I believe it should stay as it is and not be restricted. There is residents permit all over Sheffield. Very expensive parking in the city centre. Every year another car park is lost to building sites. Sylvester street I parked here 2018. Gone and closed for parking. Science park. Closed and building site recently. I have commuted in the tram before but it is so expensive. Make more affordable commuter car parks. More frequent /reliable trams. Or free car parks out of the city centre for commuters. I enjoy my half drive and half cycle but no doubt at some point where I park my car will cost too much or become a building site. Even hillsborough park used to be free and one year after returning from maternity leave 2012 I found it tarmaced and short stay pay and display!
City Road, S2	No/object	This scheme is crazy. It will not stop commuter parking. I also don't think that the commuters would park as far out as manor Laith road which is what my driveway backs onto.
Meadowhead	No/object	<p>It feels that this is a money making scheme that favours the privileged (those who live on Norfolk road with massive drives that won't have to pay for even one permit)</p> <p>The times are horrendous. Where is my mum meant to park when she is picking up my children for childcare. I can't expect her to pay for an hours parking for a 5 minute collection.</p> <p>This scheme will have a detrimental effect on the community - businesses, the library and other community venues will see a drop in footfall as there are nice places to go if you have to pay for parking. For example going to hillsborough library over park library.</p>
Woodseats	No/object	Making it until 8:30pm will just kill off the night time economy just as you are launching a strategy to encourage early evening usage. Making it include the college on Granville Rd will make it an extra hardship and cost for already poor students. Not proving an affordable alternative to be able to park in the city centre all day is the missing part of this scheme.
City Road	No/object	The parking scheme area has been expanded too far onto streets that are not affected like the ones who requested this proposal. A trial period should be setup on the affected streets to see if the scheme makes a positive change for the residents. If the scheme then goes ahead, permits should be made free to residents. Otherwise there is the potential that this doesn't make a change as there is no guarantee people will get a parking space or deter people away. The only change would be having to pay for something that was previously free.
Park hill road	No/object	
SEABROOK ROAD	No/object	
City Road	No/object	Though the area covered is large one road has a distinct disadvantage and that is City Road due to its bus lane. I would be interested in being contacted by phone at
Donnington road	No/object	I strenuously object to the boundaries put fwd by this scheme. I agree there are parking issues in and around park hill/ Norfolk road area near to the city centre. This is not new, and I can understand residents frustrations regards parking. I've lived in the area for 32yrs and it's always been a problem. But there are no parking issues around Donnington road where I live. My area is classed as Norfolk park. It is too far away from the city centre for it to be an issue. In essence all this amounts to is a stealth tax (of which labour criticises the govt regularly about) on already hard pressed working families. Your boundaries have been extended to include areas where there are no issues regarding parking. I suggest you reconsider this. I have spoken to local residents on my street and there is no support for this stealth tax on Donnington road. I will, if needed provide photographic evidence supporting my objections to this proposal if the need arises.
Sunflower grove	No/object	I wouldn't want to pay to visit friends
Dryden road	No/object	
Heath End CLOSE,, Great Kingshill,	No/object	
Eckington	No/object	I have to park here for work,Train Conductor for Northern Rail.They do not have enough parking for staff and I cannot use public transport due to very early starts and finishes
Hillsborough	No/object	
Westfield	No/object	
Eckington	No/object	I have to park on Farm Road for work. I work as a Conductor for Northern Rail and they do not have enough parking for staff. I cannot use public transport due to very early starts and very late finishes. If fee's are brought in I think we should be issued free passes.
fairfax drive	No/object	
Mansfield Road	No/object	I believe this to be a money generation plan for the council, that would affect the enjoyment of many families that want to use the areas many parks and other green outdoor areas. Many families use these parks as they are close to their homes but not within walking distance. This would force people to go further afield to enjoy exercising and outdoor activities. I think those people have not been considered.

Glencoe Road	No/object	In response to question 27, where else are we going to park when we live here?
Tylney Road	No/object	We have currently no problems with parking and I don't believe it is generally a big problem in our road. Having to pay for parking just adds to our cost of living which is already increasing rapidly this year. I therefore quite strongly object to the scheme.
Belmonte Gardens	No/object	I don't see why I should have to pay to park where I live. Shouldn't residents get a free permit. Technically where I park is informal private residents but it is unclear whether I would still end up being charged.
Ingram Road S2	No/object	I am an elderly lady who is also disabled I need to park my car outside my home, I am a blue badge holder, the charges would make my life more difficult as my daughter who does a lot for me has to park outside my home too and unfortunately wouldn't be able to afford the charges, please think about the elderly residents that can't afford these charges who live in the area
Skye Edge Road	No/object	
Claywood road	No/object	I think making people pay for parking around their own home is ridiculous as my household specifically chose this area to live as we would all be able to park due to not being restricted to 2/3 parking permits
S12	No/object	
Dore	No/object	
City Rd	No/object	1. This won't solve the issue, it will move the problem further out. We are just outside the zone, parking is in high demand and moving more cars into our area will cause issues - is the plan to then widen the zone and charge even more residents? 2. This is a low income area, the poorer who can't afford a house with drive are penalised. 3. No plan for HMOs 4. We're already seeing a loss of front gardens, replaced by dried, this has a huge environmental impact (air quality, flooding, etc) 5. There are other vehicle issues that should be prioritised over this pavement parking, verge parking, speeding.
Holdings rd	No/object	I think it's unfair to expect residents to pay for permits. People should have the right to park outside their house free. They pay road tax, council tax and insurance. As if times are not difficult enough with rising prices without the worry of parking prices. Residents should get at least one permit free of charge and then perhaps need to pay for additional permits.
Handsworth	No/object	
S36 1AY	No/object	I do want to pay to see my children.
Norton lees	No/object	
58 Cross Lane, Stocksbridge	No/object	
City Road, s2 5he	No/object	If this goes ahead does this mean my family all of which have children would have to pay to park on road where i live?
Castle Croft Drive	No/object	Further to previous ideas of simply having signs at each entrance to this Estate ... as nearly EVERY household has only ONE parking place, and with the pressures of work etc. families often need 2 cars, IF Residents ONLY parking signs and with simply a 'one off' pass or passes, that residents can pay for, households are NOT further penalised for living here! and they can pay for extra 'one off' passes for their friends and family, so important always, and especially post pandemic. This would make life MUCH EASIER for everyone ... AND cost the Council LESS as not having to police, plus send out letters of penalty etc etc ... or get new books of tickets issued every year. It would also mean that if there was a special occasion like a special birthday, it would be possible for additional visitors to come with crippling the hosts financially and causing huge worry.
Wybourn	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	Confusing scheme. Misinformation sent by council. Should not be applied as far up as donnington road
Motehall Road	No/object	I believe adding a payment charge would effect the community as people who already find it hard to manager and struggle with everyday essentials are not going to make additional payments if needed, resulting in fines and more debt, making family's even worse off.
Bolsover	No/object	Parking prices would have to low.
Sheffield	No/object	Not everyone is able to catch public transport to place of work. Parking is absolutely diabolical for trades people with tools and equipment to carry. Cannot be paying over £10 a day for parking. I've worked in town for 3 days this week and it's cost nearly £40 in parking. It is simply unfair.
Arbourthorne	No/object	
wybourn	No/object	think we pay enough road tax and i feel for the residents who live on park hill and have to pay to park there car .
hague Park hill	No/object	
Gilbert Street, Park Hill.	No/object	Residents who live on Park Hill Flats have to pay £450 yearly already to park outside their homes.
Holdings Road, Norfolk Park, S2 2F	No/object	There may be problems on the road further/s down near the train station, ie Norfolk Road, where non residents frequently leave their cars, but it is very different up here. There is no problem to fix up here. I understand that nonresident parkers could be pushed further out if there was a scheme closer to the railway station than we are up here, but I don't believe they would come as far up as here and in any case I see no need to fix a problem that does not exist.
Beighton	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
richmond	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	Residents parking makes sense but it should not cost residents extra since no additional value is being delivered by the council. Nor should there be a charge to residents for day passes for visitors. Put in pay and display by all means and use the proceeds of that to fund enforcement, instead of charging residents who have no choice where to park. We have all been through hell lately, don't add this on top.
Manor oaks Gardens	No/object	There are roads with clear parking problems but manor oaks Gardens is not one of them so as I said before I am not sure why this area has been included
Donnington Road	No/object	
Abbeydale Road	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	I don't understand why as residents we should be penalised for living so close to the centre. It is very expensive as second drivers or anyone else in the house hold to just park at home. Not everyone has a drive. Plus the school isn't moving so this issue will always persist with or without paid parking.
Manor park	No/object	I wouldn't be able to see my family because it would be to expensive
Robinson Rd	No/object	

Aston	No/object	Will be interested to know what the proposals are, and if charges are introduced, how much they will be. Parking is incredibly difficult in and around the town centre, and on road parking has noticeably decreased recently (there seem to be so many spaces now that have become disabled only). The regeneration, and Heart of the City schemes must be supported by ease of parking otherwise they will fail.
Holdings Rd S2 2RE	No/object	As we do not have a car, this is not super important to us. However, on our local WhatsApp group, many people have brought up a valid reasons why this would be detrimental to our neighborhood. We do not support it because we would like to be able to have visitors without the inconvenience of their having to pay. Similarly, the woman who lives next-door to us is 90 years old and has different people who come and go to care for her, including her son, who comes quite often – we feel this would be complicated and unfair for her.
Skye Edge	No/object	
Totley	No/object	
S2	No/object	
Holdings road	No/object	
Manor Oaks Gardens	No/object	
City Road	No/object	As a resident in the area how can I say that I wouldn't park here if I had to pay? I would have no choice so this is a ridiculous question. I WOULD NOT OBJECT TO COMMUTERS PAYING TO PARK IN OUR AREA BUT WHY SHOULD RESIDENTS HAVE TO PAY FOR A PERMIT WHEN WE HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO PARK NEAR OUR HOMES??? AT LEAST THE FIRST RESIDENTS PERMIT SHOULD BE FREE.
ingram road	No/object	
Arbourthorne	No/object	
Stradbroke way	No/object	
Stanhope Road, Intake	No/object	I must admit when I initially started this survey I expected it to be about the introduction of a residents parking scheme. However, if it's the introduction of parking meters then in effect it's the same thing as the prices the council charge for parking for any length of time are prohibitive. If you want to introduce a residents parking scheme on Stanhope Road I would be fully supportive as it would be nice for me to park near my house for a change.
Holdings road	No/object	Don't think it should go as far this street
Manor Park	No/object	You keep proposing changes new builds and now parking charge to our area as if it is improving our community all you are doing is getting rid of green spaces not improving funding resources and wanting to charge for parking. You are killing our area bit by bit and because we are a soft touch. The s2 area has more new builds than any area in sheffield with no extra resource and now this - I totally object to this
Ingram road	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	I strongly object to the proposal of the charged parking scheme. I have lived in the area for 20years and I have never had an issue with parking. I believe this proposed parking schemes main objective is to make money at the residents expense. With everything else going up in price, the local residents do not need to be charged for parking outside their own homes, or staff to park outside their places of work. I am all for FREE RESIDENT / BUSINESS PARKING PERMITS in parking bays and feel this is a better solution to your proposal.
Manor oaks Gardens	No/object	We own the property with a drive and of road parking what we pay ground rent for so no we not paying for parking
norfolk road	No/object	The prices are stupid. I'm not paying to park on my own road. Find a better solution than charging people to use it. Invest in the area and in better parking in the city centre so people don't park up in the area to walk into town.
Ingram road	No/object	
Wychwood Grove 3 Sothall	No/object	
Granville Road, granville road	No/object	First a couple of questions: Are the hours until 8:30pm as it says on the website or 630pm as it says on the leaflet? Can we buy more than 2 permits? Will the whole area have the option for people to pay and display? Can we still park across our own drive? I can't see the benefit of the scheme. We don't have a problem with commuters. If it is purely to reduce commuters the hours do not need to be as long and residents should be able to get as many permits as they need at a minimal cost or for free. The main roads that are affected by commuter parking may see a reduction in this but the problem is just moved elsewhere. As more people are working from home more residents have cars that don't move during the day. Even if I was to drive to work I would be home before the end of the time and so still need a permit.
Meadowhead	No/object	
Manor oaks Gardens	No/object	
Woodthorpe	No/object	I work 3 12 1/2 hour shifts per for a stroke rehab Center on Norfolk park road I find it very unfair that I'd have to pay to park to go to work I pay Road tax why should I have to put park
Mosborough	No/object	
Saunders road	No/object	Its one of the poorest areas and you are intending to charge local people ,penalise they park and riders .
S2	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	I've been a resident of Norfolk Road for over 30 years and strongly object to having to pay to park outside my own home. This scheme will also negatively impact our local community and businesses.
S66 9FR	No/object	I work for the NHS stroke rehabilitation unit. Not being able to park for free outside the unit would be yet another cost for commuters and NHS workers like myself. Public transport is not an option due to late working and early mornings, and due to the fact that I live 15 miles away and can't get their directly on just one mode of transport. Furthermore, visitors who come to see their families in the unit would also have to pay. Cost of living is rising, and this is just adding more pressure onto people.
Donnington Road	No/object	

Sheffield	No/object	Introducing paid parking around the area, will impact of the amount of cars which already park their to use services such as the college, school and NHS facility's. Many of which will be staff, resulting in staff having to also park their cars in order to commute to work. In some cases individuals commute to work and have no option but to drive, meaning they would be forced to pay the parking charges. Which long term could also impact them financially and the buildings it surrounds, which could also result in individuals having no choice but to find a different job.
Norfolk park avenue	No/object	It seems very cynical that pay and display is being put in place AND residents have to pay for permits. If this is meant to help residents then the money made from pay and display should mean residents do not need to pay. If driveways and current resident off road parking will not be protected then the scheme will cause more problems than the current occasional parking problems.
Holdings Road	No/object	7547213843
Granville road	No/object	
Seabrook Road	No/object	This feels very much like a sledgehammer to crack a nut. There are some identifiable parking problems opposite the school/college on Castle Croft Drive and Farm Bank Road, though only during pick up/drop off times, and identifiable long-term commuter parking on the streets closest to the rear of the station, specifically Norfolk Road and South Street, and to a lesser extent Stafford Street, Talbot Gardens and Talbot Place. However, on Norfolk Road, nearly all of the houses have driveways, negating the majority of the impact of this commuter parking. I fail to see why this warrants an area-wide permit parking zone, especially extending so far into Norfolk Park. The permit scheme seems to prioritise revenue generation and evidence short-term thinking. A better use of funds would perhaps be to future-proof the area, which will suffer from the difficulties of charging street-parked electric vehicles outside of dense terraced houses/flats by, instead of installing pay and display areas, installing communal park and charging areas for the local residents, or car club bays to encourage car sharing. Having said that, I would strongly support a pay and display bay (with 20 minutes free for drop off/pick up) stretching along the top of South Street, directly at the rear of the station.
Tylney Rd	No/object	I feel that if one parking space was allocated to each house on Tylney Rd, that would mean everyone could park, and there would be spaces for extra cars, where the home owners don,t have a car. This would need spaces indicated on the road and small signs, but would solve inconsiderate parking. (One car taking up two spaces) If I could be allocated a space outside the house where I have lived for over 50 years, I would gladly pay say £25 pa, BUT I will not pay just to park on my own road- if I,m lucky.
	No/object	
The Meads	No/object	
Park Grange Croft	No/object	Pay & Display parking for none residents is fine, but levying a charge on residents to get a permit is wrong. Permits for residents should be free
Stradbroke Drive	No/object	
Holdings road	No/object	I have to continue parking because it's my house. I should not have to pay to park outside my house. The area of the parking scheme should be centre purely around park hill, not extended to holdings road, Essex road and so on.
Granville Road	No/object	I don't think charges applied to house holders is the way forward. Instead there should be more affordable parking in the city centre. Also, the local school staff take lots of parking spaces everyday. It is the number of cars per household that is the issue.
S2 2SA	No/object	I live across from Norfolk park. I am fine with the parking arrangements. I would not wish for parking permits to be introduced. The cost of living is rapidly increasing a additional cost for parking is totally unnecessary at the present moment.
Stocksbridge	No/object	Parking permits would be beneficial for NHS/social care/carers who need to visit vulnerable people in the area, however not being eligible for one currently I can't see this being a viable option for the council.
City Road	No/object	we have rarely had a problem with parking outside our house ,the only time is due to road ,water maintenance when no parking allowed.We don't support the parking permits as we don't have an issue.The weekend when there are no time restrictions we still don't have any problem parking outside our house.
Manor Oaks Gardens	No/object	In the current financial climte with bills climbing expedentially is it fair to penalised people with even more unneceserly expense just because of the geographical location if their home
Meersbrook	No/object	
Park/Wybourn	No/object	
S8	No/object	Re continuing to park and pay. I work 12 hr shifts and these often start and finish in the dark, and I do not feel it is safe to walk distances (public transport is not an option), so I will have to pay, but if there is a time limit too I am absolutely stuck!!!! Also all this will do is move the problem slightly further out while also effecting people that do need to park in the area zoned for work!
Holdings road	No/object	I am a resident of Holdings Road and oppose the proposed scheme. I am not willing to pay an additional cost to park outside of my house. I already pay road tax and council tax and there is not an issue with parking on my road. A permit for residents should be free.
Holdings road	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	I object on the grounds that there are no parking issues at present, that it would penalise me for having short term visitors to my house, that the proposal given here contradicts the information given on the leaflet (Mon - Fri 8am-6:30pm), that any future short term tenants I may wish to let to would cause considerable bureaucratic problems, additional costs as the cost of living continues to rise, that I return from work before 6:30pm, that any future parking problems would be caused by the introduction of parking zones elsewhere, that council tax continued to rise whilst services continue to decline and that having lived in the area for many years this has never been an issue.
Glencoe Road	No/object	I am appalled at the idea for the introduction of paid parking in the Park Hill area. I strongly believe the introduction of this scheme will be of detriment to residents and visitors as well as local businesses.
Farmoor Gardens	No/object	I respectfully request that SCC stop trying to enforce parking fees for the few people who park on street and walk into the city centre.
S5	No/object	This blanket response is not needed, is heavy handed and is to generate additional income for SCC. This is not a measure to help local residents and these roads and spaces are empty after 5:30pm on weekdays and weekends.
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	I will have to look to locate else where if this is going to occur
Granville road	No/object	
S2	No/object	
Glencoe Road . Sheffield S2 2SR	No/object	I am against the proposed parking scheme
stafford road	No/object	
Buckinghamshire	No/object	thomson walk Aylesbury

Essex Road	No/object	
Buckinghamshire	No/object	The past two years have been awful all we want is to be able to visit our family and not have to worry about parking charges.
Seabrook Road	No/object	I do not park in the Park Hill area for any other reason apart from I live in the area. I object to the controlled parking scheme you are trying to thrust on to the residents of the area simply because we have the right to park on the streets outside our properties that we own without having to pay any extra for doing so. As vehicle and property owners, we already pay enough to the council, and most of the time, without any further support.
Granville Road	No/object	I personally couldn't afford an additional payment for a parking permit currently. Especially as I live in a house share with 3 others so how is it fair that some of us would have to obtain the more expensive permit after the first?
Granville Road	No/object	
Granville rd	No/object	Can i say why it just says park hill but whole of norfolk park in included. I initially thought it was just park hill. There are so many things which are misleading eg the timing and day. People will literally think just park hill and not realise that thier area is included.
Granville road	No/object	Everything else is currently being put up, tax, bills etc. and you are now thinking of making us pay to park outside my home. We are a house share of individuals that are not well paid and the permit is a ridiculous amount especially as we have four cars. I think this is ridiculous and I do not see why it is suddenly needed as there is currently no problems with parking.
S10	No/object	Just make it all free; you're only pushing the problem into the next area. If I have to walk for ten minutes longer, then so be it. You're punishing workers on low incomes with fixed hours who can't do the same.
Wybourn	No/object	You can not charge people to park out side they're own doors
Glencoe Road	No/object	Why should residents have to pay for something that has been free?
Granville road	No/object	
Ingram Road, Norfolk Park	No/object	
Donnington Road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	parking in the city center, and improved public transport links! If a parking scheme is introduced in the Park Hill area, permits should be given freely to residents as they already pay council tax. If charges must be introduced, they should be tiered based on council tax band, with significant discounts for people with disabilities and limited incomes. Additionally, if this scheme is introduced as proposed, I and many other households like mine will be forced to pave over our front garden to create a parking space. This will increase water run-off, exacerbating the already considerable flooding risk at the bottom of the hill - i.e. the town centre. Whatever money the council hopes to make off this scheme will be lost in increased flood severity due to countless more gardens being concreted over.
Holdings road	No/object	
Norfolk park	No/object	No one should have to pay to park outside their own homes - the residents need a FOC permit pass as this is totally unacceptable !!
Singleton grove	No/object	Why would I have to pay to park to visit friends and pick up friends from their house
Singleton Grove	No/object	I believe this is purely a monetary gain exercise to only benefit the sheffield city council. I visit the area regularly for caring responsibilities and have never had any difficulty parking at any time. I find it abhorrent that after what the people have gone through over the past few years the scc are again stealing from the citizens of this city
Glencoe Road	No/object	I would not like to need to pay if I was a resident.
Donnington Road	No/object	
Old Street	No/object	
talbot street	No/object	i strongly object to this parking scheme i live in this area and have family park who stop overnight some weeks . why are you even thinking of doing this? its not wanted, why are you trying to upset us all? just because 2 people have complained.
Holdings Road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	We have no choice as we live here so we have to park here. Cost-wise for residents for one car, the proposed amount is reasonable. My concern is that the price for non-residents to park here, especially the all-day price, is incredibly cheap. The prices should be high enough to deter people from wanting to park there. £1.50 for an hour and £6.50 for all day would not be enough to deter me if I were the one having to pay. In the NCP and Q Park the all day prices are more around the £20 mark. The proposed prices will not do anything to improve the parking situation for residents. Low parking fees will still making the Park Hill area a more attractive (cheaper) option than the city centre, thereby increasing traffic and emissions in a residential area. Park Hill/Norfolk Park should be upgraded, not continue to be the communal car park for visitors/commuters to the city/station. My other concern with a parking scheme in general would be that even more people would turn their front gardens into driveways, which is the case for a couple of houses on our road, including a house on the Conservation Area side, and it looks terrible. If more people were to do that, it would considerably change the aesthetic of the street. It already looks bad enough with quite a number of houses having paved over their front gardens. If a parking scheme is introduced, we would welcome enforcement of Conservation Area regulations with regards to changes to the front garden.
Granville Road Sheffield	No/object	
Granville Road Sheffield	No/object	
Granville Road Sheffield	No/object	
Hemsworth	No/object	8-8:30pm 7 days a week seems excessive.
St Giles Croft, Beverley,	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	I ticked yes to the above because we live here and don't have a choice. I don't think that parking meters will deter non-residents from parking here either because a lot of the extra parking is often work vans and i imagine they will just bill their employer for the parking fees. The parking in this area has not been a problem - and particularly at weekends there is lots of space. I don't believe residents should have to pay for permits to be able to park outside their homes.
Norfolk road	No/object	
Norfolk Rd	No/object	The parking in and around Norfolk Park is not so much a problem. It's what you would expect being so close to town. Speeding is much worse.
Granville Road	No/object	There are no problems with parking on Granville road or most of the area on the proposed parking scheme. Most commuters park on Norfolk Road to walk to the train station, The majority of residents on Norfolk Road have access to off street parking so I don't see the issue, I don't think there should be a permit scheme in this area because the residents mainly can't afford it, and even if one was needed it should be limited to the parts of the area with parking issues. For example the bottom of Granville road is quite busy due to the schools and colleges, but where we are at the top of is not and there is no issue with parking
S7	No/object	

Old street s2 5pr	No/object	My father is disabled so parking elsewhere wouldn't be an option for him and he wouldn't be able to afford to pay for parking everytime he comes to see me. Also my mother in law comes to stay for the weekend once a month and she has a small child and is also pregnant so she also needs to be able to park on our street and doesn't need another expense to worry about right now. If there was nowhere to park then i would totally understand and support a parking scheme but there's plenty of space so i dont think its necessary at this time.
Old Street	No/object	Although we do not own a car ourselves we are regularly visited by friends and family who if these proposed changes would effect our street (Old street) would not be able to visit as often. In light of recent times and the rise in costs for everyone I don't believe this is an acceptable change to make, I have not noticed any issue on our street with people parking and if this was an issue perhaps putting money towards making parking more affordable and accessible in the city would be a much better approach than finding another expense for people to have to pay.
St. Aidans Road	No/object	To put this scheme in place would only move the problem to areas a little further away from city centre. How do you decide where to stop the restrictions.
Sheffield	No/object	
Rotherham - have family on Hyde Donnington Road	No/object	Please do not make it payed parking. My elderly Grandparents who visit and others need a space to park and can't do this if it's permit.
Buxton	No/object	
City Road	No/object	Daft question really where else could we park.We live here on city road.It's hitting at pensioners having to pay.We are all not fortunate to live in suburbia with drives etc.It looks like you are hitting those poorer residents yet again.If it's because there's too many parking in the area to enable to work in town etc it's probably caused by those said suburbians .We don't have much in way of issues other than neighbours families with multiple cars.What happens with my son visiting us he will have to pay to see us?if so you may isolate families.Will blue badge holders like my wife be able to park free in those proposed charging times
City road	No/object	For more than 30 years there has never been a issue with parking...
Skye Edge Road	No/object	This is just a money making scheme from the council. If you want to reduce parking problems, have affordable parking in town. That way you will encourage businesses, and shopping. Instead of trying to (and failing to) drive people away from their cars. Just wonder if you are going to change once most cars are electric? Back to this scheme. If its to protect residential parking. Then why not allow free parking for those who live here by giving free permits for those. And just charging those who don't live here
Park Hill	No/object	Park Hill residents should not be charged to park in Park Hill. If you want to charge visitors, that's fine.
Ingram Road	No/object	We would have no option but to park outside our home as we live here and do not have off-street parking.
Glencoe Road	No/object	
Granville	No/object	
Stafford Road S2	No/object	
Castle Croft Drive	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	I cannot afford to pay to park outside my own home on a road for which I already struggle to pay Council Tax for. I can't afford to pay for visitors to park outside my home when they visit me. My neighbours that live one road over won't have to pay! I am disabled and travel by car to visit The Cholera Park to enjoy the healthy benefits of sitting outside. I use Norfolk Park Road to park my car to visit this park. If there are parking restrictions I will no longer be able to do this. I will not oppose parking restrictions if I don't have to pay a fee to park my car outside my own home nor if I have to pay for my visitors to park outside my house. I will also wish to be able to park anywhere inside the proposed zone for free so I can continue to enjoy my own local community.
Duke Street	No/object	I would support the scheme for non residents . but disagree that residents who are all over 65 should have to pay .
St. Aidans Road	No/object	I have to park on the street as I do not have a driveway and I work in the essential construction industry where I must drive for my job. I am being penalised for not having a driveway and you are trying to force me to pay for this, whilst at the same time not allowing me to charge an EV on the street? You need to make up your mind, if you are forcing me for the privilege of having a job then at least give me the support to make driving as sustainable as possible. You are introducing the 'clean air zone' which we will live at the edge of, which is presumably why you are doing this, because you know the problems this will cause with redirected traffic and you are trying to profit from the change. This is a terrible idea and will not resolve any traffic issues, which must be perceived as I have never experienced or seen any parking issues in the 7 years I have lived in the area.
Handsworth	No/object	Depending on how much the parking is I would consider paying however the council extort commuters with their obscene prices
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Castle Croft drive	No/object	
Seabrook road	No/object	Question 27 is a silly question, just because you want to extort money from us doesn't mean I can give up my car A handful of residents on one or 2 roads complaining about commuter parking has led to this ridiculous idea. I hope it is never implemented. Cost of living, energy, fuel all squeezing us to the limit and now you want to make us pay additional to please all the rich families who live on Norfolk road. I will make sure I use my vote wisely next time instead of voting in a council determined to make us suffer
Norfolk Road	No/object	Schemes like this just displace parking, rather than reduce them. Introducing something like this would just move all the same cars further up the hill towards the Manor
Granville Rd	No/object	If the issue is about those outside parking in residential areas, why not build a multi storey car park rather than forcing residents to pay for parking. We will now be out of pocket just buy living in the area. In your proposal we will have to pay annual fees. What happens when friends/family visit our contractors need to park outside our houses?
Holdings Road	No/object	I do not see the need for a parking zone in Park Hill. I feel that SCC is not listening and that this is all about generating money for the Council. I already pay road tax and council tax - how much more will you try to squeeze from me. I get no benefits and fund myself. If the zone is introduced, you will obviously be paying someone to police this and they will need a vehicle. This all costs. Also, as hourly charging is one of the proposals I assume that hourly checks will be required.
Duke Street	No/object	

Norfolk Park Avenue.	No/object	I support the scheme AS LONG AS there are caveats, such as: 1. Funds go back into local traffic-calming measures 2. Up to 2 hours free parking so ppl can visit relatives, library, park, etc 3. Profits should be auditable, and subsidise the cost of ppl having to pay to park outside their own homes.
Glencoe Road	No/object	I wouldn't have a choice as I have to have a car and its where I live. I do however think it is absolutely disgraceful making money from residents parking outside their own houses. Residents should be given at least one free permit.
Norfolk park avenue	No/object	
Park hill	No/object	I would park and not pay and not pay the fines either - hopefully my prison sentence would be another embarrassment for the council like the tree fiasco
City road	No/object	There is absolutely no use of implementing such scheme in this area.
Samuel road	No/object	I live Norfolk park not far from Guildford avenue, although I'm not in the parking zone area I'm not far away, so thinking the cars that won't now park in that area will move there cars near and create problems for other streets .
Donnington road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	
Fitzwalter Road	No/object	Parking is not a real issue for us. You would provide a great disservice to our community through this scheme - especially those among us who are already vulnerable. Please don't isolate residents so that you can raise a few thousand pounds. Making it harder to visit Sheffield will inevitably effect business and the cultural life of our city. Please don't ruin our city centre for a few thousand pounds! This is a thinly veiled scheme to raise taxes surreptitiously and an attempt to force drivers to use the over-priced car parks (that are mostly empty). Instead, represent our community by addressing our real concerns. Traffic Calming is a serious issue. Because there is no left turn from Granville Road on to Duke Street, Fitzwalter Road (a small residential street) is misused by traffic. We suffer continually from damage to our cars and the threat to our children as they try to cross the road.
Park Hill	No/object	I am a resident, I live at Crown Place I pay my Council Tax, I pay my car tax why do I have to pay outside my house?? I am a disabled person why do I have to pay to park outside my house??
Holdings Rd	No/object	NORFOLK RD seems to be the area that began this proposal. Many of the houses there have drives. As for Shrewsbury Hospital, it has long drives with locked gates that could be used for residents and visitors. Perhaps some disabled parking areas would help. Or H markings outside drives. This proposal has a monetary impact on residents who currently gave no issues. And to introduce measures only in the lower area would move the problem up the hill. I strongly object to this proposal.
PARK GRANGE CROFT	No/object	We don't have any problems with parking on Park Grange Croft. Even when football is on at Bramhall lane, it is only busy for a couple of hours. Putting in a parking charge would only make it difficult for residents and they're visitors who will have to now pay. It seems like a money making scheme by Sheffield council with no real justification.
Tylney Road	No/object	You are making this scheme too expensive for residents - the first permit should be free, or vastly reduced, so that people can afford a pass for visitors. Pay street parking would be OK under these terms as people could still have guests. There is a huge worry for many that the cost will continue to rise and that people are being penalised while the actual issues over safety are ignored. I do think you need to rethink this as it feels like the council hasn't listened or understood the problems. Where is the 20 mile zone, or traffic calming?
Talbot Crescent	No/object	The hourly and daily charges are a lot if it was for a visitor. Rather than being charged to park on the road there should be the ability to also get visitors permits when you pay for the resident permit.
City Road	No/object	I object these proposals as it simply seems like more money-making from the council. I live in terraced housing which does not have a private driveway, therefore I have no choice but to park on the street close to my home. If these changes go ahead, this will be another household cost of of £40+ for the year, possibly even £90+ if my partner and I were to purchase separate vehicles. In a time where household costs are increasing (energy, council tax, petrol, food shopping), I think it's an absolutely awful idea to add yet another expense. I do wonder who the people are who have suggested this is a good idea - maybe people lucky enough to have their own driveways who don't like others parking around their homes, or people with the luxury of spare funds who wish to throw money at a problem in the hope that this gives them (and them alone) the entitlement to park on the road outside their house. I think much more consultation needs to be given to the residents of this area, you can't lump this entire area together when there is a very different situation on a street by street basis. For example, you can't park directly on City Road, so many residents park just behind around Granville Road - you can't lump these residents in the same pool as the houses who live closer to the train station towards Park Hill (Glencoe for example) who have private driveways. Maybe narrow the circle down tighter - closer to the train station if you really want to target commuters who might be taking advantage of the free parking - I don't see how this affects the area towards me and I certainly don't wish to pay to park my car around my house.
Norfolk road	No/object	If the scheme went ahead I think it should start at 9am and finish at 6pm
Blackwell Place	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	We strongly object to the proposed parking scheme.
Duke Street	No/object	My family and visit me sometimes. I think if you introduce paid parking, people would be less likely to visit me
Norwich, Pat Midgley Lane, Park H	No/object	Because it is already difficult to park on our flats car parks, due to public being able to come in and pay to park as well, especially those that are using the train station as it's way cheaper than the extortionate station car park, this will create further problems for us. All the people that currently park for free on these streets when going into town etc, will then come and use our car park because it will still be cheaper than the new charges on the street. Therefore, for us residents of the flats, who have no other option of where to park, that's anywhere close to our homes, will be pushed out. We are paying £50 per month, which is a very high charge. If you bring in these new charges we will have even less chance to park near our flats. You should put pressure on Urban Splash to give us a guaranteed designated space if we pay £50 per month. To pay this price and find other people using the car park and no room for us is not OK. I believe the new parking zone will make matters far worse for all us and there are many new flats coming in this development. I strongly oppose this on those grounds.
Glencoe road	No/object	
Castle Croft Drive	No/object	I don't park on park hill, so probs doesn't effect me.. I live on castle Croft Drive that people use to park. Again overall it isn't that bad, I wouldn't be happy if I had to pay for a yearly permit to park my own vehicle outside my own house.
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Park Hill Flats, South Street	No/object	The proposed hourly rate for the street parking is more than the hourly rate for the SIP Park Hill car park. This means that hourly people will fill up our car park because it's the cheaper option. The situation as it is, is fine and we don't see any reason to complicate things by introducing this scheme.

Norfolk Park Avenue, ,	No/object	First of all I do not live in Parkhill so why have you sent me this leaflet? If these proposals are intended to apply to me please let me know. Generally speaking this is no more than a money making exercise for an incompetent local administration which takes no notice of the general public's requirements. With the current situation of increases in food, heating, lighting, petrol, etc how dare you add additional expenses to the ordinary man and woman in the street that you as a council claim to represent?
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	
Long Henry	No/object	Please don't do this. It will cause problems and not resolve anything - It's a very bad idea.
Tylney Road	No/object	I live on Tylney Road and we don't seem to have a problem with people parking on our road and then walking into town etc. The people who park here live here, albeit that some have two cars. You may not always be able to park outside your own house, but we seem to work it out. Having a permit doesn't guarantee you a parking space and so we are no better off. I am really not happy that you are even considering this proposal at this time. It is another added financial burden placed on us. The same week I received your flyer I also received notification that my Gas Bill of £1,204 per year would go from the 1st April to an estimated £2,126 and my Electric From £859 to an estimated £1,214....and possible further increases! This is not the only cost of living increase we face...there is water, petrol, council tax, food and the list goes on. My husband and I are pensioners, during Covid he was classed as 'vulnerable' and the last 2 years have been very difficult. Now we are having friends and family starting to visit and life is slowly getting back to normal. That is not going to happen if they have to pay £1.30 per hour to visit us! You might say ...but that's just Mon. to Fri. between 8am - 6.30pm.....during those time's the road is half empty because people are back at work. I can understand that there maybe a problem with street parking nearer the town but as you go further back there is not. I strongly feel this is not appropriate.
Talbot Place	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
Manor Oaks Drive	No/object	I will start a petition to ensure this is not progressed. Haven spoken to alot of locals they are against this idea. The idea is only support by one women who works for the council and she is trying to push this through.
Shrewsbury Hospital, Norfolk road	No/object	I can't park on Shrewsbury hospital estate and people visiting me can't park on the estate. My relatives would find the parking fees prohibitive and would be forced to reduce or stop their visits altogether. I am seriously concerned about the impact this will have on my own, already frail, mental health. The hospital trustees have recently introduced a rule stating that there will be no parking allowed on the site at all. I would like you to get the Shrewsbury hospital to allow daytime parking again. Please call me so i can speak directly to you
Harold Lambert Court	No/object	Where else are residents supposed to park.
Talbot Street	No/object	
Norfolk Park	No/object	
Guildford Avenue	No/object	This scheme will only push the people parking there to avoid city centre parking charges further up the estate onto neighbouring roads which are already struggling to accommodate residents vehicles, the residents of parkhill shouldn't have to pay you for permits when the problem isn't getting solved just moved further into the estate
Talbot Street	No/object	I live here so where else would you want me to park? The council has obviously lost the plot
Ingram road	No/object	I would have no choice but to have to pay for the other two cars in my house ! Don't want the charges and have never had a problem. My eldest parents both live in the "zone" and I don't feel I should have to pay to visit as do my grown up children.
Tylney road	No/object	This is disgraceful. Money for the council. This is not what the majority of residents want. They wanted speed bumps but someone on the council sees this as a money making concern.
Stafford Road	No/object	I can understand why residents have complained about parking, however my concern is that pay and display and it's prices won't deter commuters and as such not solve the problem (especially as they can still park all day rather than short stay) and that essentially it will stay the same but we are now paying for permits and the hassle of visitor booklets. I also think that the parking meters and markings will look very unattractive in the area especially as the houses are beautiful Victorian houses. Our area has many issues that I wish time and energy were being thrown at... litter, fly tipping, crime, maintenance of the parks... I can't believe that parking cars is a priority as I don't believe it will add anything to the area.
Tylney road	No/object	I could not afford to pay.
Coates Street	No/object	This would be terrible for the local business and park library. It will not be good for the value of our houses. I strongly appose this I should not have to pay to park outside my own home which I own!!! So annoyed by this action and I would NOT have bought a house in the area if I know you ere planning This! Plus it will kill the city centre what are you thinking!!!! Residents of the area DO NOT WANT THIS SCHEME!!!!
Stafford Road	No/object	Q27 - should have answer "I have no other alternative". This 'consultation' is not fit for purpose
Harwich Road	No/object	I strongly object to the proposals. They would have a significant negative impact on me. I park in the area to attend groups for my health and wellbeing, as well as my child's nursery. If I had to pay to park this would put me off attending these vital community support activities. I have no problems parking so do not see the need for such measures.
Stafford Street	No/object	I would rather find a space nearby until space becomes available outside my door. I've never had a problem parking outside my own home each night. My guests are also able to park in safe visible distance near my house.
Skye Edge Road, Sheffield S2	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	There are no problems therefore I do not wish to partake in purchasing a parking permit which seems to just be a money making scheme by the council in an already scary financial climate
Donnington Road	No/object	There are far too few parking bays for residents. At the moment residents park on both sides of Donnington Road/Norfolk Park Avenue, but the proposal shows nothing for the Norfolk Park Avenue side of the road. There are also spaces that small cars currently squeeze into that aren't on the plan, meaning even less space. This parking scheme will cause more problems for residents and not make any difference to the commuter situation, as they don't park on our street anyway. Ridiculous!
Tylney Road	No/object	It would be unacceptable to force residents of the area to pay a charge to park outside their own homes. If the council insist on this, residents should be allocated free parking permits; therefore charging visitors and (above all else) football fans who are the main culprits in this scenario.
Ingram road	No/object	
Donnington Road, Sheffield	No/object	Hard enough to park as it is outside my own home, never mind having to pay for it! Joke!
Robinson road	No/object	You are. Not guaranteed a parking space with resident parking and have to pay

Skye Edge Road	No/object	I find it bewildering that we as a street have to find out about these proposed changes through word of mouth and not by the council themselves when it has a huge impact on us. Why are residents not being given prior warning of these supposed proposals? A lot of people can't or don't know how to access the internet and are therefore not given the right to oppose/support changes which are affecting them directly
Manor park	No/object	This is a disgrace to make money from residents when they already pay council tax and road tax not to mention income tax and national insurance which is going up on top of the cost of living I, sheffield city council should be ashamed of themselves
Old street	No/object	33 old street. Parking is no issue here. Don't introduce this.
Stafford road	No/object	Q 27 is biased for the council I live in the area pay rates and vote for councilors and Mps at present i have voted labour all my voting life All this council are doing is turning sheffield into a carpark so they can make money they are not interested in the people that vote or the communities otherwise they would be looking at what is happening around them rates going up gas /electricity going up food going up pensions frozen services being cut petrol going up disabled help being cut. Now the council are going to charge doctors/nurses /carers/ to visit people in need I am not alone give us the full facts not just yours
Tylney rd	No/object	
Norfolk Park Road	No/object	I think it would be extremely unfair to charge people to park on Norfolk Park Road when most are visiting the park or the hospital or going to college. Resident parking is not needed on this road
Stafford rd	No/object	The proposed parking scheme would not make it any easier for residents to park just expensive and congested, Difficult for visiting family, health and care workers and trades people. We would have to continue parking here as it's where we live.
Park Hill Flats	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	I don't agree as a resident that we should have to pay. Also I believe it will actually increase traffic in the area making it harder to park.
Norfolk Park Drive	No/object	I really don't agree with this, the cost of living is going up, its the wrong time and the wrong way. People are going to try and park on small streets like ours at Norfolk Park Drive and then I'm guessing that will be made into pay to park. I know money is tight for the council but there has to be other ways but its really scary right now wondering what this year is going to hold for us all, I just don't think this is the right time. If its to address the issue of the environment/car use then surely making public transport better, bringing it back into public control would be the first step - more buses etc, more reasonable and reliable services, then look at charging for car parking. In the past, my partner has been fined for having to park temporarily on a yellow on our own street as he had to nip back home to sort something before going back to work and that was bad enough. I hope you reconsider this proposal.
Tylney rd	No/object	Totally against this as most residents are No problem with commuters no problem speeding if council wants to do something useful sort out the junction at Stafford Rd Glencoe Rd and Fitzwalter Rd it's an accident waiting to happen I am totally against the scheme as is my husband The area chosen is not appropriate you need to visit the residents to see if commuters Park on their Rd Someone has not done their homework
Norfolk park	No/object	
rotherham	No/object	Parking restrictions are unnecessary and are just another way for the council to make money
Tylney Road	No/object	Difficulties parking outside your own home seem to be a modern day problem in every city. Charging the residents does not seem the fair way to deal with solution. For myself, struggling with all the current cost of living increases are making life financially harder and harder and then having to pay to park on my own street will just increase the burden. The proposal to charge visitors £1.50 an hour is also outrageous, which other residential areas charge this hourly rate? What if one of my adult children wish to come and stay for a few days? I feel this whole scheme is just an unfair financial on local residents
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	Residents of Norfolk Park should at least get free permits and friends and family permits. With the inflation of prices of everything at the moment it is unfair to expect residents to find the money to pay for permits to park outside their own houses
Norfolk Park avenue	No/object	
Seabrook Road	No/object	I think it's absolutely disgusting that you are planning on changing me to park outside my own home. Especially in a time when cost of living is out of control! Why not let residents have a couple of free passes at least?
City road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	I have no choice but to continue parking here, as I am a resident in the area. If this scheme is enforced, this will not deter the majority of existing commuters who park in the area, as the cost to park for a day is still cheaper than parking in the town center. The people who will lose out are the local residents, who will have to pay for parking and guest permits, and even then there will be no guarantee that there is a parking space for them. Furthermore, local businesses will also lose out because customers will be put off by the charges and restrictions. We do not need this in this day and age, especially in an already poverty stricken area. As I said in an earlier section, parking in this area has never been an issue for me and I have always found a space outside or near my house on Glencoe Road. All in all, I am highly opposed to this scheme for the reasons outlined earlier.
Manor Lane	No/object	From collective voices, I do not know one person that has any positive feedback on this proposal. I know that my address sits outside of the area, however, I feel as the first place with free parking outside of the area, we will be heavily impacted unnecessarily.
skye edge road	No/object	if we are stopped from parking on skye edge road ,between the hours of 8am to 6-30pm,where does the council propose we park.i would be interested to know.
Castle Croft Drive	No/object	
Tylney road	No/object	
Skye Edge Road	No/object	
Parkhill	No/object	I'm a housing association resident of parkhill. With the high rents and already high hearing cost. The parking scheme is another expense I can barely afford. For me what would be a good idea is to mark the parking areas so people park with more consideration

City Road	No/object	I think this scheme is very unfair for local residents. I do not use on street parking because my house has a driveway, but most residents in the area do not. The cost of living is already rising rapidly and adding unnecessary expense to this is unacceptable. A fairer solution to the parking issues would be to have free permits for residents and some pay and display bays around the area, or to make all parking in the residential streets for residents only with free permits. As it stands I think the scheme is extremely unfair to local residents.
S2 2RD	No/object	I would like to know the reasoning for this
Skye Edge Road	No/object	After being a resident for over 30 years will no difficulty parking i strongly disagree with this decision. Being close to city road, which has controlled parking, I feel this will make parking for residents on our road extremely difficult, as the only other places to park would be Manor Laith road, half of which is also to be controlled and the other half will be a free for all between residents of city road and us. How will this help, it is a ludicrous idea, and one that I am sure all residents of Skye Edge Road will disagree with.
Farm Bank Road	No/object	Farm Bank Road has 8 houses, many have no drive or a single drive. The road is also used for parking by residents of Granville Road whose houses (and drives) back onto Farm Bank Road. Parking is generally fine and not a problem. I have seen the proposals. I would guess that it means around six cars can park on the street at any one time, which is not enough for the people who live here. I also don't understand why the parking is on that side of the road when the other side has fewer drives and so more space to put in bays. If we are only going to have six bays (or fewer) I do not want this to go ahead. There would be no where for us to park and we wouldn't have parking available for visitors either.
Ingram Road	No/object	I think this is going to cause a lot of hassle and cost a lot of money for people who live in the area. We already pay council tax and now we have to pay for a parking permit and our visitors have to pay for parking? This is wrong
City Road,	No/object	I feel that the scheme would not improve the parking situation and that I would be paying for the privilege of potentially not being able to park in my residential area.
Doncaster	No/object	The area is well away from the city centre and parking restrictions are totally unnecessary. Why should anyone have to pay to park outside a house nowhere near any congestion?
coates st	No/object	
Glencoe road	No/object	
City road	No/object	I disagree with parking charges. It takes 30 minutes to walk from city road to the city centre, and if commuters are already committing to parking further away to avoid paying for parking then it is proven that parking is too expensive for people that are already working and struggling to pay - or they wouldn't include a 30 minute walk on top of their current driving travel. Also, not a lot of companies support their staff with parking charges, which means it will come directly out of commuters pockets and will be forced to pay just to get to work. The benefits of paying for parking in the city centre (if people choose se to) is convenience and short distance walking, however parking charges at a further distance do not provide any benefits for people that are employed and that are just trying to get to work. Not having parking restrictions encourages people to walk and live healthier lifestyles, and limiting this will leave people out of pocket and reduce wellbeing - ontop of the current price increase of basic living.
Granville Road, South Yorkshire	No/object	I am 82, my children and grandchildren visit me and my wife regularly. This permit scheme is a ridiculous money making scheme, there are no parking issues in 80% of the area covered by the map. This will reduce the number of visitors I get as I am bed bound and unable to visit them. Do something useful instead
Donnington Road	No/object	We don't think this scheme is necessary for Donnington Road as we don't have parking problems here. This scheme will likely make our lives more awkward and more expensive. We would have to pay to park as residents. We do urgently need speed bumps on Donnington Road as people routinely speed down our residential street. There also needs to be a safe way to cross Talbot Road from the bottom of Norfolk Road. Thanks.
Seabrook road	No/object	
Norfolk park	No/object	We don't have any problem on our road for parking none of us residents do. And we can't afford to pay for 2 parking tickets at the prices they are. They should get cheaper or be free for the residents that have no issues at the moment. Making money from the residents to try and solve issues that may be on the other roads doesn't seem appropriate. Making money from the pay machines should be enough
Skye edge road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
Robinson Road	No/object	
Hyde Park Terrace	No/object	If we have permits or pay zones then my children who help care for me would have to use public transport
Stafford Rd	No/object	As a local resident I strongly object to the introduction of a controlled parking scheme. I believe this scheme will penalise rather than benefit local residents. Parking is not an issue within the proposed zone. Yes, some people park at the town end of Norfolk Rd in order to access the city but, considering our unreliable and overpriced public transport and the current cost of living crisis, I don't believe this is a bad thing. People also park in this zone to access the fantastic parks we have in our area and I don't see why they should have to pay to do this. With people suffering financially at the moment, having parks accessible without cost is essential to the well being of people in our city. I feel that the introduction of this parking zone is designed purely to raise money for the council, not to benefit residents or visitors to the area. I have a drive so would use that to park rather than paying to park on the road as I do at present, but not everybody in the area is so fortunate and I don't see why they should have to pay what is essentially a parking tax when times are already hard enough financially. If you wish to make an improvement to the roads in our area, why not introduce a 20 mph speed limit on all residential streets? This would make roads safer for children (there are multiple schools, nurseries and parks within the proposed zone) and would help to reduce pollution. Reducing the speed limit and helping to enforce it by introducing speed bumps would have a positive impact, introducing a parking zone would not. I am aware that other areas have been offered a vote on whether a parking zone should be introduced (e.g. Hunter House Rd in Hunters Bar) and believe that in the name of local democracy, this should also be the approach taken here.
Manor oaks gardens	No/object	Manor oaks gardens is not part of park hill. It is a residential estate with families & children , more Wybourn area and Parents & residents have no problems parking their cars, All the residents will find it very hard to have to pay parking permits as it's mostly low income families that live here.
Ingram Court	No/object	

St Aidans road	No/object	I would have to continue to park here as this is where I live ! This is a ridiculous idea and purely a money making scheme for the council. My road never experiences heavy parking or double parking. No commuters park here as it is not close enough to the station, college or city centre to be viable for them.
Glencoe Road	No/object	There's no benefit to me from the scheme, only cost. I'm also concerned that visiting friends and family would have to pay a quite stiff rate.
Archdale Road	No/object	
Hyde Park Terrace	No/object	
Stafford Street	No/object	
Norfolk rd	No/object	I live on Norfolk rd. I would not be happy to pay for a permit. My vehicle is too large to fit on the drive. The parking scheme proposed would not deter commuter parking and would only serve to disadvantage residents.
City Road	No/object	Please re-think the parking zones and please back off, feels like death by a thousand bills, everything's going up and the councils finding new ways to make life even harder than it already is
Skye Edge Road	No/object	
Tylney Rd	No/object	I am totally against this. I will refuse to pay what amounts to a tax for parking on my own road. It's outrageous 😡😡😡
Talbot Place	No/object	let builders and city centre commuters park on south road.
Ingram Road	No/object	I do not have any issues parking in my street and do not see the need for this scheme.
Talbot place	No/object	We as residents don't want this scene in our area can't afford it
Skye Edge Road	No/object	Please consider our communities current socio-economic circumstances, we cannot afford to pay anything further than what we are doing already - income tax, road tax, council tax, bills have increased but not pay (unless you are an MP). I already have 3 jobs, am a single parent and feel I already pay enough out. We could also ask, "Why are we being asked to do this in our area where it is already a deprived area, why not head out to Dore or Whirlow? There are lots of families who will be further disadvantaged if you do this. Please don't do it.
City Road	No/object	Answer to question 27. I dont have a choice where i park my car as i will live on the road where the permits are being proposed. I shouldn't have to pay to park my car outside of my own home. And my family members shouldn't have to pay and display to visit either.
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	No issues parking on street. I do not want parking restrictions on the back side of Norfolk park avenue as cars are parked blocking the driveway and I do not want there to be a restriction on doing this. I do not want to pay for a permit to park nor do I want pay for parking. Please do not place restrictions in the park hill area. Pleas keep me updated by email.
STAFFORD ROAD	No/object	I have no option other than to park where I live. To charge for that when people's incomes are massively impacted by current circumstances is wholly unjust.
Stafford Road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	Firstly I do not park in Park Hill which has privately controlled parking spaces. This question is totally misleading. I do wish to park around Park Centre/Library and other local community buildings without charge. Does this question ask for comments about the whole scheme. It is very ambiguous.
Stafford Road	No/object	Totally unfair, this area does not have a parking problem it has a traffic problem
Tylney Road	No/object	Money making scheme from SCC.
Norfolk Road	No/object	
Pitsmoor	No/object	I don't think it is fair that the new scheme for paying for parking is necessary. I object because i am a student living at home and having to travel to college on Granville road and going to work so, park hill and Granville road parking is important for me. The pricing of fuel has gone up significantly and now this new controlled parking is being put into place for 'residents' yet council are proposing you can park if you pay! It's unfair, the council should take into account everyone's situations.
Arbourthorne	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	I don't understand why this scheme is in progress. There is a much greater need for speed restrictions on side roads (Stafford, Norfolk, Fitzwalter roads for example). Many residents have signposted their desire for this through "20s plenty" placards in their gardens. Parking does not seem a problem. People do use Norfolk road to park on to go into town but most residents have their own driveways. Other roads around here are fine for parking. Please can we all have a vote, rather than Sheffield Council bringing something in that seems to me to be mostly to generate income for them, rather than benefitting local residents?
Norwich St	No/object	As a volunteer working at Park Library I am very concerned about the way this consultation has been conducted. there is only one document online, lots of people are coming in to look at the folder but not really understanding the maps - there is not much in it to help them make an informed decision about what is happening. It would be quite wrong for the council to claim either public support or opposition for this proposal based on this attempt at consultation. My decision to object is purely because I do not feel I have anywhere near enough information.
Duke Street	No/object	We are a business in the Duke Street area(Sheffield Trades and Labour Club). We have a private car park for our customers which is free, if the parking scheme goes drivers will start using our car park as a free for all to avoid paying the proposed on street parking charges.Our members will be unable to park in their own car park if this happens.
Glencoe Place	No/object	This is quite frankly a terrible idea for our cul-de-sac. I live on Glencoe Place, we have two cars, and never have any issues with parking. I know cars got pushed further up towards us but that is very much on you and the fact you didn't make the Urban Splash workmen park on site. It's annoying that you think we should pay for a permit, never allow family to park near us. With the cost of living going up this is another cost we could quite frankly do without.
Rubén's Row, S2 5JJ	No/object	I object because people who have cars already pay road tax and local businesses will suffer
NORFOLK PARK AVENUE, SHEFFIE	No/object	My partner and I live on Norfolk Park Avenue, so our only vehicle access is via Donnington Road. We are both in our sixties and need our cars for work, shopping and caring responsibilities. At the moment we have no problems parking on Donnington Road, despite living at the Granville Road end. We negotiate with our neighbours about who parks where in a friendly community. We have to park on the street, as although our house technically has a garage, this was built a long time ago and it is simply not possible to park a modern car in the garage, or to open the gate to the house when there's a car in front of the garage.
Norfolk Road	No/object	Although there is a problem with non resident parking, I don't think charging residents to park outside their houses is a good idea. It seems that a inconvenient parking is is being turned into a way of making money by the council. Cars parking on both sides of our road in combination with cars speeding is for me the real problem. It's possibly only a matter of time before someone gets injured. However the speeding problem is being ignored. A 20 mile an hour zone is the priority, not a parking zone.

City road	No/object	
St Aidens	No/object	I think it's a joke, the road I park on is nowhere near town not a single person parks here as a midway point every car on this street is a local resident putting permits/metres in place is not going to help anyone apart from your accounting department. One of the reasons the city centre retail industry is in tatters and Meadowhall and crystal peaks thrive is because of the stupid prices to park. If these permits were here to help residents every household should get a permit free of charge upon proof of car ownership and legitimacy i.e tax m.o.t insurance and proof that the person actually lives at that address. I personally have somewhere else to park just a few minutes away from my normal space what is off road so I won't be paying charges either way. I don't think it's fair on everyone else and the affects it will have on local businesses and residents for example having to pay for a day permit for a removal van if moving house or getting things delivered. Thanks you penny pinching wastes of oxygen
Lundwood Close	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	I wouldn't have an option as I don't have a drive with our terraced house so I would have to pay which is grossly unfair
Tylney Road	No/object	I think it's ridiculous that people who live in park hill have to pay to park outside their houses it's criminal and disgusting. If Manchester City council can issue free parking permits why can't sheffield. Sheffield city council are a bunch of thieves wanting to profit off of the people in park hill. It's disgusting. I object to this. I hope everyone else does as well. As it hurts the working class people like me and other people in the area who don't have driveways. It's disgusting.
Tylney Road	No/object	Re the above statement of whether you would park in the area, this is not really an option but a fleeting statements for people that have the properties in the area, we want to live and park on our street without being harassed by the council.
Castleton Hope Valley	No/object	As I said before introducing these scheme only has a negative impact. Traffic calming measures and a 20 mph limit would help improve speed.
Norfolk Park	No/object	This is going to make visiting and caring for my elderly parents increasing difficult
Fitzwalter Road	No/object	This scheme will have a negative impact. The general consensus is that there aren't parking problems in this area, yes there are commuters along norfolk and adjacent roads but this has never affected our ability to park on our road. Occasionally the area becomes busy with cars when a football match is on but this is outside of the proposed hours anyway - and when that does happen we can still park close to our home. Many people won't be able to afford parking, it affects visitors and carers - e.g our parents who are pensioners do 2-3 days childcare a week and would add a financial weight for them, and with the designated bays there will actually be less room to park on the street, so parking may actually become a problem for us on this road. It may also result in some people choosing to convert their front gardens into parking spaces which isn't good for wildlife / is unsightly so less green footprint. People may move out of the area to avoid paying to park outside their own homes, it's a hassle, unaffordable for many, and isn't the aim to improve this area and make it more desirable? The issues WE DO have however in this area are speeding and break-ins (on Norfolk Road). Traffic calming measures and a 20 mph limit would help improve speed and cctv cameras on the quiet end of Norfolk Road.
City road	No/object	
Woodhouse	No/object	I have to pay to park to go to work, with already struggling to pay bills and petrol that have risen ridiculously.... now even more expenses to pay to actually go to work to earn money....
Sheffield	No/object	Would We continue to park if we had to pay...have we a choice or offer all our men wheelbarrows to carry their ladders around like generations before It's all about money in this non caring generation, perhaps soon we won't be able to afford the diesel anyway. The only people happy about this is those who gain the tax on everything...more goes to more springs to mind,
Shrewsbury Estate	No/object	
Fitzwalter Road	No/object	This proposal is an absolute outrage, yet another attempt for the council take money from those that actually need it. Those that live in affluent areas, that have private drives or are further out of town are not effected, yet the those that aren't in such privileges positions/areas are scrutinised for their respective predicaments. It's terrible!
Arbourthorne	No/object	
Mosborough	No/object	I feel that if public transport was reliable and frequent more people would use it. This is another way of taxing those people who are actually working & struggling to make ends meet as it is.
Fernbank Drive, Eckington	No/object	
Crookes	No/object	The charges appear largely unnecessary as the street is rarely full due to amount of crime in the area and damage to property. It will have a huge impact on nhs staff who cannot afford to pay these substantial charges to park each day. The streets are not safe for staff to walk along in the evenings or early mornings if they have to park elsewhere. It will put a huge demand on parking in other areas outside the zone. Also metered parking will give a clear indication to thieves how long the car will be left for making them even more of a target. I have strong objections to being made to pay substantial charges to park to go to work providing a public service.
Limb Lane, Dore,	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	A further comment is - What is this parking scheme? I thought it was to stop commuter and student parking in the area. On the card you've put through the doors it says it's Monday to Friday between 8.00 am and 6.30 pm, however on the plans on your website the how of the area is covered in Double Yellow Lines, so no parking anywhere ever!!! Shouldn't they be single yellow lines? Don't you know what you're doing? Obviously not? It's just a con to get money out of people who own their own homes in the area. So why the Double Yellow Lines everywhere. It'll end up a ghost district where people drive through, never stop and nobody visits it's residents.

		As an older single woman living on my own, I object to the introduction of permit holder/meter parking for the following reasons. I have friends living in areas where a similar scheme has been introduced and they report that it has made parking harder for both residents and visitors. Yellow lines reduce parking spots for everybody not just commuters. I rely on my car for work and socialising. I do not relish the thought of having to walk further on my own at night and would feel unsafe in the dark. My friends will have to pay to park outside my home when visiting me. Some of my neighbours are vulnerable and isolated and risk this isolation increasing due to parking charges. I rely on workmen for essential maintenance. They tell me they often decline jobs in areas with parking restrictions. Too much expense and hassle. I have never had a problem parking. I worked from home during the pandemic and still do this part of the time so tend to come and go during the day. What I do have a problem with I are motorists using Stafford Road as a shortcut which inevitably causes problems with the amount of traffic. One obvious solution to this would be to make the road a cul de sac which would have the added benefit of reducing commuter parking due to access and exit being less easy. The junction with Fitzwalter Road has long been a dangerous one as motorists tend to treat it as a continuation rather than a junction requiring the usual caution and observations. I believe the residents have campaigned long and hard for something to be done about this. I myself moved from Fitzwalter Road last year where my flat had a parking space. Please reconsider the proposal as I don't want to regret my decision.
Stafford Road	No/object	
blackwell close	No/object	I really think it is a bad time to be charging residents that live here for parking permits with energy prices on a rise, along with fuel and household costs and now we have to pay parking for where we live?? Why can you not just charge the people that come to visit or use spaces to get to work? I really think this stinks this idea and its only sucking out more money from residents pockets. Can't believe your going to charge residents to park where they live.
Ingram Road	No/object	I intend to join, create or participate in any action group who are opposed to the proposed scheme. I have already registered to attend the Sheffield East Local Area Committee public meeting 23/3/2022
Meadow Bank Ave	No/object	We are working for NHS and given the crime rate and attacks in the area fell strongly that parking should be provided close to where we work. It would hugely impact on staff if they needed to pay for parking and potentially put hard working NHS staff in danger. Please consider giving either parking permits to NHS or not charging the staff.
Skye Edge Road	No/object	This scheme is misleading saying it is for Park Hill, the scheme covers most of Norfolk Park and areas where people don't park to commute into the city centre. There is no guarantee by implementing this scheme that residents will be able to park, if anything it will make the situation worse as there will be less spaces overall. Targeting the wider Norfolk Park area is a clear money making scheme and will do very little to ease parking or congestion. Ludicrous!
Ingram Road	No/object	STOP CALLING ALL THE AREA PARKHILL WHEN YOURE ACTUALLY EXPECTING TO ISSUE PERMITS BEYOND PARK HILL
Hyde Park Walk, S25LX	No/object	
Holdings roads	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	I would have to continue to park in this area if I was unable to park on my drive as I have no alternative. I object to these changes because I do not feel they are necessary in this area and it feels like they would only penalise residents who live here; the only road I have observed having lots of cars parked on it is the bottom of Norfolk Road and the majority of these houses have drives on which residents can park their cars. Even on match days I do not notice that many cars are parked on the streets. It is difficult not to think that these proposals are solely a money-making scheme by the council as I am unable to see the benefit for the majority of residents.
Granville Road	No/object	Have to park outside my house where else can I go. To pay to park outside my own home is not fair. Paid for my house now pay to park outside. We have no problem with parking on our road. I park on holdings road as my house is corner house
Rotherham	No/object	
Bard Street	No/object	I live on Bard Street, and I understand that people do park in the car park to commute to the centre and this sometimes causes issues for residents to park. However, there are always spaces and I do not see why residents are now going to have to pay to park where they live. Permits should be issued to residents for free, we pay enough council tax (which is already increased yearly with many people not receiving pay rises to cover the difference) already and with the price of bills and fuel increasing immensely why are you proposing another financial outgoing for residents? Additionally, why is the price for a second vehicle double that of for the first vehicle? It is not unusual for a couple to have a car each. I'm sure the council will earn enough money from issuing fines and from people paying for parking to cover the costs of enforcement officers etc. this cost should not be put on residents of Park Hill.
Holdings Road	No/object	
Park hill holdings road	No/object	I see the issue with commuters as they tend to clog up streets with no concern but to get in to work and out without paying. This is a issue for some residents on some roads. It seems unfair though that us residents have to pay for their bad habits. Why not give residents one street permit FOC and then charge others. This seems to be a fairer option.
Ingram Road	No/object	As for question 26, I do in part support some control but not at the cost of residents as they are not causing the issue! I don't have a car myself, but visitors to my house (my brother and my friends) do. I do not want them to have to pay to visit me.
Donnington Road	No/object	We all believe it is essential that appropriate provision be made for the following to park conveniently: all medical & social services, e.g. paramedics, district nurses, social workers, etc.
City Road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	I strongly object to this proposed scheme. I am a full time carer and this scheme will be very negative for my family and the surrounding area.
Holdings road	No/object	
Fitzwalter Road	No/object	I feel that appropriate parking should be provided for the community resources like the Sheffield College, the Railway station, Town Centre as well as smaller projects like the community centres, library and post office so that people accessing these do not need to park on the residential roadside. Local greener alternatives to commuting by car need to really be encouraged to make park and ride, public transport, electric car charging parks, electric taxis all really efficient and affordable so as to decrease car usage rather than encourage it. I understand that where people are elderly, immobile or have young children etc they do need thier individual transport and need to be able to park near where they live. I do not want a street with extra street furniture of ticket machines and prohibitive notices. Currently my family do not generally have a problem parking nearby when they visit; having less bays and having to pay to visit parents and grandparents seems very unfair and currently unnecessary.
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	question 27 gives no option for residents on the streets affected who have no choice on where to park.
Park Grange Croft	No/object	I strongly appose the new parking scheme . if all the derelict garages were removed there would be ample parking for everyone
Holdings road	No/object	
Holdings road	No/object	

		I wouldn't be able to afford to pay for parking but I need to be able to drive to get back to macclesfield to look after my parents at a moments notice, so i cant afford to sell my car.
City Road	No/object	Incredibly disappointed by this proposed scheme.
Woodseats	No/object	I would get a permit if needed and I am not totally against it but thinking of a lot of residents, this is an additional expense when costs are rising. There are a mix of young professionals but also some lower earner residents for whom this could be too costly. The Town Edge Garage would struggle. Although they use up parking spaces, they do feel part of the community and it could have a big impact on them. We have been lucky however, and quite unique in the courtyard where we have controlled parking ourselves but introducing these measures elsewhere could force the problem onto us. It might also be safer for residents, preventing unknown visitors/vehicles (although I doubt the drug dealers care about double yellow lines and permit parking! I wondered if the increase in home working has eased the initial problems that some streets flagged up to trigger this consultation?
holdings road	No/object	I don't know why Holdings Road has been included in this parking scheme. It is not necessary to extend it this far. I object to having to pay to park outside my own house when there is not a problem. My elderly disabled mother lives with me now (she is 99)- we park outside the house and never have problems. I object to the scheme.
Shrewsbury Hospital (Almshouses)	No/object	If the scheme was introduced, we would have no choice but to pay. My wife is a blue badge holder, and we are concerned about accessibility of spaces for her. Shrewsbury Hospital only has access from one side, so a parking scheme might cause longer walks, reducing accessibility for us. Shrewsbury Hospital is home to many vulnerable adults with varied access needs, and we are concerned that the parking scheme will provide additional barriers to them for participating in life in the community. Furthermore, accessing permits online is a barrier to us - we have had assistance at Park Library to use this online feedback form, as we could not access online services ourselves.
Manor Oaks Gardens	No/object	
City Rd	No/object	I object to the extension of the proposed restriction times, not needed. I observe City Rd traffic and there is no obvious increase in volume of traffic from what it is now. So why change the times? It is proposed to have double yellows on both sides of Stafford Rd which is where I have to park my car because of the restrictions on City Rd so as an older resident I am not sure whether I will be able to park close to my home. I would like to see some spaces on one side which currently works perfectly well. I also object to paying for a permit when I wont be able to park near my home.
City Rd	No/object	
woodseats	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	It's fine to charge non-residents but residents of these streets should NOT be forced to pay for a permit to park on their own street. I would suggest that each house in the zone gets no more than 2 parking permits free (one for each adult spouse/partner) and anyone else has to pay for a permit or metered parking. Absolutely shocking to consider having to pay to park outside my own property. You could even make the permit only valid for the street you live on, but I cannot fathom having to pay to park at my own house.
Beech Hill NHS Rehabilitation Cent	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	Scrap this scheme please.
Norfolk Road,, Norfolk Road	No/object	I would have to park in Park Hill as I live there I object to having to pay to park in front of my own house. The new scheme restricts the number of parking spaces available as there would be double yellow lines preventing us from parking in front of our own house.
Norfolk Road, Norfolk Road	No/object	We have complained before about the number of cars parking on Norfolk Road and the difficulties of driving down the road during the working day, when there is only one car width - so some solution would be very welcome. However, allowing non-residents to pay for all-day parking would probably not deter anyone and therefore not change the situation. It would be better to have maybe a 3-hour limit or much better as in other parts of the city some parking areas designated for permit holders only. It seems contradictory to get residents (if this is meant to be helpful to us) to pay for a permit and not guarantee a spot. I would be happy to pay for a permit if this were the case. We have a pull-in in front of our house, which people do not usually block so we can use the pull-in and allow a visitor to park on the road in front of that. Were there to be yellow lines across our pull-in, this would prevent us parking there or allowing visitors to do so - therefore counter-productive - making the situation even worse. In addition, the installation of pay and display machines, double yellow lines and (to some extent) parking signs would visually pollute our conservation area,
seabrook Road, seabrook Road, se	No/object	Included in the area Manor Lane outside Manor Lodge school should be included. There needs to be double yellow outside the school to prevent parking. People park on the path , reducing the amount of space people have to walk up and down. Manor Lane has turned into a 1 lane road. The road is becoming very congested and dangerous
Norfolk Park Road	No/object	Staff have raised serious concerns about not being able to afford to pay for parking and that this will strongly influence their choice to work at SPARC. We already have challenges with staff recruitment and retention here and ability to park close to the unit is a major factor for staff working here. Some of our staff are on low income and the cost of parking would reduce their daily pay The NHS is facing unprecedented challenges with staff leaving the profession so inability to park, feeling unsafe commuting further or extra costs is just another blow to staff morale and feeling valued and will create a risk to our service of not being able to staff it adequately
Norfolk Park	No/object	I would have to keep parking and end up paying because I live there!!! I work from home most days so my car is outside my house. There is zero problem with people parking here and walking into town - this is just a money making exercise from the council

		resources on what would be a catastrophe for the area. Reasons as follows...
		<p>1. Whilst I understand a few roads in the area do have a parking issue (Norfolk Rd being one), looking at the area suggested for this scheme, the majority of the roads in the Norfolk Park area do not have parking issues. I hope the level of objection to these plans from other residents will demonstrate this. I would question what reasons the proposed parking zone includes roads past Glencoe Rd, let alone up to St Aidan's Rd? I worry it is partly due to what minimum coverage would be needed to make this scheme financially viable for the council? This scheme should not be implemented at the expense of the majority of residents to satisfy the needs of a few residents nor for the purpose of adding an additional income stream for the council.</p> <p>2. It would unfairly tax residents for parking on their own street, targeting one of Sheffield's less affluent areas. If we were to demographically compare the S2 area with for example S10 which has several parking permit zones in place, the average household in S2 earns around half of those in S10 (£27,560 vs £52,520) and unemployment rates are more than x3 higher (10.5% vs 3.3%). The S2 area also performs significantly worse in these figures compared to S7 and S11 where parking permit zones have also been implemented. Quite simply the average household in this area does not have the disposable income that these other areas do and would be hit much harder were this proposal to go ahead. And this would be on top of the cost of living crisis we're all struggling with and will likely continue to under this Conservative government. (demographic data source - https://www.postcodearea.co.uk/postaltowns/sheffield/s2/)</p> <p>3. It will damage the existing local businesses, discouraging customers from visiting the area and adding further costs to the business with the need for permits, and this being after the awful impact that Covid has had. It will also discourage further businesses from wanting to invest in the area, something that is very much needed.</p> <p>4. It will reduce the total parking spaces available causing further issues. This is not a simple case of permits means residents are guaranteed a parking space outside their own home, the restrictions suggested in this proposal will have knock on effects which I believe will worsen the parking situation. Having lived on City Road in the past, most of the residents with cars have to park on side roads (e.g. Fitzwalter Rd, Essex Rd) to avoid the restricted parking times as it's an urban clearway. There will simply be no space for everyone if bays are added. Having more recently moved to Ingram Road I can tell you there is no problem with parking here, even on football match days. Unfortunately, the worry of this proposal has already led to my next door neighbours moving to another neighbourhood. Residents with driveways are currently able to park in front of their drive if they have more than one car, if this parking scheme were to go ahead that would no longer be an option for them due to the addition of yellow lines in front of their driveway.</p>
Ingram Road	No/object	
glencoe road	No/object	I don't think it is fair as there are no shops or local needs that require this service- it's seems to be cashing in on the fact that the builders parked nearby when doing up park hill flats - this was a temporary problem and the problem does not exist now. I have never had problems parking my 2 cars on the road.
Tylney road	No/object	
Holdings Rd	No/object	
Fitzwalter road	No/object	
Manor oaks gardens	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	27 is a leading question. I live here so of course I would be forced to pay against my will.
Park Hill	No/object	
Nether edge	No/object	
St. Aidan's Road	No/object	For a start I don't live on Parkhill. I live in Norfolk Park. Don't want to have pay for people visiting my home. Speeding motorists are a problem. I have never had any problems parking outside my home.
Park Grange Croft	No/object	I understand the need for a parking scheme but worry about what it will mean for residents. We already have permit based parking and there is currently no information provided as to whether we would have to pay for new permits under this scheme. If we did I would object strongly to the scheme.
Donnington Road S2	No/object	Thanks his is madness and something we strongly object to as residential residents where there are no issues The issues will become apparent r if you go ahead with the calming proposal on Donnington Road Holdings Road
Ingram Road	No/object	
Donnington Road	No/object	The idea of traffic calming in a residential area where there is no traffic issues is ridiculous To suggest spreading the measures all the way across Granville Road will stop people parking further up the area has no logic. If this is the mindset then where will you stop ?? Manor Top and beyond??
Glencoe road	No/object	I have friends and family that come in. Visit that they will no longer be able to come to my house because they will be afraid they will get a fine .The parking it used to be free and it was good ! now there is just another thing to pay for
Holdings Road	No/object	
Castle Croft Drive	No/object	The scheme doesn't benefit me because the restrictions are only at certain times of the day when I am at work.
Granville Road	No/object	This scheme will only be worth implementing if it applies to match days too. This really disrupts the road we live on.
Park Hill flats	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
S2 2UD	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	I would have to continue to park in Park Hill, however due to our house not having a drive, we would have to compete with other residents/visitors/commuters/carers etc for on street parking spaces. At the moment, while occasionally spaces are rare, people are friendly about it and you rarely have to walk more than a couple of minutes to/from your car. With fewer spaces available in the general area, this could change. Rising rent and energy prices don't make it particularly easy at the moment, and having to pay for parking without a guaranteed space makes it even worse.
talbot Place,	No/object	
Blackwell court	No/object	I should not have to pay to park outside my own house when I live there and pay my rent.
Tylney Road	No/object	we don't want this scheme, you are taking away the only spaces available to us, we don't have a drive and cannot afford this extra cost. I know what you are saying about displacement of parking but you haven't even tried. There are some areas closer to town that do suffer with commuters but I don't see them travelling this far up. You have to try first and see, not just presume. We are a sizeable walk to town and I do not see people parking this far. I feel like you just want to make money off us which at this time is irresponsible.

Seabrook Road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	I don't think residents should have to pay only commuters.
MANOR OAKS CLOSE	No/object	
MANOR OAKS CLOSE	No/object	
Cecil Road	No/object	Not able to afford paying for 13 hours over 3 or 4 days a week... might as well not work...especially when cars are vandalised on road aswell
Donnington Road	No/object	My husband & I are both disabled, and need parking space outside our house.
Granville road	No/object	
Hyde Park Walk	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	Weekday parking means i am unable to use my car during periods of peak rail station/town centre uses for free parking due to its proximity to both uses.Other residents without off street spaces..to find on street parking (my property has retained its front garden and is one of only a couple without off street car parking).the proposal across the front ofxx Norfolk Road is far reduced on its current length between the existing disabled on-street parking bay for property no xx and the H-bar driveway marking at property number xx which has adequately protected the associated turning vehicle movements for as long as it has been installed.there is no purpose with the current proposal and an objection is raised unless satisfactory revised nil-detriment on-street arrangements with the double yellow line restriction to commence at a distance consistent with the extent of existing white H bar road markings across thexx frontage.thanks..
Silverdale rd	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	The proposed parking scheme does nothing to alleviate the problem of parking on both sides of Norfolk Road. Therefore, the scheme fails to address the safe access of vehicles onto Norfolk Road from private driveways, or the speed of vehicles along Norfolk Road. The parking bay outside combined with the parking bay on the other side of the road will continue to prevent vehicles safely turning right out of our shared driveway onto Norfolk Road. Norfolk Road is a historic conservation area that will be spoilt by parking meters and associated signage. Some parking restrictions are necessary to improve safety on Norfolk Road. However, I am opposed to a paid for parking scheme that further prevents access to the City centre, the local parks and amphitheater. I believe this parking scheme will add to the damage done to the economic prosperity of the City centre and particularly the retail sector by the Council's excessive parking charges. These type of parking charges only push people to shop at Meadowhall rather than the City centre. (I write as someone who is privileged to live close to the City Centre, has little need of street parking, and is not involved in retail.)
Manor Lane	No/object	It is a really poor idea. The council should be finding ways to encourage public transport or active travel, not penalising people to pay to park at their own homes. I'm also concerned people may park on Manor Lane which is just outside the boundary. There is already congestion due to the school, the extra cars would make it more dangerous.
Tylney Road Sheffield S2 2RX	No/object	
Manor Oaks Drive	No/object	It is not clear whether this will include private spaces? If it does then I would completely disagree with the proposals. It really doesn't seem to me like there is an issue with parking here that has ever caused me any problems.
Ingram Road	No/object	
Alney Place	No/object	
Upper Wortley Road	No/object	
Bishops Walk, Kiveton Park, Kiveton Park	No/object	If you pay for parking permit you expect to be guaranteed a parking space. Who in their right mind would pay to park if there were no spaces ?
Skye Edge Road	No/object	Would have been nice if we had more information about this posted through our door to inform us of this. The little a4 notices tied to lamposts are very difficult to read and when i have spoke to m neighbours. No one knew this was happening.
City Road	No/object	Please do not apply the scheme on the street at the back of my house (Stafford road). We have been using the on street parking there without any problem so far. If things are good and no problem at all, please do not try to fix it at additional costs. I think the problems are only with the streets near the train station (i.e. Norfolk park road), you should only apply the scheme there if the residents there support it. I don't think you should apply a blanket scheme like the current one. Thus I oppose the scheme. Thank you for listening.
Park Hill Gardens, Swallownest	No/object	The cost of living is rocketing, fuel costs are extortionate and the added costs of parking fees would seriously raise the question of whether it is feasible to continue in my current role at that place of work.
Barnsley	No/object	Your FAQ document says that we have to pay for permits because we are benefitting from the proposed parking scheme.....that nobody has asked for. The revenue from the scheme is to fund the running of the scheme that nobody wants. Is it the council's policy to now charge its residents for absolutely everything? What's next, oxygen tax? Any chance this can be ditched, along with the chief executive who as you know is on leave on full pay?
Stafford Road	No/object	I strongly object to this scheme. Can we please explore ways of creating off road parking for residents who don't have any before implementing this heavy handed approach? For properties within the proposed boundary that have no driveway, parking permits would have a negative effect on their value. How will this be addressed? There is no mention of cost. This comes at an incredibly bad time considering the cost of living crisis we are all going through. I also think this is a scenario that offers no way back once implemented. How are we to safeguard permit prices or how they are distributed once we take this route?
Holdings Road	No/object	I would have to pay as I am a resident.
Convamore Rd, Grimsby	No/object	
St Aidans Road	No/object	I am 85 years old and rely on family and friends to visit me and have regular visitors on weekdays and weekends and would strongly object to them having to pay to park in order to visit me. I would also be interested to find out which roads in particular you consider are currently affected by commuters and whether you would be banning parking on them or just charging them to park there. They have to park somewhere and if it is just a charge you are making them pay then they may still park there and cause the same problem, but the residents will also have to pay and still not be able to park outside their property! Also, other residents in other areas not affected like me will also then have to pay for a permit when there is no issue regarding parking!

Holdings Road	No/object	Do no introduce this scheme. Parking in this area is an absolute non-issue. Find another way to raise your funds.
S2	No/object	
Manor	No/object	
Ingram Road	No/object	
Stepney Street	No/object	
fitzwalter road	No/object	
Hyde Tameside	No/object	I think it is wrong to charge local residents and there visitors to pay to park on their road where they live, this is just another tax when the cost of living is already high. By making this a permit parking area we may not be able to visitor our relative as much and my not be able to pop in and see her. If you need to make the area permit parking so commutes don't park around there, then issue free parking permits for residents and there visitors. Anybody else whom parks in the area make them pay per hour.
City Road	No/object	If the charges are bought into place, people that cannot park further own city road are likely to park further up by my home residence (564) that is just outside of the new permit scheme area, making parking even worse than it currently is
Sussex Road	No/object	
Richmond	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
Stephen race Hyde Park walk	No/object	
skye edge avenue, Skye edge	No/object	
skye edge	No/object	i think this is just a money making con by the local council. and its a total disgrace.
Bard Street	No/object	Absolutely ridiculous notion. All that will happen is that you will drive further economy out of the city centre when it is already on its knees due to absurdly high rents and pressures due to covid. You are simply trying to make more money. If this was truly due to resident parking, you would issue residents with permits
Holdings Road,	No/object	This is unnecessary, the cost of living is going up. And all the council does is find new ways to get money out of alot of struggling people, this is disgusting. If its not broken don't fix it! We don't have parking issues on holdings road, Essex or Donnington Road.
Pearson Place	No/object	The provision for parking in the centre of Sheffield is inadequate and what is available is privately run and expensive, and such I park further away form the centre of town and walk into the centre. I would prefer to use public transport however the timetable for busses is prohibitive and the traffic is such that my supposed 45 minuet travel time is often 1hour 30mins with a young family my ability to return home promptly is required therefore I am forced to use a car against my preference. Combined with the need to return people to the city centre for the local economy adding cost and time to the commuter especially at a time with increased cost everywhere else this proposed permitting is uncalled-for and not needed.
Hyde park walk	No/object	I don't agree with the parking scheme as I don't see why I should have to pay for parking outside my own home when we have no problem with parking anyway ?
Skye edge avenue	No/object	
City Road	No/object	I live on City Road, we have just spent £4,000 renovating the front of our property to allow a car to park on the drive. However, under the plans, our friends and family would have to pay to park outside our house to visit. This is outrageous. Furthermore, under the current plan, the road outside 33 City Road would have a parking place subject to a parking charge except for permit holders, Monday - Friday, 8am - 6:30pm. So someone could legally park outside my house, blocking my driveway!
Bard Street	No/object	This scheme is a poor attempt at fixing an invented problem in order to increase revenue and we see through it.
Stannington	No/object	Make more spaces - don't monetise the few we have left
Norfolk Park Road	No/object	
S2 2sj	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
skye edge avenue	No/object	
Gleadless Valley	No/object	The cost mentioned is very high per hour and will stop our daytime Community Centre users from using the centre in the future therefore unable to access community facilities/activities/groups. Perhaps you could have a reduced 1 to 2hour cost but keep the full day time cost high to prevent commuters into the city centre using the parking spaces.
Crown Place	No/object	I absolutely and most strongly reject any form off restricted parking in my cul-de sac I live at 15 crow place, Sheffield S25QE I and my wife and family are quiet happy to leave parking as it stands today. before you make any decision I would like a vote on what happens in my name.
Skye Edge Avenue	No/object	
Donnington Road	No/object	I do not understand why we need to have a controlled parking scheme, we are not classed as Park Hill do not know why we are part of this. What I find hard to accept is that we already pay road tax which allows us to park on the road so why would it be considered to be fair and right to have to pay once again to park on the road we live on. The issues on this road are around it being used as a cut through to Granville road and we are subjected to cars racing up and down this road having no consideration for the safety of residents living on here, this is more of a problem.
Belmonte Gardens	No/object	Q27 is invidious. Residents would have no choice, but a Yes answer could be taken as approval for the scheme. I am therefore reluctant to answer it. Making it impossible to move on without an answer is unhelpful.
Rotherham	No/object	
Elm Avenue, Poulton-le-Fylde, Bla	No/object	family live at Duke Street, Sheffield, S25QL who also object to the introduction of new parking restrictions. I'm more than happy to be kept in touch regarding this issue. Thank you for your attention.
Tylney Road	No/object	

		This is the same response on question 9 Would like to say, that we disagree with this scheme has my mum has Dementia and there are frequent visits by family and carers to my mums address for her well being etc. We feel this scheme is untenable and would impact on my mums health. also think this is just a money making scheme on your part. Mum has lived here for over 30 years and this just asking my mum to pay for someone to park on her road this absolutely unforgivable.
Holdings Road	No/object	
Farm Bank Road	No/object	
Stafford Rd	No/object	I do not support the parking scheme. It is completely unnecessary & unfair to residents & visitors. There is no issue parking at present! Do not introduce this. It is wrong.
Blackwell Close	No/object	
Farm Bank Road	No/object	
Shrewsbury Hospital	No/object	Does your proposed scheme have a financial motive? The soon to be implemented CAZ for the centre of Sheffield will I imagine reduce the demand for and therefore revenue from parking in the centre. By extending the charging area under your Parkhill and other schemes do you hope to recoup that lost revenue? Is this why your proposed parking scheme, has not considered a solely residents only parking scheme? A residents parking only scheme (with permission badges for visitors), a low cost and simple solution adopted by other authorities to combat city centre users clogging up neighbouring residential areas. The CAZ scheme will undoubtedly exacerbate the parking situation in the area immediately above the railway station and extend non-residential parkers into other areas further up City Road and Granville Road. Does this explain why the Parkhill scheme includes areas which at present don't have a particular parking problem?
Leadmill Point	No/object	
samson street	No/object	samson works samson house samson street s2 5qs
Samson Street (work base)	No/object	
Park Hill Flats	No/object	
Holdings Road	No/object	
blagden street	No/object	
Sheffield	No/object	
blagden street	No/object	
Dalmore Road, Carter Knowle	No/object	I can't see anyone on Holdings Rd agreeing to this...My mum is 86 and she is very upset about these proposals. I do my bit to be 'green' I recycle and have a 100% electric vehicle. My mum doesn't have a car but has four children who visit her regularly. She doesn't want them being inconvenienced! She also doesn't mind people parking outside her house.
Tylney Road	No/object	I think this proposal is disgusting, why should residents like myself who have lived here for over 20 years have to pay to park in front of their own houses. It's criminal. I OBJECT. The people who propose this wouldn't like having a dirty parking meter in front of their house. Most people have more than 2 cars in their household, what do we do with that??? £90 for a second permit that will probably go up is criminal and I hope sheffield city council crumbled if this happens. First energy bills council tax it's embarrassing. You bunch of money grabbers.
City Road	No/object	
Manor Oaks Drive	No/object	The area I live in has never had any issues with parking as everyone has a two car drive. The only money that would be made from the meters would be family and friends of residents. That would not be a significant amount of money and likely would take multiple years to recoup the costs of inputting the infrastructure necessary to set up the scheme. This is without taking into account the resources necessary to police the area. As for the surrounding areas such as City Road and Norfolk Park Road ect, this does nothing to solve the issue of residents being able to park. To present an example, if person A parks on City Road and pays for parking. When Person B, a resident who has paid for their permit comes home from work later that day the parking space is still being used. As for the clean air zone increasing the amount of cars being parked in these areas due to people not wanting to drive into the city centre. Private vehicles are exempt from the clean air charge so this is a moot point. Research into the demographic of the residents and people who work in the local businesses. You will find that this is a historically deprived area with a lack of opportunity. People who are already going to be massively impacted by the imminent rise in fuel prices. So introducing paid parking and parking permits would only contribute to the current cost of living crisis. To present alternative solutions. You could subsidise the cost of the private parking already available in Sheffield City Centre as its knowingly under utilised. You could look into the areas where people drive into the City Centre and introduce park and ride in these areas. Alongside this you could improve the current public transport such as extending the tram and increasing bus services.
Holdings Road	No/object	The real reasons behind this guise of limited parking is to extort money from residents and our visitors to line SCCs pockets, the cost of living is going through the roof and charging people to park outside their own homes is an absolute joke. I don't know of anyone, residents or visitors, that can actually see the benefit of this proposal?! Shame on you SCC if this goes ahead.
holdings road	No/object	
Park Hill	No/object	Please stop saying that this is wanted by the residents, there is nothing to be gained by us, this is obviously pushed by certain interests and not by those living and/or working here. You are trying to frame this as a solution to an imaginary "issue", no change is needed, leave everything as it is - free for everyone to use. Also the questions jumped from 9. to 26. I felt like you don't want me to answer the questions, since I am against the "scheme".
DUKE STREET	No/object	We live in a house share where 3 out of 4 of us commute to work by car. There is no alternative to travel via public transport to our work places so we require room to park. Age 21-30 year olds are already alienated due to high property prices, meaning, we cannot afford to live in our own houses and have to share a property through renting because of the current economical climate. I feel the parking scheme is another way of extraditing young professionals and forcing us further and further away from cities. The current scheme only allows for 2 car park spaces due to the 'lack' of spaces available. However without per determined spaces, you can allow many more cars to park in the area. I know there are many shared houses with commuting young professionals in this area. In already financially stressful times are you really going to force us to choose who gets to commute to work and who has to move out?
blagden street	No/object	WE HAVE 2 GARGES ON OUR ROAD AN EVEN WITH THE CARS THEY HAVE WE DONT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH PARKING AND THIS HAS BEEN THE CASE FOR MANY MANY YEARS I THINK THIS IS A VERY BAD IDEA AN WILL ADD TO ANY PROBLEMS AND NOT SOLVE THEM.

City Road	No/object	it would put family and friends off visiting us if they had to pay to park. council need to make parking in the city centre cheaper as many other cities do. then that would get rid of the street parking issue that council are creating just another way of council making money unfortunately.
South Street, Park Hill	No/object	<p>Our parking problems around Park Hill are in relation to free on-street availability during working hours (9am - 5pm). Our staff cannot afford to pay for private parking due to the unaffordable tariffs in place, nor can the small business we run afford the very limited on-site parking for staff who commute.</p> <p>Our business is based at Park Hill and as this becomes more populated, we believe residents and business employees around the site and connected roads should be prioritised and not penalised with further costs. Attention also needs to be paid towards future of Park Hill flats. The proposed zoning plans will negatively impact the area - making it harder to live, work and visit the flats that will hopefully become a destination for the people of Sheffield. Urban Splash + Sheffield Council have a vested interest in this area and shouldn't be adding further charges to residents who cannot afford permits via zoning. Two further sections of the Park Hill flats are still pre-development and accounting for future people and vehicles needs careful consideration, nearer the time. These zoning plans are in our opinion two or three years too early.</p> <p>I would also like to add that many workers, residents and visitors rely on their cars as a means of supporting their careers and their families, and adding further costs and stresses at such a difficult time for many people already battling rising council tax, interest rates, fuel costs, and general living costs would be unreasonable.</p> <p>I would support a scheme that allows for residents and businesses to park in close proximity to Park Hill for free. Heavily restricting on-street parking during day hours would be short-sighted and damaging for local businesses, local residents, community feeling and city centre footfall. Charges for access and visitors in the future needs careful consideration, but only once Park Hill is fully occupied.</p>
Crookes	No/object	<p>I can currently park on Duke street for free between 9:30am and 4:30pm.</p> <p>I cannot afford private parking due to the high tariffs and availability at Park Hill, and the even higher tariffs in the City Centre.</p> <p>Local bus services are unreliable and unaffordable.</p> <p>In my opinion, adding further parking costs at such a difficult time (rising council tax, fuel and energy costs etc) would be unreasonable.</p> <p>If further costs are introduced, I like many others, will need to return to working from home. Or have to find work outside the City. This is damaging to businesses in the City Centre, lowering an already low footfall. I try to spend my lunch in local cafe's and businesses in the city, and support like this would end.</p>
Cloonmoore Drive	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	<p>There is no daytime parking issue in this area. There is always available parking in the daytime and has been the case for the 5 years I have lived here. There is an issue with parking in the evening after 7.30pm when residents return home from work but this proposal will not address this and I would not describe this as a serious issue. This is because several residents have more than 1 car.</p> <p>The proposal is disproportionate to the problem and risks creating issues and tensions between residents which do not now exist. It's a completely misguided proposal. It's also another added cost in times of economic hardship.</p>
glencoe road	No/object	
Hillsbrough	No/object	
Howard Street	No/object	
Colwick Way	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
The Pavement, Duke Street	No/object	
Chesterfield	No/object	
Walkley	No/object	
robinson road	No/object	
Park Grange Drive	No/object	
Hunters Bar	No/object	
Duke Street	No/object	I live at Crown Place, I pay my council tax, I pay my road tax, I pay car insurance why do I have to pay fir my car outside my house? Plus I am disabled with a blue badge I live at Park Hill if I left my badge in my car overnight my car would have its windows smashed. I strongly object to the scheme I know it's a money making effort for the Council but I cannot afford this surely we cannot afford this especially with Gas, Electricity, Council Tax and water bills.
Eyre Crescent	No/object	There are not enough spaces as it is, this scheme will not deter commuters from parking as it is still a convenient location and the alternative city centre car parks are absolutely extortionate! This scheme will massively reduce the amount of spaces and make it a nightmare for residents and employees of local businesses to be able to park near their home/workplace.
Aldam Croft	No/object	
Leadbeater Road, Gleadless	No/object	
Totley	No/object	
NG11 8NY	No/object	
Derbyshire Dale's	No/object	It's not fair on residents or visitors
Heeley	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	
Farnborough, Hampshire	No/object	This is unfair revenue raising. Objectionable

Wilmslow	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	This scheme covers 65 roads and is not needed in the size
duke street	No/object	I will sell the properties that I own and leave Sheffield as did two of my friends when you (dispite objections) put a similar scheme in the Lancing Road area. There is NO NEED for parking restrictions in this area. It is just another MONEY MAKING SCAM from the s****y Council.
Glencoe Road	No/object	I have to park in park hill. If this became a paid situation it is essentially akin to the council just specifically upping my council tax again. This is incredibly hard to hear in this time of rising cost of living and lower financial power. Please do not do this. There are no parking problems. My address is 29 Glencoe Road, and I am telling you this plan creates more problems than it solves. And that I do not believe it has the interests of this local community at its heart.
Langdon street	No/object	
Norton Lees	No/object	As stated previously. This will simply move any potential parking problem elsewhere - the council should look at alternatives to provide more access to parking for residents, the nearby student community, & for commuters, there is plenty of unused space in and around town that could be repurposed for this use.
Abbeydale Road	No/object	I have friends and family that I visit in the area and I've rarely had any issues parking. The public transport links from where I live to the area are very poor and expensive, so driving is the only real option. I'm obviously frustrated that as a result of these proposed changes I'll incur additional costs as a visitor, however far worse than that, the cost of a residence permit seems massively disproportionate per individual compared to potential administrative costs and it appears to me to be based on nothing more than profiteering on your part, which really is disgusting given the current cost of living crisis. This is an additional financial burden you're placing on these residents, who may already be struggling, for something that isn't that much of an issue.
Duke Street	No/object	As a resident of Duke Street, Park Hill, I would like to formally objectify to the new parking scheme that will come into effect soon. I have friends and family who visit regularly and as a single resident on a relatively low income, with the rising costs of living, I can't envisage another cost on top, just so that people can visit, or when I eventually can afford to buy my own car - another initial cost.
Hartland Avenue, Sothall	No/object	
Bard Street	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	I do support the scheme, but not in this form. I don't see why there need be double yellows over drives? there doesn't appear to be enough spaces? There's only one in front of our house and we have one car and my partners Amey works van.
Park Hill	No/object	
St Aidans rd	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	Residents who have lived in the area for many many years should not have to pay at meters or for parking permits to park outside their own homes, it is another money making scheme from the council many areas are controlled zones now in sheffield and its making it very difficult for people to park making it very frustrating
robinson road	No/object	
Skye edge	No/object	
Duke Street	No/object	
Dovercourt Road	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	The above is a required response but it doesn't make sense. I do not park in Park Hill. I park on my drive - which has not been an option. I would continue to park on my drive.
City rd trading estate	No/object	Concerned will have to pay to visit local post office and library and convenience store and this is a poor area with with an elderly population and low income jobs . Will local shops lose passing trade and close ? What safeguards are they that permits and prices won't increase each year as have in other areas. Will carers on duty have to pay and workmen ?
City Road	No/object	
City Road	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	By introducing double yellow lines on our local roads you are making it more difficult for residents to park. When this was first raised the idea was to stop commuters parking, not make it more difficult for residents. Generally this is a poorer area of Sheffield and a lot of residents will struggle to pay for the passes they will need to buy.
Tylney Road	No/object	As there has been no issue with parking in the past, I would suggest this is a money grab from the council...what legitimate reason would they have that would improve my experience as a resident???
Tylney Road	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	Complete money grab by the council.
Tylney street	No/object	With all the other increases thus would add to the burden. Not a good time to introduce these measures.
Tylney road	No/object	
Park Grange Croft	No/object	
Holdings Road, Sheffield	No/object	
Norfolk Road	No/object	
robinson road	No/object	

Donnington Road	No/object	This should be renamed Park Hill and Norfolk Park as it is very misleading. People will think they are competing a survey about parking at the Park Hill flats and don't realise how big the area is and contains about 65 Streets.
Ingram road	No/object	I Strongly object to the parking scheme.
Walkley	No/object	Adding pay and display to the parking in this area will deter people from visiting the area. If it was permit holders only friend and family could still visit without there being a cost associated (using permits from those they are visiting) A more targeted approach which identifies the specific issues on various roads and looks to solve these would be far better than a blanket approach of controlled parking, as this may not solve the various specific problems across this wide area. One size fits all is definitely not the right answer.
City rd	No/object	
Norfolk park road	No/object	I would have to pay if my private car park was full. I shouldn't have to pay to park my car outside my own property
St. Aidans Road	No/object	Believe this scheme has not been thought out properly and have still not received official notification of the scheme. I believe this is unacceptable as quite a few of our neighbors had no idea this scheme was being considered. It's a disgrace.
Park hill	No/object	Please don't implement the scheme. It's not fair on us residents!
Granville road	No/object	I'm totally against the scheme. I don't want my friends or family paying to come and visit me
Glencoe Road	No/object	I would struggle very much financially if the parking charges were introduced as the cost of living and fuel is already so high
Warley road	No/object	
City road	No/object	
Manor Park	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	I should not pay to park outside my own house. It's not fair for homeowners to pay outside there own home.
city road	No/object	I do NOT allow SCC to devalue my home with the new purposed permit scheme.
Granville road	No/object	
Granville road	No/object	
city road	No/object	
Littledale	No/object	Do not agree that people have to pay to park outside their own houses. Aswell as visitors or trades people. This is diabolical.
Granville Road	No/object	
Park hill	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	The scheme could result in the Trust which has run the church building for over 20 years losing tenants and users which would impact on the revenue meaning it would no longer be a going concern and would have to fold. This would significantly impact on the health and well-being of many people who make use of the building for activities and services. For some groups who pay a more commercial rental like slimming world, paid parking would reduce the numbers of people attending our site as they could have the same cost elsewhere which would make these groups unviable to run and would potentially lose further commercial revenue. For many who attend the specialist support groups and day care services, this scheme would impact on them greatly as a number are disabled and require parking nearby. Should they be unable to park; not only from being unable to afford it, but due to the reduction in parking areas, this would have a significant impact on there health, mental health, and increase the risk of them becoming lonely and isolated.
St aidens	No/object	
Beeches Drive	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	People cannot afford to pay to park outside their own home
Norfolk Park	No/object	
Manor Castle	No/object	unfairly taxes residents for parking in their own street - restricts residents and/or their guests from parking across their own driveways - reduces the amount of parking currently available (with fewer parking bays than houses) - damages local businesses (due to no or pricey parking for customers) - makes residents' visitors, carers & tradespeople pay for parking - makes our residential streets look like a carpark (with double yellow lines, pay-and-display meters, and street signs everywhere) - wastes taxpayers' money - is likely to lower property values
Stafford road	No/object	We do not want this! Stop this now!
Beighton	No/object	I have no choice as I have to take my son to his day centre and pick him up later
Eyre Crescent	No/object	This is a ridiculous idea, and from what I've seen there is very little support for this. Cancel it and stop wasting our time and money.
Blackwell Place	No/object	I am in favour of any measures which reduce car use and encourage public transport use, which this scheme may do, and I am conscious that it has been proposed to address the parking problems which some residents of Norfolk Park may have. My concern with the scheme relates to charging for and restricting visitors parking. There is a high percentage of elderly residents in Blackwell Place, Court and Close in purpose built or accessibly retirement flats, many of whom rely on daily visits from family and/or carers. A number of my neighbours also rely daily on family for childcare. Restricting and charging for visitors parking permits, and then requiring pay and display on subsequent visits, may prevent or impede this help, as the resident or family has to pay for the care, or carers (and family) may squeeze their visit into under 20 minutes to park for free, also restricting care. I appreciate the council may have measures in place to deal with these circumstances, such as carers permits, however this does not cover family and trying to apply for exceptions or extensions to the number of visitors parking permits is unnecessary hassle, and possibly unaffordable, for the residents and their family.

		<p>I have a number of objections to the proposal put forward in this scheme and how it has been communicated which will impact its introduction and has led to a lack of awareness and understanding of its impact upon people and the wider community. I understand why this proposal has been brought forward by the council but it is ill conceived. I have lived in two different regions outside of Sheffield which have had parking schemes and I am not against them in general but this scheme is full of contradictory and objectional proposals.</p> <p>The charging of residents for a permit they require, charging a resident for a visitor permit to a resident and charging people who visit the area to access the city is unlikely to reduce the amount of parking in the area when the price of the parking is less than facilities offered in town. All this will do is charge a resident for the pleasure of the ongoing status quo. I have lived in an area where a resident parking permit was free for one vehicle to a household and where there was an annual payment at a considerably cheaper cost than that proposed. This had an impact as the area was resident parking only. The proposal will not deter many visitors who use the area for access to work and the city centre as it is cheaper than alternatives in public transport and parking. This scheme will just cost residents more money at a time when the cost of living is already having major consequences but not make any impact on their ability to park.</p> <p>It will impact residents who rely on carers who visit to support with childcare but also with elderly residents who rely upon support that is provided by family and friends. The communication of the need and cost for a visitor's permit has been poorly communicated and left many who do not have a car but rely on visitors to support them unaware of the impact of the scheme upon receiving the support they need. For this reason the communication of the proposal needs to be completed again as you have not provided people with the relevant information to make an informed decision on actions that will impact their wellbeing.</p> <p>The proposal shows little foresight to the need to move electric cars and the changes that are needed within infrastructure to support that move. There are options and changes that could be made to support this change by making certain bays electric charging points and only allow such cars to park in that area. This could be a source of income for the council.</p>
Blackwell Place	No/object	The scheme will impact local businesses and services including the GP's in the area.
farm bank road	No/object	you will be forcing the problem into further areas and adding additional pressure to people who are already struggling. find the money elsewhere to line your pockets.
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	I believe the proposed measures for Norfolk Park are for traffic calming or something similar not a money-making scheme of pay to park which encourages further vehicle activity on the streets which is totally a polar opposite as to what the resident expectations are which is residents' safety and wellbeing.
Seabrook Road	No/object	I need the car to commute to work at times as a health care professional. We have relatives that visit and support my mother but also support my father who lives 5-10 minutes away and it is a struggle to park at times.
Park Hill Flats	No/object	My concern about the parking scheme is that those of us who live in Park Hill flats will not be eligible and that this will put even more pressure and stress on us in terms of parking as more people will want to park around the flats if they cannot park on the surrounding roads. There are more and more people moving to the area and less and less places to park. There is a wider problem with public transport becoming more expensive and less reliable meaning more and more people are wanting to drive and park near the city centre which makes it harder and harder for residents living in the Park Hill area. I would like to see a more comprehensive solution to the transport problems and the crime problems meaning vehicles are not secure. There is also insufficient secure parking for motorbikes and bicycles and so people are discouraged from having and using these and instead use cars,
Duke Street	No/object	My family also objects to the changes
Norfolk rd	No/object	The parking is fine and I don't want permits introduced.
Seabrook rd, Norfolk park	No/object	<p>My primary response to receiving notification of this proposed plan was one of disgust that yet again the council is looking to exploit the residence it is responsible for, for financial gain without providing services that we so readily require, time and time again we have seen as residence of this city how the council has, bowed to this government's cuts ensured that cost is passed onto the tax payer, along with costly and poorly considered contracts that there seems little accountability for.</p> <p>I categorically state my opposition to this proposed scheme, not seeing it as any solution to the parking issue experienced by the few streets surrounding the station and Park Hill and being able to see other solutions available that the council have not considered (not surprisingly). The proposed implementation of this scheme will, I believe, be creating more issues that this solves, and it will be the residence that has to deal with these issues and will not be assisted or helped by you the council.</p> <p>Why have you applied the scheme to such a large area when only a few streets are really affected? How can you justify this amount? This again makes me think that it is more about revenue generation rather than any form of real parking management. And if your response is: if we do only apply it to those affected streets it will just displace it to surrounding streets, then that proves in its very logic that it is not a viable solution, and must be reconsidered, displacement is not a solution providing facility is</p> <p>It must be considered that on the inequality of this proposed scheme most of the affected streets have residence with off street parking (Drive ways) but there is a large proportion of affected addresses my self-included that do not have a property with the means to have a drive way and our only option is to be obliged to pay for parking outside our own property when we already pay both road tax on the vehicle and a sizable council tax, please remember that those without off road parking are commonly on the lower income brackets and can ill afford extra cost or expenditure.</p> <p>The proposed scheme appears inapplicable to our road, Seabrook, Ingram and Tyne roads are mostly residence, and any form of parking issues are in the evenings and is easily solved by residence communicating and working together, to accommodate each other. And it would appear the solving of this perceived issue creates more issues that we the residence must deal with while the council makes a sizable income that residence has no guarantee that where that money will be sent or utilised.</p> <p>On that point of where these funds would be used and how they would be spent? What assurances of guarantee do the residence have of this sizable income would be used, reinvested back in the area to further improve our streets and quality of life? Or more likely signed off to a subcontracted company to manage the scheme and further siphon off public money into private pockets. I would propose that consultation with all residence on how this money could be used and spent in the local area, if you ever get it past the robust resistance to this scheme.</p> <p>I doubt the council has considered the personal effect of this scheme of some of the residence, for me it's the concern about friends and family visiting (from other parts of the country) and then having to pay for parking in the duration of the visit. What about service and trades working at people's homes, it is already near impossible to get a tradesman to work on your property let alone having to absorb the cost of their parking on top of trades bills. Has it been considered that those in the community that require care and support? Remembering they are usually elderly and on lower incomes, are</p>
Norfolk Park Drive	No/object	With regard to question 27 there needs to be another option ... I'd have to as I live here!
st. aidans road	No/object	I think the parking scheme is ridiculous. I live near the tram stop and college and the people parking in the area for work/education does not affect me getting a space for my car at all. Any parking charging scheme will affect my friends and family visiting me, paying for parking and the limit on time. The cost also feels like an additional tax that I really can't afford.
Glencoe Road	No/object	I object to the proposal as I never have a problem parking on my street. I do not have access to off road parking and have to park on the street outside my house. Should the scheme go ahead with less spaces this could mean my road becomes busy with no where for me or my wife to park our cars.
Glencoe Road	No/object	<p>With regards to question #27, I find this very frustrating, as as a local resident OF COURSE "Yes" I would continue to park in Park Hill... as I will have no choice! Selecting "No" would be a lie and "Don't know" doesn't even make sense in this scenario really... so "Yes, with great reluctance" would be the correct answer.</p> <p>I feel very strongly about (against) this proposed scheme, as as already noted I have personally encountered zero parking issues over a period of more than 6 years in the neighbourhood. This may not be the case for all local streets, but I walk and drive through them daily and with a handful of exceptions I see absolutely no need for any such proposal. Parking is NOT an issue across the vast, vast majority of the proposed "zone".</p>
Glencoe Road	No/object	I don't see why it has to be chargeable. The scheme as proposed will reduce the amount of parking available

Donnington Road	No/object	Re question 27 I would not pay to park in the Park Hill area at all as a resident not as a commuter.
Norfolk Park	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	I am a resident and am against parking restrictions being introduced. I am on a small income, am a father of two and with rising energy and fuel bills this will be another increase I cannot afford. I have no garage, so need to park on the street, and this appears just to be revenue-raising and unfairly punishes residents of Park Hill.
Manor Oaks Gardens	No/object	
Tylney Road	No/object	I'd like to reiterate that I strongly object to the introduction of the scheme on our road and the surrounding roads Ingram, Seabrook and Stafford Road. As we have no issues with parking the introduction of a parking scheme that would require us to pay - particularly for two cars and potentially visitor permits - would be a real strain on our young families budget without bringing us any benefits. We rely on regular support from our family as well as have friends from our home ed circle visiting us for educational meet ups - many travelling to us via car. None ever having any issue parking near us. In conclusion, it would be a paid scheme that introduces issues with parking for us!
NORFOLK PARK AVENUE	No/object	
Blackwell Place	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	WE WILL NOT BE PAYING A PENNY! towards this extortionate plan, if it is implemented the charges will be deducted from my road and council tax! This is a product of Sheffield city councils balls up of the town centre, pointless one way streets / no parking zones / bus and taxi only lanes / blocking streets off for no reason (Devonshire street) I'm sure people who need mobility vehicles to get out and about must love you for that, half of the city centre is now inaccessible to them. It's because of these dimwitted decisions by the council that the city centre is dying. Then again I guess some over paid, under worked somebody has to justify their job. Where do you expect people who work in town to park without paying extortionate parking charges?. You've chased them out of town into our areas and now want to charge us for parking outside our own homes and your cock ups, no thanks!
Norfolk Road	No/object	I am very angry about the proposed parking scheme we are an elderly couple who no longer drive and not very mobile. We rely on family and close friends to visit and provide some much needed close interaction especially with our young grandchildren, niece's and nephew's. The majority of our family and friends live outside of the proposed parking scheme. I would feel very guilty knowing that they would have to pay for parking everytime they visited. I wouldn't like to burden them with extra costs at a time when household bills and food prices are rising. I feel this parking scheme is a very bad thing to happen in my area. I also feel the parking scheme will also affect the amount of people who visit Norfolk park for some much needed long walks/relaxation and well-being. I feel very sad about this parking scheme and is making me very anxious. Please reject this parking scheme.
Ingram Road, Sheffield S2	No/object	The following concerns are related to my objections of the scheme: - on my road (Ingram Road) parking is never a problem. I use my car currently for work (commuter to Huddersfield) and as a flexible/hybrid worker, I often leave and return home at various times within the day and never struggle to park my car. - the proposed pay and display meters and requirement of a permit concern me. I am concerned how this would impact the decisions of friends and family about visiting me. I am even more concerned for elderly/vulnerable people in the area and the detrimental effect that family/friends decision to not visit because of fees would have on their health and well-being. -i am wondering whether possible barriers to this scheme working have been considered, from a behavioural science perspective. I am thinking about my own experience and my own motivation to avoid spending money at parking meters, I.E pushing the problem of parking (where this exists - as mentioned it is not a problem for me on my road) to another area, making parking meters obsolete here - particularly since this area is residential, and in the immediate vicinity there are no shops/bars other local amenities unlike other parts of the city where people may pay (I.e sharrowvale/Hillsborough) -I was thinking of getting an electric car, having read about the installation of street based chargers being trialled in some areas in the country, as somebody with no driveway, I was hoping this could have been a possibility in Sheffield at some point in attempts at creating a greener city with reduced emissions. The installation of parking meters, to me does not seem to support efforts or reflect motivations for the council to reduce emissions.
S12	No/object	Our daughter moved to the area last year as she wanted to be close to the city centre. She has nowhere to make off-street parking and needs a car for her job. The restrictions will affect her property value. The restrictions will simply move the problem somewhere else. Why not look at encouraging parking closer or in the city centre and or develop Park and ride schemes .and improve Public transport. These proposals will add to the difficulties of people wanting to visit the city centre.
Gleadless	No/object	As stated previously not sure why permits are being introduced. I like to visit my family without the worry of getting a parking ticket.
Gleadless	No/object	None
Granville Road,	No/object	
Oak Lodge road	No/object	I work at a local Business - Countrywide Grounds maintenance , Located on Fitzwalter road. I currently work 3 - 4 days Per week, The parking scheme being introduced in the area will cause an issue for me parking at work, Not just for me but for the other staff members at my workplace. I am unable to take public transport to work as i do not live locally to park hill, and also start and finish times there is not transport available from where i live other than to drive. If i am unable to park when i get to work im unsure what situation this leaves me in, As i only work part time it is not possible to financially support paying for permit or paying for parking further away from my place of work,
Foxhill	No/object	Park on fitzwalter road for work

norfolk park	No/object	<p>I object to the parking scheme as it would make parking near my workplace near impossible.</p> <p>I work unsociable hours which can mean starting work between 4am and 7am in the morning or working through the night until lunchtime on essential gritting works during the winter months.</p> <p>due to the nature of the business i do need to use my vehicle for work purposes which means public transport is not feasible</p> <p>There are no current problems with parking on fitzwalter road , All staff members can park on the estate and this still allows for parking for business customers also.</p> <p>All business owners are courteous to each other</p> <p>It also needs to be taken into account that businesses on this estate need to allow also for customer parking.</p>
14 Belmonte Gardens	No/object	<p>Details should be given as to how residents like me Living in Belmonte Gardens, can apply for visitor permits for essential trades people attending to essential maintenance work/ deliveries. Visitor permits for Medical Assistants & Friends visiting me.</p> <p>If construction work is taking place how do I apply for a permit to place a skip placed in this layby.</p> <p>That is all for now</p> <p>Mr Hemantha Wijeyesekera</p>
fitzwalter road	No/object	<p>I work at a local business on fitzwalter road , and have done so for many years. I dont have issues parking at my workplace,</p> <p>I work unsociable hours which mean the being able to park close by to work is a priority for my safety.</p> <p>I do essential works accross schools and care facilities</p> <p>Local businesses will suffer immensely if this parking scheme was brought in.</p>
fitzwalter road	No/object	
Glencoe Road, Glencoe Road	No/object	<p>question above not relevant as I live here so I would park here. would not want to pay obviously . I strongly object to the introduction of a scheme that will seemingly only benefit a very few people at the end of Norfolk Road as far as I can see and punish the majority.</p>
St Aidans Rd	No/object	
Park Grange Croft SHEFFIELD	No/object	
Fitzwalter Road, Sheffield, S2 2SP	No/object	<p>Myself and 300 members will be against the parking restrictions and will do everything in our power to fight it.</p> <p>If parking permits are introduced in the proposed zone Parkhill Estate residents will be unduly affected. There are too few street spaces; as we cannot park on the majority of Duke St. during the peak hours and potentially won't be eligible for a resident's permit on other zonal roads. Which road would Parkhill residents be able to park on?</p> <p>There is a clear disparity between the annual cost of a parking permit through the proposed Parking Zone circa. £47 to £94 per annum for residents in the wider area; versus the cost of parking as a resident at either of the two carparks at Parkhill Estate circa. £600-750 per annum, which does not guarantee a space to Parkhill residents either (which is absurd).</p> <p>I would hope the Council will give consideration to this specific issue during the parking zone consultation. As this scheme could potentially leave present and 'future' Parkhill Estate residents even less options to park within a reasonable walking distance of their home. With the future development of the estate this problem will increase.</p>
Parkhill, Gilbert, South St.	No/object	
Norfolk Park Avenue	No/object	<p>I don't think Donnington Road should be included in the parking scheme as commuters do not use this road for parking at present. M</p>
Glencoe Road	No/object	<p>I expect I would have to carry on parking here as I live here. An alternative would be to move. I would not appreciate having to pay for parking outside my house. It would appear to be a penalty and tax for living in this location.</p>
Stafford Road	No/object	
Glencoe Road	No/object	
essex road	No/object	<p>I am a resident i dont have any other option but to park here.</p>
SSEX ROAD S2 2RG	No/object	<p>I have no option but to park on the street as I am a resident of Essex Road.</p>
Norfolk road	No/object	
Hyde Park Terrace	No/object	<p>On our street you propose to remove 28 parking spaces and replace with double yellow lines. This will only make our problem worse This includes putting double yellows along all our garages, which have a car parking pad in front of them for parking purposes anyway. Many of my neighbours are elderly and have carers multiple times per day too and this would reduce where they can park.</p>
Glencoe Rd	No/object	

GRANVILLE ROAD	No/object	While I believe the parking scheme is beneficial to deter the non-residents occupying as many spaces, I believe introduced paid permits for each household's cars is unnecessary. As I'm sure you can appreciate, we are currently experiencing a cost of living crisis with petrol and energy prices exceeding past records, for example. Many residents will be placed under significant financial strain from this already, therefore I believe it unjust to expect the additional costs of permits. I myself live in a rented household, and part of the decision to move into this property was the available parking, and no need for a permit. After the painful few years of complete isolation and reduced social contact due to covid that we all experienced, I believe most people are encouraging more visits from friends and family than ever. With the introduction of this scheme, this will also impact visitors, which is greatly unfair. I believe each house should be entitled to free permits, and visitor permits also. By the council placing fees associated with this scheme, it is clearly not just for the benefit of the residents, who may still not be able to park outside their homes, in spite of the permit. I appreciate the opportunity to share my views, but this scheme must be reconsidered.
Talbot place	No/object	
Wybourn	No/object	This extends far further than just park hill. There are small businesses outside of the city centre which will be affected, such a salon CT nails. It also extends on to manor oaks area which isn't even park hill and where there is abundant parking at any time of the day!
Manor Oaks Drive	No/object	As we own the parking bays attached to our house, we wouldn't be paying to park our cars.
Ingram road	No/object	
Skye edge road	No/object	
Stafford Road	No/object	My answer to 27 is not that I would want to pay or would be happy paying. I live here, I would have no choice but to pay.
Dovercourt Road	No/object	I never think it's good to charge residents to park outside their own house. Where I live we do get people who live on city road park on my street but they have been respectful for the most part and don't park like idiots with massive gaps in between. As a resident would I have to pay a parking permit? That wouldn't be fair
Norfolk Park	No/object	This scheme is a joke all you are doing is causing parking problems on other roads, you will also make it difficult for people who live on some of these roads to park near their homes xx you have totally ignored the areas on Norfolk Park which are residents only parking, which are blocked every day by people parking and getting on the tram, or taking their kids to School and picking them up! Council are good at creating problems, but obviously no good at problem solving!
Manor Oaks Gardens	No/object	
Essex Road	No/object	With reference to question 27 I would still park in Park Hill as I live there.
Park Hill, pat Midgley Lane	No/object	I do not support the scheme - the street parking is always sufficient in the area behind park hill and does not seem to require being paid for. it is an unnecessary cost when costs of living are already increasing
Tylney Road	No/object	I think the proposal of the parking scheme to such a large area is ridiculous. I'm sure you'll have many objections to read through with many people saying the same thing. There is no issue with parking on Tylney Road or the surrounding streets. Apart from this, the idea to then charge residents for a permit is insulting. At a time when the cost of living is the highest its ever been, you are proposing to take more money off people just to park outside there own house. I have 3 dependencies and am the only person who works in the family, quite simply any cost is a cost I'm unwilling to pay. If you would like to issue all residents with free permits, then charge people who work in the city, that might be a better that wouldn't get as much objection. As for making the city cleaner and greener, I haven't seen any vision to promote electric vehicles or increase the number of charging stations in and around the city. This is overall a very poorly thought out plan that could potentially cripple people who are already struggling on a day to day basis.
Granville road	No/object	
Granville Road	No/object	
city road	No/object	hi as not having a driveway or parking on the main road where we live is bad enough also having a child in a wheelchair is a extra challenge but we get by, but the introduction of this new proposed parking will make it even more difficult with extra costs added to tighter budget and the impact on the people that come to see us to service his equipment a more challenging task when the problem with parking not a problem in this area, i know the problem with parking closer to town near park hill and norfolk road and further down granville road near the school is slight problem but should but up to the residents on the affected roads to either vote to have it without imposing it on the rest of the other who don't .
Talbot Place	No/object	The cost of living is high enough. Council Tax is high enough. Charing for parking permits is an absolute disgrace and doesn't help the local community one bit. If you do this you will break down the community.
S13	No/object	Listen to the residents. Make suitable parking for the construction workers so our streets can be clear for our children to play in and our own cars parked outside our own houses where we can assess them for emergencies.
Stafford Street	No/object	I object to defacing unmarked streets with paint and street furniture. I object to allowing tradespersons to park at will using vouchers. I object to using residents to generate money for the council. I object to users of the pharmacies, GP, public library and Salvation Army to have to pay to park. I object to residents money to be used to issue penalties
Granville road	No/object	
Talbot Gardens	No/object	I wish for there to be a free residents permit.
Holdings Road	No/object	We have a large van and no drive on which to park it. We have two severely disabled children who are both wheelchair users. We need to park outside our own property in any way that makes their and our life as easy as possible. Introducing permit parking will cause us stress due to additional cost and worry regarding whether we will still be able to park if other drivers can pay to park outside our own house. We also have many visits from NHS staff, physios, occupational therapists etc. Paid parking will make it very difficult for them to visit our property. This is an unnecessary scheme that will reduce property value, create financial difficulty for residents and increase stress during already difficult times where soaring costs are everywhere.
S2 3bf	No/object	
Stafford road	No/object	It will stop people, families visiting eachother
Charnock	No/object	I appreciate that some areas may benefit from controlled parking but to include Norfolk Park Road and not Manor Lane seems ridiculous.
All Saints Catholic High School & S	No/object	
St. Aidans Road	No/object	Myself and all of our neighbours thoroughly reject this proposal.

Norfolk Rd	No/object	We have 3 children in wheelchairs and park our wheelchair adapted vehicle outside our home. We wouldn't park elsewhere and push our kids home. Whatever restrictions you decide to implement We would still need to do what we do. Our children are in end of life and we have many health professionals visit us often as well as social care professions. This will cost us such a lot in parking permits. We actually really like our street where the road surfaces are good and the heritage lights are nice. This will spoil it covering it with paint for parking bays.
Strouts Way	No/object	
Bassett Road	No/object	It's not fair to family members visiting family!
Stafford Road	No/object	
BASSETT ROAD	No/object	Introducing a parking scheme is nothing more than exploiting the residents when the cost of living is at an all time high! Talk about kicking people when their down!!!
Norfolk rd	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	It worries me that this consultation covers such a large area. I am concerned that people further up Granville Road, who don't have the same issues as us, may object. Dinnington Road is one example. It's too far up for people to use it as a car park like we suffer, but they could stop us getting what we desperately need.
Seabrook Road	Yes	
ingram road, s2	Yes	As residents we need to park outside our house. I feel the cost of the permit for residents is too high. What benefit do we get for paying? I assumed residents would have a free permit, but I think it should be at least less than £20.
Norwich Park Hill	Yes	
City road	Yes	I wish the local resident have a parking permit
South Street, Sheffield	Yes	
Stafford Road	Yes	This scheme ignores the much more important environmental and safety aspects, eg 20mph zone is needed for all this area NOW, there should be parking charge and residents concessions for electric vehicles, this should incorporate plans for more charging points and car share parking. Sheffield is becoming one of the weakest cities in the whole country environmentally - the council says they don't have the power to act but there are so many easy wins like the above.
Old Street	Yes	I would like a condition of the scheme to be that the student accommodation is not allowed to have parking permits. There are a huge amount of flats in the Pinnacles and if each one of them was allowed a vehicle there would simply be nowhere for residents to park in our area.
Castlebeck Drive sheffield	Yes	
Old Street	Yes	I would pay g go or a permit to park on my road if it actually secured me a space
Hyde Park Terrace	Yes	
norfolk road	Yes	
glencoe lace	Yes	unfair on residents unable to park
Castle Croft drive	Yes	I have a drive therefore I would not have to pay, however I wouldn't ask my family to pay either. In no world is it right to require your visitors to your home to have to pay to park up when they visit. But yes, people who are NOT residents or visitors to the residents should have to pay, our estate is not a free car park. No point even trying to get round our estate or Granville road when there's a football match on at bramall lane or if it's school opening/closing time. It's limiting when we can actual leave our homes safely. Not to mention the abuse and snide looks you get off of school parents who block off the entrance to the estate when you try and squeeze your car through the small gap that is left. I Would not wish anyone on the estate to require the emergency services but in peak parking or pick up/drop off times it's near impossible to be able to get an emergency vehicle safely through. That being said, kier who built our homes was obviously aware of the parking issues that may arise from the location of the estate and still made areas of the estate only able to fit one car down despite being a 2 way system on a blind bend. If any of us needed the fire brigade at peak times then we would be screwed quite frankly. As for the council profiting off of using our estate as a paid for car park that's also ridiculous. Allow for only residents and their visitors to park and the safety issues would be solved. But being honest, who's going to man the permits? I highly doubt the council will employ someone to check every car has paid to park, and the general public know this so the problem will remain a problem while ever the general public are allowed to park here.
Talbot Street	Yes	If we had to pay there would be no other choice as we live in this area.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	The Castle Croft Drive community has been asking for a resident parking scheme to be put in place since 2015 and were very surprised the council had not made this an original condition of the planning consent for the estate. Many of the residents have been in contact with the council over the years including a large number of meetings held in the Town Hall with councillors and Terry Fox. We are glad that this is finally happening and the daily misery of speeding / badly parked cars and cars parked on the pavement blocking pram users will finally come to an end.
Norfolk Park, Beeches Bank	Yes	There are signs in Norfolk Park stating street parking on Beeches Bank please remove this as it only results in residents private allocated parking being abused
Ingram Road	Yes	Think it is wrong for family members and friends that come to visit us and will have to pay it will stop them coming and when you are elderly and or ill you need people around you
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I would continue to park here because it is my home. I totally support restrictions on parking. I would prefer it if it was permit only and not pay and display in my road. If introduced it needs properly enforcing. I think this fits with the wider environmental impact by reducing non residents from driving into the city.
Hyde Park terrace	Yes	I'm in favour of restrictions but feel residents should be allowed parking permits to enable them to park near their homes. The first two permits per house should be free, with replacements/extras charged a small fee.
Park hill- Robinson road	Yes	I would support parking controls to be in place Mon-Fri 8:00am- 6:30pm. Parking controls beyond those times feel unnecessary as the I feel the majority of the problem with parking is caused by people parking to access the town centre or train station during the day on weekdays. I feel that extending parking restrictions past 6:30pm on a week day or at any time on a weekend would penalise residents who may have people come visit them via car.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I don't believe residents or visitors of residents should have to pay, but I do believe the introduction of some kind of pay/permit for parking would make it safer for residents
Granville road	Yes	I don't think residents should have to pay for their place as this penalises them.
Sheffield	Yes	Disabled drivers must be allocated specific parking spaces
NORWICH, SOUTH STREET, PARK HILL	Yes	It would need to be affordable for residents and residents should be able to have visitor passes. If there was more provision for cycling and cycle parking then there would be less cars.
Park Hill flats	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	
Park hill	Yes	
S11 9FY	Yes	Cars are often damaged due to street crime. As parking officers patrol the streets, when parking restrictions are in place, this will reduce this The charges need to be reasonable. Some 'residents only parking' needs to be in place too. Building just 2 storey car parks would be helpful!
Park Hill	Yes	
South Street, Park Hill	Yes	There has been an unacceptable rise in inconsiderate and dangerous parking, including pavement parking. The introduction of the controlled area scheme (if properly policed) is welcome
Fitzwalter Road	Yes	The increased number of vehicles in getting more problematic in our area. I would be happy to pay to alleviate this. I do have concerns that the scheme covers a wide area and objections from residents living further from town may prevent it going forward so I hope that there is a process for adjustments to the scheme to ensure that it can go ahead in the areas most affected.
Cricket Inn road	Yes	
Parkhill flats	Yes	we need more parking for residents in the area with the huge number of flats
PARK GRANGE CROFT	Yes	
Crown Place, S2	Yes	
Norfolk Road,	Yes	The above doesn't apply to me as I have a driveway so wouldn't have to pay. I resent having to pay to park in other parts of the city, near the centre, yet other people come to Park and park for free, filling up the whole street, and surrounding streets.
Crosspool	Yes	To support the residents, parking should be prioritised for them.

		As I said, each household should get a free permit. You don't make people in Sheffield with a drive pay to park, so why is it any different for people living in flats?
Long Henry - Phase 2 - Park Hill	Yes	And the parking restrictions on Dukes Street need to be removed. They make absolutely no sense to restrict parking for an hour in the morning and I'm the evening. There is never rush hour on that road at anytime, so I cannot see what that is there. It is just inconvenience for the sake of inconvenience
Talbot Place	Yes	A controlled parking scheme is required, however I do not believe charging the residents surrounding park hill is a correct solution. At the very least the council should react to park hill contractors using the residential parking around park hill.
Holdings Road	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	I live on castle croft drive, I would like to see permit holder only parking to be implemented.
Tylney road	Yes	Support it 5 days a week as the leaflet received in the post says, potentially Saturdays too as often get lots of football parking nearby. However do not support this to be 7 days a week and wish to know why the survey has different proposal to the information sent to residents.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	Designate left side of South St & Stafford Rd in front of Park Hill as paid parking Designate Norfolk Rd from Clay wood park to end at Granville Rd as residents parking The scheme should end around 6:30pm as most commuters gone home by then Residents should pay for 1 pass & have 1 free extra pass for visitors
Norfolk Road	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Long Henry, South Street, Park Hill	Yes	Bring in a Workplace Parking Levy, and ban pavement parking in the city centre. Create Low Traffic Neighbourhoods around Park Hill and Norfolk Park.
Hague, South Street, Park Hill	Yes	
Norfolk Road	Yes	
Norfolk Road	Yes	Q 27 not relevant to us... we live here and park in our drive.
Broomhill	Yes	
Glencoe Road	Yes	This can't happen soon enough for me, please push through ASAP.
Old Street	Yes	My issue would be that if you only had to pay for parking Monday - Friday then it wouldn't stop our issues with students as they're also here many weekends or after the time of 6:30pm (as it says on the leaflet). I think it may be better to make our road completely private parking and give the option of permits to the residents on our street, that way it would stop students parking on our road and would allow employees (who work in town) pay for parking if they park here.
Castle croft Drive	Yes	Cheap or free parking is needed close to library and post office. Perhaps free parking for upto 20 mins at Post Office and 2 hours outside library or library have free visitors permits to hand to those using library facilities. Parking on Granville road at junction with castle croft drive needs to be restricted to improve visibility when turning out of Castle Croft Drive
Park Grange Croft	Yes	
Park Grange Croft	Yes	
Ingram Road	Yes	The parking scheme, if fairly implemented on ALL Park Hill roads, will make access to my land easier and increase the value of my home which has private off road parking, so I would generally support this. I fear however enforcement will mostly impact residents who already park legally and considerately, while little will be done to enforce against those parked inconsiderably on the pavement / causing dangerous obstruction. Continued selective / partial enforcement, will only increase the abuse of obstruction and abuse of pavements.
Gleadless	Yes	
Castlecroft Croft Drive	Yes	I live in this area and would like it to be a safe place to walk on the pavements, as well as a safe place to drive and park. There are currently too many vehicles who park in this area for the full day. The pavement cannot be used by people in a wheelchair or a pushchair.
Castlecroft drive	Yes	
Castlecroft	Yes	
Castlecroft	Yes	
Woodville Street	Yes	
Hillsborough	Yes	
Norfolk Road, 76	Yes	
Manor Oaks Gardens	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I strongly support the proposed parking scheme - besides improving my quality of life and reducing the risks of blocking the street for emergency vehicles etc., it could be a source of revenue for the Council as there are a large number of drivers shamelessly violating road rules when they park here rather than pay for parking for Sheffield College, the station, etc.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I think the permits must be affordable as in my household we are 3 young professionals who all have the travel for work. If the costs of the permits were high then we would have to take this into account when renewing our tenancy.
Granville road	Yes	As a local resident I would be more than happy to pay a permit fee to park near to where I live. This is a fantastic idea, please bring it in as soon as possible
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	If a permit system is not introduced in this area things will only potentially get worse once the Clean Air Zone comes into effect. After the Clean Air Zone is established it is feasible that a wider selection of vehicles will be charged for entering which will encourage polluting vehicle owners to try to park on the periphery of the zone. This is likely to happen immediately that the Clean Air Zone comes into effect, taxi drivers that would otherwise be charged for entering the zone will be encouraged to park/pick up/drop off on the periphery of the zone.
CASTLE CROFT DRIVE	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	The proposed days and times of the parking restrictions will not necessarily help castle Croft drive with all the parking issues caused by non residents. Between Monday and Friday, there are non residents freely parking their cars to go to work/college but also frequently, there are football events taking place on evenings and weekends which also disrupt Castle Croft Drive. I would happily pay for a permit if the council made sure during events special parking restrictions were in place to prevent people using our private road as a free car park. We do pay a maintenance fee for the upkeep of the road so it would be better all round to have restrictions all the time or between 8am - 8pm, 7 days a week.
Shrewsbury Hospital S22SU	Yes	
Park Hill	Yes	
Bard Street	Yes	I actually think the parking should be 7 days a week 24/7 as parking on a weekend is as bad as through the week. There are times when emergency services would not be able to access the street due to the parking.
Glencoe Road	Yes	I strongly support your proposal to introduce a controlled parking scheme around Park Hill. I anticipate this will help deter people who use this residential area as a car park for the city centre, rail station and college because it is currently free. This in turn will make for a more pleasant and less-polluted and -congested environment because it will reduce the volume of traffic travelling into and around the area. I am pleased you are proposing to include my Road, Glencoe Road, and a good range of roads from the city centre up to Skye Edge, to dissuade people simple parking nearby. I understand I would need to pay for a resident permit, but feel this is a reasonable cost to incur for the benefit the scheme should provide. I'd also like to know some more information and/or make suggestions for the scheme, including: * Is there a facility for guest/visitor permits to be available for family or friends visiting residents within the scheme boundary other than simply paying the £6.50? I have family who live outside of Sheffield but within a controlled parking zone and they are able to buy visitor parking permits when we visit at a lower cost than buying pay & display tickets. * The scheme runs onto Manor Laith Road, but seems to end a short way up. Could this boundary be extended to cover the entrance onto Park Rifles allotment site? Drivers parking on this road often park over the entrance to the site, which makes it difficult for plot-holders to access the site. Thank you for your work to propose this parking scheme.

		<p>The priority should be reducing visitor parking</p> <p>I am not sure that charging people to park without restrictions around certain times and areas will address the current congestion</p> <p>The congestion got worse when the council restricted parking in the city centre which i agree with but havent invested enough in alternative travel.</p> <p>Will revenue from restricted parking be used to fund public transport?</p>
Norfolk rd	Yes	.
Norfolk park drive	Yes	I would be very annoyed if I had to pay to park by my own front door if the resident car park was full, when people from other areas can pay for a permit to park on our very limited drive. It doesn't seem right
Talbot Gardens	Yes	
Bard street	Yes	If the parking permit is introduced it will be ineffective if not policed by wardens
Donnington Road	Yes	<p>The main issue on Donnington Road/Norfolk Park Avenue is speeding cars, but for residents at our end of the road, parking is also an issue that affects us regularly.</p> <p>I have recently applied for a dropped kerb extension so our double driveway can be used effectively by 2 cars. I hope this won't be affected if the parking scheme is introduced? I'm a little concerned that if the parking bays are marked before the dropped kerb is authorised/implemented, the new bays might obstruct our access.</p>
Talbot St	Yes	<p>All my family live away if they come to visit it is extremely difficult for them to park any where round here.</p> <p>I think parking permits are needed. The amount of litter these non residents leave behind is appalling.</p> <p>Does this mean that at weekends my neighbours won't have the right to park in their designated spot? I'm a little confused on all this?</p>
Norwich, Park Hill	Yes	<p>Leaflet in post indicated residents permits of £46.80 a year.</p> <p>Happy to support this charge if it means spaces are available. Concerns about unavailability persisting. Permit wont guarantee space?</p>
Castle croft drive	Yes	I don't feel as though residents should have to pay to park outside their house. We already pay a large amount of council tax and with the rising cost of living I think this is really unfair. Each resident should be issued with two permits.
Norfolk Rd	Yes	I think it would have helped to have more details of the scheme made available before conducting this survey as i do not feel that there is adequate information with which to allow people to make an informed decision.
Tylney Road	Yes	
Norwich, Park Hill	Yes	
Ingram Road	Yes	
SEABROOK ROAD	Yes	<p>Understand the idea, bit expensive for visitors/trades people ?</p> <p>Could start the free parking a little earlier ?, say 5.30 if the aim is to just discourage commuter parking, they will mostly be all day parkers who will already have paid the £6.50.</p> <p>Bit concerned that the managed areas will be set out as such to reduce the actual street parking, especially for those lucky enough to be able to afford a house large enough to accommodate a driveway, and therefore avoid all costs. British std turning circles for accessing driveways are very generous.</p> <p>Not much detail on your web page on the actual specifics just an outline of the area, there must be more, to enable a more informed response to this consultation.</p>
Shrewsbury Road	Yes	Do you have a review process to see if your plan actually worked ?
Shrewsbury Road	Yes	No issues parking on street. I do not want parking restrictions on the back side of Norfolk park avenue as cars are parked blocking the driveway and I do not want there to be a restriction on doing this. I do not want to pay for a permit to park nor do I
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	Will residents be able to buy books of Visitors permits as in other parking schemes in City. I can not see any reference to visitors permits in the Draft Traffic Regulation order. I see this as essential, particularly for residents who do not have their own vehicle and rely on carers/relatives and friends. It is not reasonable to have to pay the Pay and Display rates to visit a resident.
Park Grange Croft	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Granville Road	Yes	<p>We are a car-free household, so are not affected by this directly.</p> <p>For this scheme to be fair: Households should be issued with one free permit - for them or visitors. These should be non-transferable.</p> <p>Although I support this scheme, as it may discourage car ownership, I am concerned that it would lead more people to convert their front gardens to parking space. This leads to loss of pockets of green space and higher risk of flooding.</p>
Castle Croft Drive, Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Norfolk Road	Yes	
Glencoe Road	Yes	I do not own a car
Norfolk Road,	Yes	<p>I live at 76 Norfolk Road and have had a detailed look at the plan. At the moment as cars park on both sides of Norfolk Road I often cannot turn east out of my drive towards Fitzwalter Road, or back in from Fitzwalter Road. The particular problem is that cars park so close to the dropped curve, often overhanging the white H lines, meaning that the turning circle is impinged on. I also use a trailer making the problem worse.</p> <p>The parking space as shown on the new plans, on the east to the exit of my drive is currently showing as approx 7.5 Meters, not enough for two cars according to normal planning. I would request that the space be reduced to 5M, ie, suitable for a single car and be moved east towards Fitzwalter Road, away from my drive entrance so that I can then manoeuvre to/from the east as mentioned above.</p>
Swallownest	Yes	Park Hill area needs to have some sort of control, but I strongly object to have to pay to visit and look after my elderly relative because of inconsiderate commuters parking up for free then going to work in the city centre.
Talbot Place	Yes	<p>What are the arrangements for tradespeople working on properties? e.g. if a resident needs a builder, plumber etc. at short notice do they need to buy a parking ticket?</p> <p>Would the green parking permit allow parking free of charge?</p> <p>I think a higher parking price is needed for non residents, as £6.50 a day seems low and may encourage commuters to continue to park and ride.</p>
Glencoe Road	Yes	
Donnington Road	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Blackwell close	Yes	
Stafford st	Yes	Give park hill residents own parking not to use our street
Smallage Lane	Yes	
Stafford road	Yes	Ultimately to solve the parking issue the transport infrastructure in sheffield needs an overhaul. Cheap buses, a better overall transport infrastructure and cheaper parking (in particular for businesses) to decrease the pressure on parking in the inner city residential areas. Carers should be exempt from the new parking charges so people with caring needs or carers themselves do not inadvertently incur a stealth tax.
Crown Place	Yes	
Granville Road	Yes	
Norfolk Road	Yes	I've just given a detailed response in the car parking section, so I won't repeat myself.
Manor Oaks Road	Yes	Please restrict this proposal to the immediate area surrounding this area, otherwise it will fail. It's too wide, as it stands, I know because I volunteer at Park library, and many people are coming in to read the book. They tell us they are against it, and why. I've no wish to see them penalised, when the problem is ours.
Norfolk Road	Yes	I am all for permits in the neighbour hood and would happily pay
Norfolk Road	Yes	I've lived in the Hunters Bar and Ranmoor areas of Sheffield all have double yellow or parking permits, as a now resident of Norfolk Park I can't understand why this is not yet put into to practice. I do have a drive but I feel sorry for all neighbouring residents that have to put up with inconsiderate parking, I would like to see more done around the cholera monument to discourage the gangs of youth smoking marijuana in their cars, it's very intimidating.
Long Henry, South Street, Park Hill	Yes	

Long Henry, South Street, Park Hill	Yes	Reduce the speed limit on Duke Street to stop people flying up and down it
Norwich, Pat Midgley Lane	Yes	
NORFOLK ROAD	Yes	
Shrewsbury Hospital, Norfolk rd	Yes	
Granville Road	Yes	
Norwich, Park Hill	Yes	I already pay for parking at park hill for the secure car park so this will help improve the area around park hill.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I don't want to pay to park on my own drive, but would be happy to pay for visitors passes.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	If I needed to participate in a paid or temporary ticket scheme for family and visitor parking (such as scheme at Broomhill) I would be happy to do so as current parking issues on the road cause danger and obstruction.
South Street	Yes	I think the emphasis should be on making it easy for residents to park (possibly by minimising the annual permit charge) whilst discouraging the commuters (by increasing the daily rate).
Norfolk Road	Yes	We local residents have been used as a free car park for many years. Most areas of the city, with this problem, have controlled parking schemes, so we need one too. It will help to convince commuters and shoppers to use public transport and reduce CO2 emissions, and the air pollution in our area, which is high.
		In principle I support a scheme that allows residents and their visitors to be able to park easily and safely near to their properties. But what I would say is: * I'm not convinced how much commuter parking is taking place on either Hyde Park Walk or Terrace. More residents have vehicles and work vehicles and 2nd cars etc. In that sense it feels like the residents/visitors will now be charged but won't get any of the benefits of the scheme. It's being grouped with much busier main roads that clearly have a commuter parking problem and that seems a little unfair. A ban on work vehicles might help as they take up a lot of room and could possibly be left at work premises overnight instead? For example there is a large SCC works transit van regularly parked overnight and other similar vehicles with company logos. * As caring for somebody essentially saves the local authority resources in more than one sense, I would object to having to pay to park whilst I was providing that service. Yes we would visit our relative in any case but not every day of the week as we do in order to provide the care she requires. I'd also like to see the proposed times changed to earlier on in the evening as we tend to call in on our way in from work at 4:30pm and most commuters would not benefit from free parking that late in the day I am sure? * The cost of the scheme would impact heavily on whether my relative would support a scheme or not. The information online mentions that road tax and council tax pay for many things and what the cost of the scheme would cover but the bottom line is that this area is occupied by low income households who have previously never had to pay to park their vehicles. There is a cost of living crisis ongoing and the recent budget announcements have done nothing to abate those problems. Adding another burden to the residents' pockets seems ridiculous and when they can't pay for the scheme and inevitably pick up a fine that they then can't pay, the local authority have paid to implement the scheme, paid to enforce the scheme, paid to invoice for fines and paid to chase debt that they will never recover. Isn't it then the residents of the entire city that will pay? My relative would rather see her council tax pay to care adequately for older people, for the police to clean up the drug dealing issues that are rife in that area or for somebody to enforce the shoddy housing associations that are clearly all about the profit, despite apparently not being so. * Would the scheme impact on deliveries/tradespeople? I think I read online that they would have to apply for a pass? Would there be a cost to that? Would that cost potentially be passed onto the residents again? * If residents have care staff visiting their properties several times per day, would there be a cost to those staff/companies and would that be passed onto individuals' care bills?
Not in Sheffield	Yes	
Broad street	Yes	
Fitzwalter Road	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	
Crown Place	Yes	the only objection I have is why should I have to pay a charge when I already have just received 2022 Council Tax Charges with a 5% increase on 2021. this feels like another Tax if I have to pay to park outside my own home
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	As a long term resident I would want a free permit as I really need to use my car for work but with rising living costs I am scared about more bills to pay.
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Glencoe Road	Yes	I hope you will accept the views of those who do not currently use the parking, because parking still impacts us in our daily lives immensely. With the exception of those with mobility problems and those without the means to do so, I believe people should pay to park cars in the street, and I would hope that the revenue would be ploughed directly into cheap and reliable public transport in and around the city. I wish to also add that I am currently taking driving lessons and intend to buy a car in the next year. I do not, however, believe that I have an inherent right to store that private asset on public land for free. Filling our streets with increasingly large cars and trucks has an impact on everyone, and as an able bodied person, I expect to pay to park in the street, and to have parking rules rigorously enforced. I hope that pavement parking will soon be made illegal and the dignity of human beings (not cars) trying to move in our communities is held as the highest priority when making future planning decisions.
Castle Croft drive	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	
S10 2dh	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
CITY ROAD	Yes	I have put "yes" in response to question 26, - although it really should be "Maybe" - this form gives no option for this. I have a number of concerns and questions: 1. I have a particular concern about the inclusion of a different issue within this consultation about a controlled parking scheme. We currently can't park outside our home 8-9.30am, 4.30-6.30pm Mon-Fri. The plans change this to 7.30-9.30am and 4-6.30pm. This clearly makes parking close to our home more difficult. For example, we regularly leave the vehicle in City Road overnight on a Sunday, to move it at 8am on a Monday morning, which fits our schedule. To change this to 7.30am means we would need to move our vehicle the night before onto a neighbouring street - adding to the parking issues already there. 2. Single use visitor permits - are they for a specific vehicle, or could we as residents buy a pack, and then use them to different visitors on different occasions? 3. There are a number of houses in multiple occupation on City Road. How many parking permits would a House in Multiple Occupation have? For example, the house next to us has 5 double rooms, self-contained. Would it have up to 2 for the entire house or up to 2 per room (ie 10)? It is worth noting that we raised the question of parking when the development was seeking planning permission, and parking at that point (last year) was not seen as a problem 4. The planning proposal does not mention the introduction of a clean air zone in the city centre. My concern is that this will impact our area, and those who wish to avoid paying the city centre charge for a vehicle will use the option of a pay and display parking space in our area - thereby reducing the number of available spaces for local residents. I think if there is to be a parking scheme, it should be for residents and their visitors, and not provide an option for people from outside the area to drive and park on local streets. 5. I am concerned that residents are expected to pay for permits. This is one of the less wealthy parts of Sheffield, and the additional parking costs for the residents will add to existing financial difficulties for people. The residents here are being asked to pay for the problems caused by those from wealthier suburbs wanting to park in our streets, who currently park to avoid expensive parking charges in the city centre. Following on from above, I think investment into a good public transport system is crucial. I would like to see the provision of significantly more park and ride car parks on the outskirts of the city, with trams and buses to and from the city centre (reliable, frequent, clean, comfortable, and free or minimal cost) would encourage people to behave differently. As well as resolving parking issues, we would have cleaner air, and reduction in the use of petrol and diesel - better for our neighbourhood and the planet!

City Road	Yes	<p>I broadly welcome the scheme but have a number of concerns:</p> <p>1) Currently the urban clearway operates 8:00 -9:30 &16:30 to 18:30. Mon to Fri. The plans show this is changing to 7:30-9:30-16:00-18:30. There is nothing to indicate why this is changing. Our part of B6070 City Road below Granville Rd has much less traffic than other roads such as Abbeydale Rd which has the extended urban clearway times. This extended time will adversely affect my household I formally object to this part of the proposal. The proposed change to urban clearway times should be subject to a separate consultation not part of the parking scheme.</p> <p>2) It is not clear if the £16.25 for 25 single use visitor permits is in addition to being able to purchase a 2nd permit. This must be the case otherwise it would prevent family & friends from parking.</p> <p>3) There is reference to trades people being able to obtain temporary permits. This would need to be easy & quick to do as repair companies need to attend quickly for urgent repairs eg heating breakdowns</p> <p>4) Many properties are HIMO properties with 5 or more single tenants. Could 5 tenants and their partners buy a permit up to 10 per property needed.</p>
City Road	Yes	<p>I would prefer it to be controlled parking in my area as it would encourage residents to park on their own property. It may also lead to some people reducing the amount of cars that they have which would be better for the environment.</p> <p>I think that the money raised from the permits/fines should be used to install EV charging stations in the parking bays created in the controlled areas.</p>
Granville Road	Yes	
Norfolk Park Avenue	Yes	<p>Vehicles often park on Donnington Road on both sides of the road, and too close to driveways. (My address is Norfolk Park Avenue, but vehicle access is via Donnington Road.) My parents, in particular, often have problems turning into my driveway when they visit, because of the cars parked on both sides of the road, making the remaining carriageway space very narrow. My parents have a Volvo estate, so a large car, but not exceptionally large. I would strongly support the introduction of parking controls to reduce problem parking.</p> <p>In addition, I would very much welcome a 20mph speedlimit on Donnington Road, and enforcement of the no right turn/no left turn signs at the top (south) end. Cars are always ignoring these signs, using Donnington Road as a cut through, and I have nearly had two crashes at that junction because of cars turning the wrong way at the top.</p>
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	<p>I would not expect to pay to park on my own drive.</p> <p>Making Castle Croft a pay for parking zone may reduce the number of people parking, as long as the number of slots for 'visitor' parking were reduced significantly and 'policing' were in place and strictly enforced.</p>
Farm Bank Road	Yes	<p>We don't currently have a car but may do in the future. I would like to know that we will have access to a parking space should we need one. I am also concerned about the impact on visitors to our house - including those who need to park to carry out business such as cleaners and gardening services, builders etc and do not want them to be negatively impacted by not being able to easily access our house and/or having to pay to park (it's not always possible for them to park on our drive) or not be able to park across the bottom of our driveway.</p> <p>As someone who mostly walks and cycles around, I am in favour of reducing the cars parked over the pavements, which is a particular problem on some streets such as Castle Croft Drive.</p> <p>I also have some concerns about the 'street clutter' that may arise from having parking meters, signage etc that relates to the proposed changes.</p>
Norfolk Road	Yes	<p>The only thing is that I am concerned that there are no free spaces in Norfolk Road - only permit and double yellow. There should be some. I also find it difficult to understand why the second car is so much more expensive than the first - we are residents and should be able to park whatever we have.</p> <p>Why aren't all the same 42 pounds price?</p>
Fitzwalter Road	Yes	<p>Parking problems are getting worse so I'm pleased a parking scheme is being planned.</p>
Norfolk Road	Yes	<p>This is a badly constructed survey, which does not offer the ability to capture a wide range of views. On the question, where do you park your vehicle, there was no option for 'on my driveway', which many residents in Norfolk Road can benefit from. I answered question 9, and the survey then moves to question 26. Where are the other questions? This issue is a contentious one, and anyone could anticipate that there would be strong views. It seems to me that you are not capturing the positive views, or rather, not giving any platform for them to be collected. I dearly would like the roads to be clearer, less polluting, less litter [as many users of the road are careless and leave fast food litter when they take their vehicle away]. What about the views of cyclists, trying to navigate the one lane that Norfolk road becomes when the litter bin lorry is in the road? I could go on....I am afraid that this scheme will die in the water, because you will not get much more than negative views, often nastily constructed. This is a great shame. This is my second attempt at the survey; I would appreciate it if you could address some of my queries, especially with regard to the questions 10-25. At the moment there is a leaflet being posted in the area, devised by people against the scheme. Some of what it says is not true, but I am afraid that this vocal group may adversely affect any chance we have of ameliorating what is a very unsatisfactory parking situation in my residential street and those adjoining.</p>
Park Hill Flats	Yes	
Killamarsh	Yes	
Cottage Farm, Main Street, Foxhol	Yes	<p>I don't feel I should pay for parking outside my mum's house, as I said before she has lived there years and it should be a no parking zone to none residents and the residents should be allowed a free parking permit per household registered to the address to display as all times. So if another member of family visits they can use it. The parking problem as only happened because the council have put restrictions on the city centre so they park around this area and either walk into town or bus in. We should not be made to pay more to the council when they have caused the problem in the first place</p> <p>please keep me informed</p>
Norwich, South Street	Yes	<p>I worry that displaced commuters will park in the SIP managed private car park at Park Hill flats and that this will lead to difficulties for residents here getting parking places.</p>
Mum owns her own flat on Bl	Yes	<p>Mum has owned her flat for 32 years, I used to live here before I got married in 1991. It's always been free for residents to park. Will the new scheme still allow free parking for residents or in mum's case her daughters who provide care for her on a daily basis?</p>
GLENCOE ROAD	Yes	<p>I am happy for you to keep me informed via email.</p>
Park Grange Croft	Yes	<p>I would have to pay to park near my home. Not good for a woman travelling on her own.</p>
Park Hill	Yes	
Norfolk Park Avenue	Yes	<p>I can't see how causing a problem for far more people is seen as a plausible solution for far fewer people.</p> <p>As you do not enforce the parking restrictions outside the school on Manor Lane or the no right turn at the top of Donnington Road or the speed limit on said road I have grave doubts about your capability to enforce this project which many people (the MAJORITY), do not want!</p> <p>There are other ways of solving this problem, but they would not swell the coffers of Sheffield's Labour Council. I think that most people realise that this proposal is not a solution to a problem it's simply a way of taking money out of people's pockets.</p>
Ingram Road, S2	Yes	
Duke Street	Yes	<p>As I live in Park Hill, I and my visitors have no options about where to park.</p> <p>My main concern is how the parking will be controlled.</p> <p>At the moment the clearway on Duke Street is ignored every day by a handful of Park Hill flat residents, the Duke Street African food store owner/customers and the Duke Street cafe owner.</p> <p>South Street has no parking 0800-1830 Mon-Sat but has groups from an ethnic minority community meeting daily from 1600 without any restriction even though CCTV has been installed to monitor this abuse (a situation that began when the lockdown in 2020 was enforced on the majority of people but was ignored by these groups and also the police and parking authorities) If current parking restrictions are ignored and not policed then how is this massive expansion in parking restrictions going to be effective?</p>
Shrewsbury road	Yes	<p>This survey is too limited as it presumes every concerned resident has a car.</p> <p>The scope of the new scheme is too wide - affecting people who do not have a problem and whose distance from the city means they probably won't have a problem.</p> <p>People will have no less access to spaces if the parking is charged for than they do now.</p> <p>We should be working to having fewer cars in use.</p> <p>We should be installing electric rechargers at the same time as the metres are installed</p> <p>The resident parking permits are very cheap when considering how much parking costs elsewhere. People pay less for a house which doesn't have private parking than one who does and none of us have an absolute right to park for free anywhere - even in hospitals</p>

Pat Midgley Lane	Yes	
Park Grange Croft	Yes	I can support a reasonable controlled parking scheme if it is fair to residents and does not contribute to preventing people from all over spending time in the town centre - maybe some central Park and ride schemes could help and by this I mean ones close to the town centre.
Glencoe Road	Yes	Why are residents having to pay for a problem caused by others? Why not just issue 2 permits to each property requesting parking and ask them to display in vehicles then use a traffic warden to enforce? Don't bother with cluttering our roads with parking meters signage etc. It's bound to result in less available parking than we have now and is another extra cost for city residents which are not incurred by residents who live further out in the leafy suburbs. Also very difficult to answer Q26 and Q27 without you using the answers out of context. I have a car so I would still have to park it in the area no matter what you inflict on us. See above re parking scheme which would be answered yes but not the one you are proposing.
City Road	Yes	
castle Croft drive	Yes	We @ castle Croft drive face most of the issues and unable to access our drives due to people inconsiderate parking and hence need a parking permit.
Sharrow. Used to live in Norfolk p	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	
Blackwell Place - 40 flats - adjacent	Yes	Right now, my parking issues are minor. However, given the long-term city regeneration plans, I would like to bullet-proof my street Blackwell Place and create a safe and secure parking zone for me and other residents of my densely populated 40 flat zone. Ideally, I would like to eliminate the opportunistic-parking altogether. If introducing permit parking, please make it 24/7 day and night. A permit for the proposed hours is of no value / pointless. Keep it at the same domestic rate. My queries are as follows: The flats 1 to 74 Blackwell Place are privately owned and each have individual private owner- led contractual repair and maintenance needs as and when they fall due. Each flat of the 4 buildings is independent to the rest. My flat is a fixer-upper and in need of significant works - corner to corner. How do I manage visitors / deliveries / home-help, medical care / contractors private and communal (eg. builders, plumbers, maintenance workers, cleaners, caretakers.) When I sell up and move away, is my permit (unused part) transferable / refundable? Where do I go for help and advice on your parking scheme? (I prefer to pay face to face for my financial security.)
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	(Thank you for the opportunity to feedback to you.)
Norfolk Road	Yes	
Norfolk Road	Yes	I have been concerned that quite a few vehicles have been broken into recently. I think that this is because non-residents are less careful about not leaving things on display in their cars. There are also lots of cars driving about trying to find spaces, which makes the streets less safe than they would be with a scheme. There are also incidences of cars speeding on Talbot Street, Shrewsbury Road, Norfolk Road and Fitzwalter Road. It would be good to introduce speed bumps to reduce this, and limit the through traffic on Fitzwalter Road and Stafford Road. An Active Travel Neighbourhood would be a good idea in this area, to co-incide with a parking scheme. Enforcement needs to be an necessary part of the new scheme.
Norfolk Road	Yes	
Granville road Sheffield	Yes	As I said previously, I Do not believe residents should pay to park outside their own homes, I do believe that people who commute into Sheffield and use the area to park for the day/work etc should have to pay to park their to maybe discourage them taking up all the spaces
Norfolk Road	Yes	If we knew we could always get in and out of the driveway due to reduced parking on the street we would only need to park one car on street. My tenants at 4 adjacent properties would be able to have residents permits as part of their contracts.
Norfolk Road	Yes	There is a lot of opposition to this from other streets but I think Norfolk Road really needs it.
Hyde park terrace	Yes	
Castle Croft Drive	Yes	I have recently been in hospital due home today I completed my disabled bay application weeks ago so I will bring the application to howden house on Tuesday in person so hopefully can get it sorted
Fitzwalter Road	Yes	
Castle Croft drive	Yes	
Castle croft drive	Yes	
Norfolk Park Avenue	Yes	Great idea!
Shrewsbury Hospital, S2	Yes	I put yes to continuing to park in Park Hill if I had to pay. This is because where I live does not allow many cars to park here overnight including mine. I find it useful at present to continue to own a car as I do some work for a charity for which a car is useful as well as other more personal reasons. Not sure how this would work for visitors.