	SURVEY DATA	SHEET & DECISION G	JIDE	
Date: 17/09/2	O Surveyor: Tork	Foxall	F	
Tree details				Horre Chatuat, only
	o): Tro	e/Group No: W	Species: 1	Horse Chestruct, oak, houseloon, sweet chestruct
TPO Ref (if applicable	e).	e/Group No. VV	species.	usurous co, s were constitute
Owner (if known):	Loc	ation: Stows Bridge	eln	pure, hally
	REFER TO GUIDANO	E NOTE FOR ALL DE	FINITIONS	3
art 1: Amenity assessm ) Condition & suitability				
) Good	Highly suitable	Score & Notes	2	
) Fair/satisfactory	Suitable	Marie Lad	On THOUSE	but some with pronform
Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	many good Sp	according,	our some many boar lown
Dead/dying/dangerous		or wreag		
	ext and is intended to apply to	severe irremediable defe	ects only	
	rs) & suitability for TPO		<i>I</i>	
	y suitable	Score & Notes	+	
	suitable			
20-40 Suital				
	uitable			
<10* Unsui				
	an existing or near future nuis potential of other trees of bet		<u>early</u> outgrow	ving their context, or which are
) Large trees, or mediun ) Medium trees, or large ) Young, small, or mediu	ome visibility, or prominent lar n trees clearly visible to the pul trees with limited view only im/large trees visible only with public, regardless of size	blic Suitable Suitable	table	Score & Notes 4 Very prominent from St Budge Ln + Loxley R
Other factors	7 or more points (with no zero	score) to qualify		
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	C 0 1	Notes /
) Tree groups, or princip ) Trees with identifiable ) Trees of particularly go ) Trees with none of the	of formal arboricultural featur pal members of groups importa historic, commemorative or h pood form, especially if rare or u e above additional redeeming f or which are generally unsuital	ant for their cohesion abitat importance unusual features (inc. those of inc		Notes 4 nd boundary feature gr
art 2: Expediency assess rees must have accrued	sment 10 or more points to qualify			
) Immediate threat to tr	ee inc. s.211 Notice			
Foreseeable threat to		Score & Note	5 <b>5</b> .	
Perceived threat to tre		Outline as	deaten	including seriorals in
Precautionary only			1	
art 3: Decision guide				
ny 0 Do no	ot apply TPO	Add C 6	or Total:	Doginian
	ndefensible	Add Scores f	or iotal:	Decision:
Does Does	not merit TPO	18		TPO
2-15 TPO 0	defensible	18		
.6+ Defin	itely merits TPO			

		SUR	VEY DATA SI	HEET & D	ECISION G	UIDE		
Date: 17/0	9/20	Surveyor:	Tock	Foxal	L			8
Tree details TPO Ref (if a Owner (if kn			Tree, Loca	/Group N tion: He	o: WZ	Species:	Signal	anor, oak, ash, willow rh, alder, bolly indery
		REFER TO	GUIDANCE	NOTE F	OR ALL DE	FINITIONS	S	
Part 1: Amenity	assessment	ı						
a) Condition & s	uitability fo	or TPO						
5) Good 3) Fair/satisfacto 1) Poor 0) Dead/dying/d * Relates to exisi	angerous*	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be s Unsuitable and is intended	suitable	Mixed	to an	moged c	oud	lition, but generally
) Retention spa	ın (in years)	& suitability for	r TPO					
		able able ble		nce, includ	& Notes 4		ving t	their context, or which are
il		k suitability for l		ed land us	2			
5) Very large tree 4) Large trees, o 3) Medium trees 2) Young, small,	es with som medium tr or large tro	e visibility, or pro ees clearly visible ees with limited large trees visibl iblic, regardless o	ominent large e to the publi view only e only with d	trees c	Highly suit Suitable Suitable Barely suit Probably u	able	1	rounsent from Storrs Bi while footpaths and cut
l) Other factors	accrued 7 o	r more points (w	ith no zero so	ore) to au	alify			
5) Principal com 4) Tree groups, 3) Trees with ide 2) Trees of parti 1) Trees with no	ponents of or principal entifiable his cularly good ne of the ak	formal arboriculi members of grou storic, commemo I form, especially pove additional r which are genera	tural features ups important orative or hab to if rare or und edeeming fea	, or vetera t for their pitat impor usual utures (inc.	n trees cohesion tance those of inc	Score & Signification of the S	uit L d	es 4 large group defining lardoped land and agreetle
Part 2: Expedien Trees must have		<mark>ent</mark> or more points t	o qualify					G -
) Immediate the ) Foreseeable to ) Perceived thre ) Precautionary	hreat to tree eat to tree	inc. s.211 Notice		Sco	re & Note:	; 3 pplication	٠, ١	ucluding removals in
art 3: Decision	- ·					1 "		<i>J</i>
Any 0 L-6 7-11 L2-15	TPO inde	pply TPO efensible t merit TPO		Ac	ld Scores f	or Total:		Decision:

16+

Definitely merits TPO

	SURVE	EY DATA SHEET & DEC	ISION GUIDE	
Date: 17/	09/20 Surveyor:	Tock Foxall	-	Oak, burch, harel, willow idge Cave
Tree details				Oak hinle lead
TPO Ref (if a		Tree/Group No:	W3 comme	cose, porose, wasse,
			Species:	wellow
Owner (if kr	iown):	Location: End	of Stows Buy	ube Lave
	REFER TO GU	JIDANCE NOTE FOR	ALL DEFINITION	IS
art 1: Amenity ) Condition & s	assessment suitability for TPO			
) Good	Highly suitable		N Z	
3) Fair/satisfacto	ory Suitable	Score &	Notes 3	
.) Poor	Unlikely to be sui	table Close 8	forming and	affear unuavoyal, but
)) Dead/dying/o		L 100 16	WILLIAM ISSUES	or defects.
	ting context and is intended to			<del></del>
) Retention sp	an (in years) & suitability for Tl	20		
6) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & I	Notes /	
l) 40-100	Very suitable	30010 001	4	4 ,
2) 20-40	Suitable	7		
) 10-20	Just suitable			
) <10*	Unsuitable	4		
Includes trees v	which are an existing or near fu	ture nuisance, including	those <u>clearly</u> outgro	wing their context, or which are
) Very large tre ) Large trees, o	c potential for future visibility we es with some visibility, or prom r medium trees clearly visible to s, or large trees with limited vie	inent large trees Hothe public S	lighly suitable uitable uitable	Score & Notes 3 Visible from Stown Bu Love and Loxley Poor
2) Young, small,	or medium/large trees visible on the public, regardless of s	only with difficulty B	arely suitable robably unsuitable	Lave and Laxley Pool
) Other factors				
rees must have	accrued 7 or more points (with	no zero score) to qualif		
Free groups,  Trees with ide	nponents of formal arboricultur or principal members of groups entifiable historic, commemora icularly good form, especially if	important for their coh tive or habitat importar	nesion Signif	Notes 4 Land group defining bour a developed land and open
	one of the above additional redo oor form or which are generally			rm)
	ncy assessment accrued 10 or more points to q	ualify		
) Immediate th	reat to tree inc. s.211 Notice		2 1 2	
) Foreseeable t			& Notes 3	•
) Perceived thro		Outle	we applied to	in, welling removal of
art 3: Decision			8 P	<i>'</i>
	ù			
ny 0	Do not apply TPO	Add	Scores for Total:	Decision:
-6 11	TPO indefensible		1 7	TOA
-11 2 15	Does not merit TPO		1 /	TPO
2-15	TPO defensible			
6+	Definitely merits TPO			

		SURVEY DA	TA SHEET &	DECISION G	GUIDE			
Date: 17/	09/20	Surveyor: Jack	Foxa	ı				Particular Control
Tree details TPO Ref (if a Owner (if kr	pplicable):	: 1	Free/Group I	vo: W4	Species:	Sycam Ash Ute bu	are, Alder, H	orel,
		REFER TO GUIDA	NCE NOTE	FOR ALL D			,	
Part 1: Amenity								
) Condition & s	suitability fo	or TPO						
5) Good 3) Fair/satisfacto 1) Poor	ory	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable	Score	Score & Notes 3 Limited awss, but appear fair to good.				
) Dead/dying/o			lvy	ioner.				
) Retention spa	an (in years	) & suitability for TPO						
5) 100+ I) 40-100	Highly s Very sui		Score	& Notes	2			
?) 40-100 ?) 20-40	Suitable							
.) 10-20	Just suit							
)) <10*	Unsuita							
		n existing or near future n		ding those <u>c</u>	<u>learly</u> outgrow	ing their	context, or which ar	е
ignificantly neg	ating the po	otential of other trees of b	etter quality					
		& suitability for TPO for future visibility with ch	anged land u	se				9
		ne visibility, or prominent	-	Highly su	itable	Score	e & Notes 3	
		rees clearly visible to the press with limited view only		Suitable		Visit	le from publ	re foo
?) Young, small,	or medium,	/large trees visible only w ublic, regardless of size	•	lic Suitable Suitable difficulty Barely suitable Probably unsuitable				voute
I) Other factors Trees must have		or more points (with no ze	ro score) to q	ualify				
6) Principal com	nonents of	formal arboricultural feat	ures or veter	ran trees	Score & I	Notes 2	4	
		members of groups impo			Similar	uck Arm	up famuus	DAUX.
		istoric, commemorative o			1 July to	+	rodland cover	,,,,,
		d form, especially if rare o					woodling cover	aller
		bove additional redeemin which are generally unsui			ndifferent form	1)		-
Part 2: Expedier Trees must have		nent For more points to qualify						
5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3) Foreseeable threat to tree			So	Score & Notes 3				
2) Perceived threat to tree				Outline application				
.) Precautionary	•				your			
art 3: Decision		E						
ny 0 -6		apply TPO Jefensible	A	Add Scores	for Total:	D	ecision:	
'-11		ot merit TPO		15			TPO	
12-15	TPO def			13			110	

Definitely merits TPO

	SURVEY DATA SH	EET & DECISION GUIDE
Date: 17/09/2	O Surveyor: Jock F	Foxall
Tree details TPO Ref (if applicate Owner (if known):		ook, Ash, Sylatum, Alder, is Group No: W5 Species: Beach, burch on: Baules of viver Loxley
	REFER TO GUIDANCE	NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS
Part 1: Amenity assessr a) Condition & suitabili		
	text and is intended to apply to seve	Score & Notes 3 huss liveted in places, but generally good to few Issue typing at eastered. Some poor four clarere irremediable defects only viver, but usual for this situ
b) Retention span (in ye	ears) & suitability for TPO	
4) 40-100 Very 2) 20-40 Suit 1) 10-20 Just 0) <10* Uns *Includes trees which ar	nly suitable y suitable able suitable uitable re an existing or near future nuisance pe potential of other trees of better de	Score & Notes 4  re, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are quality
	lity & suitability for TPO tial for future visibility with changed	l land use
<ul><li>4) Large trees, or mediu</li><li>3) Medium trees, or larg</li><li>2) Young, small, or medi</li></ul>	some visibility, or prominent large t m trees clearly visible to the public ge trees with limited view only ium/large trees visible only with diff ne public, regardless of size	Suitable  Suitable  Visible from public foot
d) Other factors Trees must have accrued	d 7 or more points (with no zero sco	re) to qualify
<ul><li>4) Tree groups, or princ</li><li>3) Trees with identifiable</li><li>2) Trees of particularly</li><li>1) Trees with none of the</li></ul>	is of formal arboricultural features, of ipal members of groups important fele historic, commemorative or habit good form, especially if rare or unusine above additional redeeming feature or which are generally unsuitable for the second control of the	for their cohesion tat importance sual  Promunit landscape feature  ures (inc. those of indifferent form)
Part 2: Expediency asse Trees must have accrued	ssment d 10 or more points to qualify	
5) Immediate threat to t 3) Foreseeable threat to 2) Perceived threat to tr	tree	Score & Notes 3
1) Precautionary only		vertice of preation
Part 3: Decision guide		
1-6 TPO 7-11 Doe 12-15 TPO	not apply TPO indefensible s not merit TPO defensible nitely merits TPO	Add Scores for Total:  TPO  Decision:

#### SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 17	109/20	Surveyor: Tack	Foxall				
Tree detail	l <b>s</b> applicable)	: Tree/0	Group No: W6	Species:	ak, sycamore, aller, we roplar, burch my by will race/poul		
		REFER TO GUIDANCE	NOTE FOR ALL DE	INITIONS			
Part 1: Amenit							
5) Good		Highly suitable	Score & Notes	•			
<ol> <li>Fair/satisfac</li> <li>Poor</li> </ol>	tory	Suitable	Score & Notes		y clad at costour end		
0) Dead/dying			•	,	y was at serious eur		
* Relates to exi	sting context	and is intended to apply to sev	ere irremediable defec	ts only			
b) Retention s	oan (in years	& suitability for TPO					
5) 100+	Highly s	uitable	Score & Notes				
4) 40-100	Very sui		4	,			
2) 20-40 1) 10-20	Suitable Just suit						
0) <10*	Unsuital				<i>r</i>		
		existing or near future nuisand	e. including those clea	ırly outarowina	their context, or which are		
significantly ne	gating the po	tential of other trees of better of	quality	<u>ny</u> oatgrownig	their context, or which are		
Consider realist  5) Very large tre	ic potential f	& suitability for TPO or future visibility with changed e visibility, or prominent large t		ble	Score & Notes 4		
		ees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	19.	vorument from publication		
		ees with limited view only large trees visible only with difl	Suitable iculty Barely suital	olo II	oxley Rd and internal		
		blic, regardless of size	Probably unsuitable Site routes				
d) Other factor	=						
Trees must have	e accrued 7 o	r more points (with no zero sco	re) to qualify				
5) Principal cor	nponents of	formal arboricultural features, o	or veteran trees	Score & Not	es 4		
4) Tree groups,	or principal	members of groups important f	or their cohesion	Pronument	landscape feature defining		
<ol><li>Trees with ic</li></ol>	lentifiable his	storic, commemorative or habit	at importance	will mio.	Court had been less to		
2) Trees of part	icularly good	form, especially if rare or unus	ual _	1000	pour aux roundary to o		
-1) Trees with p	oor form or v	ove additional redeeming featu vhich are generally unsuitable f	ires (inc. those of indit or their location	ferent form)	,		
Part 2: Expedie	ncy assessme	ent					
Trees must have	accrued 10	or more points to qualify					
		nc. s.211 Notice		7			
3) Foreseeable	threat to tree		Score & Notes	3			
<ol> <li>Perceived thr</li> <li>Precautionar</li> </ol>			Ormalina	and: L			
	y Offiy		Culine	application			
Part 3: Decision	guide						
Any 0	Do not a		Add Scores for	Total:	Decision:		
1-6	TPO inde		Aug 300163 101	iotai.	Decision.		
7-11 12-15	Does not TPO defe	merit TPO	18	~	TPO		
16+		morite TPO	' '		.,,		