

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS -TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: Surveyor: 06.10.20 - SAM THORN

Tree details

TPO Ref (if applicable):

Tree/Group No:

Species: 10 SYCAMORE

Owner (if known): HALLAM CRICKET CLUB

Location:

1 ECM

+ 106 + 110 MY PARK ROAD

1 HOANBEAM

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment a)

Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

Score & Notes

8 5

MATURE TREES HIGHLY

VISIBLE FROM SURROUNDING

AREAS + LAM STREETSCAPE

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10* Unsuitable

Score & Notes

4

NO VISIBLE DEFECTS WITH

HEALTHY CANOPY

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable Score & Notes 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

4/5

LARGE GROUP CONTRIBUTING

TO STREET + CORE AREAS

CHARACTER

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Score & Notes

4

ESTABLISHED GROUP

OCCUPYING HIGHLY

VISIBLE CORNER

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes

3

Part 3: Decision guide

Tree details

TPO Ref (if applicable):

Tree/

Add Scores for

Decision:

Owner (if known): gmc

Loi

Total:

17

20/21

TPO

Any 0

Do not apply TPO

Leaf

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS -TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

6 October 2020

Date: Surveyor:

Tree details

TPO Ref (if applicable):

Owner (if known):

Hallam Cricket Ground. Syc

Tree/Group No:

Location:

Species:

10 Sycamore

1 Elm Sp

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Office
P. Simpson

Part 1: Amenities assessment a)

Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

Score & Notes

3 trees important in local street scene + setting of cricket ground

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable 0) <10* Unsuitable

Score & Notes

4 Syc with good prospects

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable Score & Notes 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

4 trees visible to ground users, and users of streets + local houses.

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Score & Notes

4. Large Syc growing as group, loss of individuals would limit amenity value of group

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes

3 Planning Application

Part 3: Decision guide

Tree details

TPO Ref (if applicable):

Owner (if known):

Tree/Lo

Add Scores for Total:

Decision:

Any 0

Do not apply TPO