

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 23/4/2021 Surveyor: Peter Simpson Tree Officer

Tree details C/A HORTON GREENHILL
 TPO Ref (if applicable): _____ Tree/Group No: T1 Species: Common Lime
 Owner (if known): MR. CHRIS EVANS Location: REAR OF PROPERTY

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

Score & Notes Large healthy tree, some
3. minor dead wood, smaller than 10cm Ø
Occluding cavity at approx 2m on E

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Score & Notes Large Mature tree in
4. excellent health showing little
signs of decline or structural weakness

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes
3. Visible from
Main Street (CA)
in Locality

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | |
|--|---|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <p>Score & Notes <u>tree shows signs</u>
 <u>2 of much past management</u>
 <u>but has excellent form.</u></p> |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion | |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance | |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | |

Score 10

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- | | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree | <p>Score & Notes <u>TCA application in to</u>
 <u>5 fell tree, applicant not involved in selection</u></p> |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | |
| 1) Precautionary only | |

TCA Application 21/01370/TCA.

expires 13 days as of this note.

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible |
| 15+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:
17

Decision:
Definitely Merits
a TPO.

This page is intentionally left blank