

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

| | |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| Date: 08.12.2 | Surveyor: Vanessa Lyons |
|------------------|----------------------------|

| | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| Tree details TPO Ref 447 Owner (if known): | Group G1 Location: 45a Brooklands Avenue, Sheffield, S10 4GB | Species: Sycamore |
|---|---|-------------------|

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO |

| |
|---|
| Score & Notes : 3 Trees in reasonable condition. Ivy present, some deadwood. Some of the group may be situated on the boundary or within gardens on Whitfield Road. Approx 29 trees, according to tree survey, which appears to have classed each stem of a multi-stemmed tree as one tree |
|---|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| Score & Notes 2 |
|--------------------------------------|

| |
|---|
| Score & Notes 5. Trees form a large group which separates the new development from 14 properties on Whitfield road |
|---|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| Score & Notes 1 |
|--------------------------------------|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| Score & Notes 3 |
|--------------------------------------|

| |
|---|
| Add Scores for Total: 14 |
|---|

| |
|---|
| Decision: TPO defensible |
|---|

This page is intentionally left blank