

Planning and Highways Committee

Meeting held 20 June 2023

PRESENT: Councillors Mike Chaplin (Joint Chair), Roger Davison, Tony Downing, Bernard Little, Barbara Masters, Cliff Woodcraft, Garry Weatherall and Joe Otten (Substitute Member)

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Peter Price and Alan Woodcock
- 1.2 Councillor Joe Otten acted as substitute for Councillor Alan Woodcock.

2. EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS

- 2.1 No items were identified where resolutions may be moved to exclude the press and public.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 3.1 No declarations of interest were made.

4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

- 4.1 RESOLVED:- that subject to Minute No. 10 being amended to read

‘The Committee received and noted a report of the Chief Planning Officer detailing planning appeals received, dismissed and allowed and Enforcement Appeals received and dismissed by the Secretary of State. Councillor Mike Chaplin expressed that Officers were doing a good job as so few appeals were being upheld by Planning Inspectors.’,

the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 23rd May 2023 were approved as a correct record.

5. SITE VISIT

- 5.1 RESOLVED:- That the Chief Planning Officer, in liaison with a Co-Chair, be

authorised to make any arrangements for a site visit, in connection with any planning applications requiring a visit by Members, prior to the next meeting of the Committee.

6. TREE PRESERVATION ORDER NO. 466 - 28 TAPTON HOUSE ROAD, SHEFFIELD, S10 5BY

- 6.1 Vanessa Lyons (Community Tree Officer) attended the meeting and presented the report.
- 6.2 The Trees had been considered for protection due to the receipt of a Section 11 Notice for removal of the trees.
- 6.3 The Community Tree Officer had visited the site and carried out a TEMPO assessment which had identified the trees as suitable for protection.
- 6.4 No objections had been received.
- 6.5 **RESOLVED:-** That Tree Preservation Order No. 466 be confirmed unmodified.

7. TREE PRESERVATION ORDER NO. 467 - 16 COLLEGIATE CRESCENT, SHEFFIELD, S10 2BA

- 7.1 Vanessa Lyons (Community Tree Officer) attended the meeting and presented the report.
- 7.2 The trees had been considered for protection following receipt of a Section 211 Notice for the pruning of the of the trees in a manner considered to be detrimental to their amenity value.
- 7.3 The Community Tree Officer had visited the site and carried out a TEMPO assessment which had identified the lime trees as suitable for protection. The beech tree was considered to be of low value and was not included within the Order.
- 7.4 One objection had been received from an arboricultural consultant working on behalf of the landowner. The objection had been withdrawn at the landowners request prior to the date of the Committee.
- 7.5 **RESOLVED:-** That Tree Preservation Order No. 467 be confirmed unmodified.

8. TREE PRESERVATION ORDER NO. 468 - RANFALL, 15 RANMOOR PARK ROAD, SHEFFIELD, S10 3GX

- 8.1 Vanessa Lyons (Community Tree Officer) attended the meeting and presented the report.
- 8.2 The tree was considered for protection due to a Section 211 Notice being received for removal of the tree.
- 8.3 The Community Tree Officer had visited the site and carried out a TEMPO assessment which had identified the tree as suitable for protection.
- 8.4 Two objections had been received.
- 8.5 Bill Anderson and Andrew Mills attended the meeting and spoke against the TPO.
- 8.6 Committee noted that the tree was in the communal gardens of Ranfall, not within the garden of No.1 Ranfall as stated in the report.
- 8.7 RESOLVED:- That consideration of Tree Preservation Order No. 468 be DEFERRED to allow a site visit to take place.

9. RECORD OF PLANNING APPEAL SUBMISSIONS AND DECISIONS

- 9.1 The Committee received and noted a report of the Chief Planning Officer detailing planning appeals received, dismissed and allowed and Enforcement Appeals received and dismissed by the Secretary of State.
- 9.2 A correction was noted by Committee to the dismissal of Case No. 22/02815/FUL. The final sentence of paragraph 2 of the officer response should read 'The Inspector concluded that a 119sqm (619 cubic metre) addition to a 165sqm building (its original footprint) would represent a disproportionate addition and did not meet the exception (under para 149c) of the NPPF.
- 9.3 Further to the appeal allowed by the Inspector, Case No. 22/01397/FUL, the Inspector noted that Member's judgement had been exercised reasonably and did not award costs.

10. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

- 10.1 The next meeting of the Committee would be held on Tuesday 18th July 2023 at 2pm.

This page is intentionally left blank